EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	24
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	77

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Pompano Beach location, 60% of contract to be performed by Pompano businesses, current workload seems heavy, detailed work schedule, est comp April 2023

work history-coral springs art walk, Pompano Riverside Drive, easy to understand anlaysis, summary and methodology , outeach=ValerinGroup,

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 _____

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Gianetti

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	23
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	23
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	12
	Total	**0-100**	78

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

excellent proposal, public outreach component, good project summaries

relative project experience, scheduling-Sept2022,

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

[signature] — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Intercounty Eng

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	4
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	8
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	2
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	2
	Total	**0-100**	16

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

limited information in proposal, imcomplete, cost low but not a lot of tech description of work, methodology, question on work schedule, etc.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

[signature] — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Lanzo

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	18
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	18
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	25
	Total	**0-100**	79

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

exper in Pompano42" sewer force main, extensive exper in related work ,

excellent references, good public outreach plan...Lanza Cares Program, methodical approach. sched

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 [signature]

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Const FL

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	16
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	66

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

schedule completion aug 2023, high prop costs, good references from Miami-Dade, Brevard, Broward Counties, no major litgation in last five years, limited refs projects compared to others, significant staff dedicated to projets, budget and scheduling approach was good.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 _____

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construcction Miami Beach

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	18
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	16
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	18
	Total	**0-100**	67

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

pricing reasonable middle of pack, hard to read proposal, scheduling color faded and messy, excellent rel work, Miami force main project at Govt Cut, other notable projects 11 month schedule, litigation 3 cases last five years

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 [signature]

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man International

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	16
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	12
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	12
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

schedule longest 11/2023, total cost highest of bidders, 38 year track record, last 10 years, $550 m over 200 projects w no litigation, seem to have capacity and many projects nearing completion, approach /methodology light on details esp relating to outreach hard to discern resources from proposal.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 [signature]

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Southern

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	14
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	12
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	14
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	18
	Total	**0-100**	58

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

firm in business 12 years, not a lot of rel experience compared to others,

as far as size and scope of projects, good pricing

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 _____

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer West Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	12
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	18
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

low bid amount, lower tier in rel experience, approach/methodology not detailed enough to determine capacity, knowledge, etc. , long schedule

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

[signature] — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP DEVELOPMENT

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	17
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	16
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	18
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	23
	Total	**0-100**	074

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

74

COMMENTS:

QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR. VERY DETAILED PROPOSAL INCLUDING M.O.T, PHASING, RESIDENTIAL ISSUES AND PUBLIC OUTREACH. WELL THOUGHT OUT PHASING OF PROJECT, SCHEDULE AND COMPLETION TIMEFRAME

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Gary Emch — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer GIANNETTI CONTRACTING CORP

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	22
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	22
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	20
	Total	**0-100**	082

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

82

COMMENTS:

VERY QUALIFIED CONTRACT. WELL THOUGHT OUT PROPOSAL REGARDING CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING, SCHEDULE COMPLETION TIMEFRAME. WELL THOUGHT OUT PUBLIC OUTREACH PARTICIPATION & RESPONSES TO RESIDENTIAL ISSUES

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Eagle — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer INTERCOUNTY

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	6
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	6
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	20
	Total	**0-100**	042

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

42

COMMENTS:

PUMP STATION CONSTRUCTION. NO PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE WITH SIMILAR PROJECTS. PROPOSAL LACKED DETAIL RELATIVE TO CONSTRUCTION APPROACH, SEQUENCING AND SCHEDULING. NO MENTION OF PUBLIC OUTREACH OR COMMUNITY INTERACTION W/PUBLIC

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 Mary Eagle

Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer LANZO CONSTRUCTION CO., FL

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	18
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	24
	Total	**0-100**	080

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. 80

COMMENTS:

VERY QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR. WELL THOUGHT OUT PROPOSAL WITH REGARDS TO PHASING, CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING, & COMPLETION TIMEFRAME. PROJECT PERSONNEL & REFERENCES IN VERY GOOD STANDING.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Jamey Eyl — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer RIC MAN CONSTRUCTION FL, INC

