

Mr. Saunders asked if recommendation 2.e will be removed. Mr. Wemyss responded no; it may be modified to specify 18 feet.

The Board discussed the loop road landscaping. Ms. Orshefsky mentioned it is better to deal with the loop road landscaping with that DRC application. Mr. Stacer asked how a CO would be issued without the loop road trees. Mr. Wemyss responded there are ways to resolve that in an agreement or phasing. Mr. Stancer questions why even mention it if it is not part of this development. Mr. Dickerson agreed. Mr. Wemyss stated this isn't standard, but the trees are required for the district. Mr. Saunders stated the ownership is tied to the development order. There are ways to deal with this with bonding or legal agreements.

Ms. Orshefsky offered to amend condition 2.e to add:

“If the loop road is not under construction or completed by the time the industrial development comes in for a CO, an appropriate bond will be posted for the landscaping on the east side of the loop road.” Mr. Dickerson agreed.

(3:40:21)

MOTION by Carla Coleman and seconded by Richard Klosiewicz that the Board find that competent, substantial evidence has been presented for the Major Site Plan that satisfies the review standards, and that approval is granted, subject to the 3 conditions of staff with the amended condition 2.e above, and the incorporation of minimum installation heights of 18 feet for the canopy trees along the southern roadway, south of the wall, and the loop road. All voted in favor.

(3:42:58)

10. [LN-272](#) FENCES TEXT AMENDMENT

Request:	Text Amendment
P&Z#	N/A
Owner:	N/A
Project Location:	N/A
Folio Number:	N/A
Land Use Designation:	N/A
Zoning District:	N/A
Commission District:	N/A
Agent:	N/A
Project Planner:	Daniel Keester-O'Mills (954-786-5541 / daniel.keester@copbfl.com)

Mr. Daniel Keester-O'Mills, Principal Planner, introduced himself to the Board. He stated that staff proposes an amendment to the section entitled “Fences and Walls.” The purpose for these changes is to modify the development standards for perimeter fencing for corner and through lots. In essence, the amendment eliminates the 4-foot setback for 6-foot fences along a street side yard.

Ms. Aycock asked if the amendment was for fences and walls. Mr. Keester-O'Mills responded yes. Ms. Aycock stated this is consistent with other cities.

Mr. Stacer asked if there was anyone from the public that wished to speak on this item. There was none. Mr. Stacer closed the public hearing.

(3:44:58)

MOTION by Joan Kovac and seconded by Carla Coleman that the Board find that competent, substantial evidence has been presented for the Text Amendment that satisfies the review

(3:45:29)

G. AUDIENCE TO BE HEARD

There was no audience to be heard.

(3:45:34)

H. OTHER BUSINESS

- **REPORTS BY STAFF**

There were no staff reports.

- **BOARD MEMBERS DISCUSSION**

Ms. Kovac complimented the beach re-nourishment.

Mr. Stacer complimented staff on the CRS rating. The city went from a 7 to a 6. Insurance premiums went down \$313,000 for all city residents.

(3:47:39)

I. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION by Richard Klosiewicz to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 09:00 PM.