

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: BEACH RAKER

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>15</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>80</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Very experienced with Pompano Beach cleaning.
FWC certified in re-establish turtle nest.
Local operation's.
Vast amount of equipment available.

Hal Beard
 Signature of Evaluator

10/28/16
 Date

HAL BEARD
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: IMAGE JANITORIAL SERVICES

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>0</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>10</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>5</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>30</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

No existing contracts. Willing to learn if awarded. No experience in beach cleaning.
Building janitorial only.

Hal Beard
Signature of Evaluator

10/28/16
Date

HAL BEARD
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: UNIVERSAL BEACH SERVICES

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>18</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>17</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>10</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NO Turtle management permits. Did not address
how they would handle turtle relocation.
Lost contract ~~to~~ to Keg Pyrean

Hal Beard
 Signature of Evaluator

10/28/16
 Date

HAL BEARD
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: Beach Cleaning Service ^{Raker}

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>15</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>5</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Beach Rake - Exceeding my Expectation
has all the resource including Turtle Permit
and Equipment requested But not the Lower
Bid requested

Michael B Carter
 Signature of Evaluator

10/28/16
 Date

Michael B Carter
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: Image Sanitorial Service

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>0</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>40</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Image - did not exceeding my expectation
like of resource, not lower Bid

Michael B Carter 10/28/16
 Signature of Evaluator Date

Michael B Carter
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: Universal Beach Service

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Number of similar projects.Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach.References.	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Staff members that would be assigned to this project.Experience of staff in this type of environment.Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel.	0-25	<u>20</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment.Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach.	0-15	<u>10</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract.	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>90</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Universal - Exceeding my Expectation
this company has the resource and
the Lowest Bid received.



Signature of Evaluator

10/28/16
DateMichael Blarter
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: BEACH RAKER

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. <i>CURRENT CONTRACTORS.</i> 	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. <i>OVER 200 CONTRACTS. THROUGHOUT BROWARD, PALM BEACH, DADE & MONROE.</i> 	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. <i>Pompano Bch. Drive Equipment Each Day.</i> 	0-15	<u>15</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>5</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Has Dedicated Staff for Traffic Monitoring. Permitted to RE-ESTABLISH NESTS. NEVER HAS LOST CONTRACT. Has Very Specialized Equipment, Also Very Large Number of Equipment. Located in Pompano Beach. Has Five Employees That Live in Pompano Beach... All Less Than Five Miles from Office. All Tractors/Equipment Has Company Name/Phone Number & Vehicle Number.

Signature of Evaluator [Signature]Date 28 Oct '11Printed Name Robert Ketchum

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: IMAGE

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>0</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. 	0-25	<u>0</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. 	0-15	<u>0</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>15</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

No Experience in Beach Cleaning. Very Willing To Learn. Request
No Equipment. No Turtle Monitoring Experience.
No Licenses Or Permits

[Signature]

Signature of Evaluator

25 Oct. '16

Date

RUSSELL KETCHUM

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-49-16 – BEACH CLEANING SERVICE

VENDOR NAME: UNIVERSAL BEACH SERVICES CORP.

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
	Prior Experience with Projects of Similar Size		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of similar projects. Prior experience with the City of Pompano Beach. References. 	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Qualifications and Availability of Personnel		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff members that would be assigned to this project. Experience of staff in this type of environment. Current number of contracts, with a list of assigned personnel. <i>MANY LOCATIONS LISTED OLD CONTRACTS</i> 	0-25	<u>10</u>
	Availability of Equipment		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of the equipment proposed to provide service to the City of Pompano Beach, including the age of each piece of equipment. Proximity of headquarters and/or nearest office to Pompano Beach. <i>DELRAY. TRUCK EQUIPMENT IN EACH DAY.</i> 	0-15	<u>8</u>
	Cost		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The monthly cost to the City to provide the services included in the Scope of Work. This cost should be firm for the first three years of the contract. 	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>58</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

DOES NOT HAVE ABILITY TO RE-ESTABLISH NESTS AS PER RFP. NO APPEARANCE TO NOT BE WILLING TO BRING ON TURTLE MONITORING AS PER RFP. GOT WALKED OUT OF KEY BEACHES IN 2014. STATED, "WASN'T GIVING SERVICE THAT SHOULD HAVE." NO TURTLE MONITORING PERMIT. NO STAFF DEDICATED TO MONITORING. NO LOCATION IN POMPAÑO BEACH. LIMITED EQUIPMENT.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name