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	19
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	17
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	19
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	21
	Total	**0-100**	076

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. 76

COMMENTS:

VERY QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR. WELL THOUGHT OUT PROPOSAL WITH REGARDS TO PUBLIC OUTREACH & PUBLIC/OWNER/CONSULTANT COORDINATION. SIMILAR PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN SCOPE. PROJECT REFERENCES IN GOOD STANDING

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Eyl — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer RIC MAN CONSTRUCTION, INC.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	14
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	13
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	12
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	21
	Total	**0-100**	060

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. 60

COMMENTS:

QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR. PROPOSAL WAS NOT AS DETAILED AS I WOULD HAVE LIKED WITH REGARDS TO PROJECTS SIMILAR IN SCOPE. CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING & CONTRACT COMPLETION SEEMED TO SHORT OR AGGRESSIVE.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Eyl — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer RIC MAN INTERNATIONAL, INC.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	21
	Total	**0-100**	071

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

71

COMMENTS:

QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR. PROPOSAL WAS ADEQUATE BUT LACKED DETAILS OF M.O.T., PROJECT PHASING & CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE. REFERENCES & PROJECT PERSONNEL WAS NOT AS DETAILED AS SOME OTHER PROPOSALS

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Esh — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer SOUTHERN UNDERGROUND INDUSTRIES

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	12
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	16
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	21
	Total	**0-100**	064

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. 64

COMMENTS:

MANY PUMP STATION & ASSOCIATED PIPING PROJECTS.. NOTHING SIMILAR TO LYONS PARK. PROJECTS CURRENTLY UNDER CONTRACT MUCH SMALLER IN DOLLAR VALUE & NOT IN THE SAME CATAGORY AS THIS PROJECT

LOCAL PARTICIPATION WAS DULY NOTED

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Eyl — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer WEST CONSTRUCTION

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	8
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	13
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	14
	Total	**0-100**	0

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

45

COMMENTS:

MORE OF VERTICAL BUILDING TYPE CONSTRUCTION. NO PROJECTS SIMILAR IN NATURE OF PRICE RANGE. PROPOSAL DID NOT IDENTIFY AREAS OF CONCERN RELATED TO PUBLIC OUTREACH & CONTRACTOR INTERACTION. SCHEDULE SEEMED DRAWN OUT

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Mary Engl — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP Development

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	80

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

The proposal did not include any specificities relative to the challenges, constraints and approach to this project. Contractor did thoroughly review sewer lateral installations and associated challenges, however this scope is only 10-15% of overall scope. Previous exp. working with DP, and overall a quality contractor. Several current projects underway at this time. 2 yr schedule, 2nd highest price submitted

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Giannetti Contracting Corp.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	28
	Total	**0-100**	98

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

1.5 yr schedule duration (provided comprehensive sch.)

Substantiated equivalent exp. (neighborhood development projects in kind). Drone flyovers (backyarc

Provided detailed and phased technical approach specific to this project. Provided innovative/alt.

solutions for cost savings and less disruption to residents. Mid-range in price.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Intercounty Engineering, Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	5
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	5
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	0
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	25

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Does not demonstrate comparable exp., primarily a pump sta. contractor.

Did not provide any specificities relative to this project. While respondent was lowest in price, concerr

is this value does not cover cost to perform work. This validates concern contractor lacks requisite

experience. No subcontractors listed.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Lanzo Construction Company

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	22
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	28
	Total	**0-100**	90

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

2 year proj. schedule. Several litigations. Extensive list of active projects, but no details to demonstrate comparable exp. Identified only 2 challenges: resident notification and vehicular access. While sequencing of work was provided, though general in nature, no mention of cost savings, innovative techniques to reduce schedule or lessen disruption.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric-Man Construction FL

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	80

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

2yr-2mo schedule duration. Public outreach high priority. Permit Fee al. $1?

Extensive neighborhood Impr. project exp., comparable dollar value. Self-performing primary scope.

Provided general methodology for approaching this project. No mention of challenges. constraints,

suggestive cost savings, etc. Bid price is 3rd highest.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric-Man Construction Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	75

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

13 month project schedule (realistic?). Listed (2) comparable neighborhood projects completed in 2013, and (2) smaller current projects in Delray Beach. Self-performing primary underground scope. Bid price was mid range.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric-Man International, Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	70

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Extensive utility work within roadway, no mention of lateral installation on private property, with exception of Cape Coral project in 2014. Bid price was highest. Extensive neighborhood improv. exp. General technical approach to this project. Longest schedule duration of 2.5 years.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Southern Underground Industries

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	18
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	15
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	25
	Total	**0-100**	68

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Approx. 1.5 year schedule duration. Provided only 1 comparable project ($4M). All other projects listed were not comparable in scope and were significantly less in value. In business for 12 years. Majority of work exp. listed were projects valued less than $1M. Several active projects that have not started yet, concern is overallocation of resources. General technical approach.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer West Construction, Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	45

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

2yr-2mo proj. schedule. Project review data inferred pre-design services.

Contractor does not adequately demonstrate similar work experience. Considering very low

bid amount, validates concern of lack of experience. No specificities relative to the challenges

and/or constraints of this project.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

Tammy Good — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP Development

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	22
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	23
	Total	**0-100**	90

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

West Palm Beach Mast contract $27M. Litigation 2, settled. Safety addressed. Storm preparedness plan addressed.

Bonding 20M single, good reference list with detailed scope of work. Summary section 12, excellent.

Detailed approach, public outreach, Cypress Elementry School, MOT addressed, detailed schedule.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Giannetti Contracting

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	22
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	25
	Total	**0-100**	87

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Current work in Broward, utility analysis, $25M and $15M. North county NIP, Pompano $17M 2014.

Bonding $50M Single. Approach does not support the schedule duration. References provided.

Summary is poor 90% of all fields noted to "See attached". Outreach and safety noted. Schedule unc

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Intercounty Engineering

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	5
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	0
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	0
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	30
	Total	**0-100**	35

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Lift Stations $3.7M

No References, only owner contact information.

Approach not provided

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Lanzo

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	17
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	28
	Total	**0-100**	80

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Miami Dade watermain $10M, Broward county and Miami Dade good reviews. List of litigation, some pending.

Summary PG 65.Bonding $250M Single reference letters with good ratings

Approach PG 85, Good. Project Challenges, outreach, construction sequencing.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Rick-Man Const FL

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	19
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	22
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	24
	Total	**0-100**	85

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Miami Dade $18M, Broward county NIP. Litigation settled.

Summary Proposal Pg. 34, Complete and Good. Bonding $75M Single, References-Good. Local Sub

Schedule True, 3 phases. Safety, MOT-generic note. Good.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Rick-Man Const Inc

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	5
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	5
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	5
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	26
	Total	**0-100**	41

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

DWSD water and sewer (Detroit MI) $13M

No summary sheet, Bonding $25M single, project sheets provided but no specific references

1 paragraph approach does not support 1yr schedule.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Rick-Man Const International

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	15
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	22
	Total	**0-100**	67

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Miami Dade force main $28.5M, 0% Complete

Bonding $125M, Single. References provided. Summary PG 95 50% provided, see attached.

Generic approach, no storm sewer details in the schedule

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Southern Underground

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	12
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	5
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	15
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	27
	Total	**0-100**	59

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

No Schedule, No approach

Summary Pg. 36, 50% See attached, Bonding $250M Single

Generic approach, schedule has short, phasing, not addressed in approach, Did mention Public outre

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer West Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	0
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	2
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	0
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	29
	Total	**0-100**	31

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Projects experience not related.

Bonding $50M Single, references not supplied,

No Schedule, No approach

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/2021 — Date

Matthew Kudrna — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP Development

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	17
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	23
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	28
	Total	**0-100**	88

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Great proposal overall - using Valerin Group for public outreach. Highlighted MOT for Cypress Elementary. S. Cypress Rd, West McNab. Detailed out phasing and 3-work zones. Prior direct experience with City. Several similar projects although they weren't same magnitude in price.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Giannetti

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	29
	Total	**0-100**	99

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent proposal, similar neighborhood type work, public outreach included, offered numerous alternatives to savings, project duration at 17-months, conducted drone flyovers to assess challenges, they've completed 5,000 backyard connections (very critical on this project). They were specific on construction sequencing and staging area and provided a lot of detail.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Intercounty

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	19
	Total	**0-100**	49

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Extremely limited proposal without sufficient data to properly evaluate.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Lanzo

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	19
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	16
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	29
	Total	**0-100**	84

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Good proposal overall. Identified residential coordination and maintaining vehicular access as major challenges. Public outreach will be done in-house and with Balsera Communications. Highlighted several similar projects construction sequencing. $15.38 M at 22 months schedule.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construction, FL, Inc

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	23
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	23
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	28
	Total	**0-100**	92

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Very good proposal however 27 month const schedule on higher side. They did detail out phasing plan, MOT and access for homeowners. Using Valerin Group for public outreach. Several similar projects, one in particular was 137,000 ft of wm replacements in Hollywood at $20 M. Local subcontractors to be used.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construction, Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	14
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	14
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	29
	Total	**0-100**	72

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

11-month schedule seems unrealistic. No specifics on methodology or project approach.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man International, Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	14
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	15
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	22
	Total	**0-100**	66

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

General methodology and project approach. Did not incorporate public outreach in proposal. Longest construction schedule at 30 months and highest costs at $19.4 M.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Southern Underground Industries

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	16
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	16
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	29
	Total	**0-100**	76

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Several projects listed but none appear similar in nature or in large residential neighborhoods.

Not really specific on methodology and project approach. Cost at $16.4 M and schedule at 18-months

12 years in business. They did detail phasing plan.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer West Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	11
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	11
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	24
	Total	**0-100**	56

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Extensive litigation. Project schedule on high side at 26-months. Project costs do not appear to be realistic. Projects listed are not utility related in residential neighborhoods (they listed 30 acre park in Jupiter, national cemetery in Puerto Rico, and sports facility in Lantana). Proposal was general in nature and not specific with respect to project approach or methodology.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

3/29/2021 — Date

John Sfiropoulos — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer DP Development

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	19
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	79

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Litigation was good. Pompano company and subcontractors. Two year construction time and price was on the high side.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Giannetti Contracting

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	20
	Total	**0-100**	85

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Good proposal and showed similiar experience and qualifications with projects of this size. Local contractors and good completion time.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer InterCounty

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	5
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	40

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Proposal seemed to be incomplete. Low pricing. No list of subs.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Lanzo Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	25
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	18
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	20
	Total	**0-100**	78

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Alot of Litigation and no local subcontractors. Price was good and has many similiar projects that are similar in size. Good outreach.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construction Fl

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Some litigation. Local contractors and two year completion. Average scope of work.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construction Inc

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	20
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	55

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Scope and schedule didn't seem to be that good. Not many vendors listed. Low mitigation.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Ric Man Construction Int

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	10
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Long construction duration. Highly priced proposal. Scope of work was average.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer Southern Underground

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	10
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Not much experience listed with projects similiar. No litigation and only been in business for 12 years

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

E-12-21 Lyons Park Stormwater and Wastewater Improvements

Proposer West Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	**Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	15
2	**References** History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	10
4	**Cost** Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values.	0-30	15
	Total	**0-100**	50

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Alot of litigation in last five years. Nothing similiar to this project in their proposal. Mostly rehab construction.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the solicitation and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

03/29/21 — Date

Michael Taylor — Printed Name