RL1 T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Baxter & Woodman, Inc.

Cuit win	Point	C
Criteria	Range	Score
Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list describe)	0-15	15
outcome)		
Qualifications of personnel including sub-consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	14
a. Location		
	0.15	14
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	14
Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	12
Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	ĺ
TOTAL		83
	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated tank budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included w	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets, examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Several COPB projects. Litigation, trip and fall, 3 active. COMMENTS-2: Mark Dachsteiner, 8 key employees COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale COMMENTS-4: PG:16 Hours per month, PG:17 Key members % COMMENTS-5: Approach with sample schedule Gantt

Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 <u>Matthew Kudrna</u>

COMMENTS-6: Fee and status project data, bid and final costs

Date Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Calvin, Giordano & Associates (CGA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
I	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	8
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: History of COPB projects. MLK 27th. No active litigation. COMMENTS-2: 370 employees total, 19 key employees identified. COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale COMMENTS-4: NA COMMENTS-5: Sample schedule Gantt COMMENTS-6: Approach, some project and fee cost

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Notes:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Carnahan Proctor and Cross

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	10
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	5
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	7
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	7
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: No previous projects with COPB. Roadway projects. Litigation one construction defect pending.
COMMENTS-2: Total team of 85. 28 key employees identified,
COMMENTS-3: Deerfield Beach
COMMENTS-4: Project List, no percentages or employee breakdown PG:17
COMMENTS-5: Sample schedule Gantt
COMMENTS-6: Narrative of successful projects.
Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: CIMA Engineering Corp.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	5
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	5
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Facility, utility, airport, transportation. No litigation. COMMENTS-2: 9 employees. FL Engineering Sub. COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale COMMENTS-4: Availability in resumes, all 100% COMMENTS-5: General approach

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Notes:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Consor Engineers, LLC

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	10
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	10
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	7
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	6
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		48

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: FDOT. No litigation. COMMENTS-2: 9 Professional, 13 Inspectors in Weston COMMENTS-3: Saint Cloud/Weston COMMENTS-4: Generic Note PG:11, PG:7 some key staff availability %. COMMENTS-5: General approach COMMENTS-6: General approach. some fee and cost data

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. (CTA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
.5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	5
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		42

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Roadway, drainage, lift stations. Litigation 2 minor open, multiple firms listed. Some previous work in COPB
COMMENTS-2: 72 total employees5 key members, 1 sub member
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: NA
COMMENTS-5: Noted in approach
COMMENTS-6: Noted in approach, on time/budget.
Notes:
MPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

> 11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name Date

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: H2R Corp

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	5
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	5
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		49

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Pompano turnpike service plaza. No litigation. COMMENTS-2: 40 cmp. 18 in Pompano Beach. 100% no subs. COMMENTS-3: Pompano Beach COMMENTS-4: PG:9, total hours by month COMMENTS-5: Noted inapproach COMMENTS-6: Noted inapproach

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: KCI Technologies, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	2
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison hetween initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	6
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		48

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Keith & Schnars COPB projects listed, no detail as to scope. Some open litigation
COMMENTS-2: 1.700 Total employees, 63 Professional staff FTL, sub Keith
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: Pg.: 26 general note, no data. Pg:47 one sub employee availability
COMMENTS-5: Sample schedule Gantt, discussed in approach.
COMMENTS-6: Discussed in approach. Some award, construction, and fee cost, no comparison to actual.
Notes:
Tiolog.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Lakdas / Yohalem Engineering Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	12
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	8
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5
	TOTAL.		71

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Amphitheater, Library, Reuse. Litigation, fees.
COMMENTS-2: 4 Key employees identified.
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: PG:16 % of staff allocated to project
COMMENTS-5: General approach, evaluation forms with rating.
COMMENTS-6: General approach, evaluation forms with rating. Some construction cost
Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the REI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	8
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		67

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Current design project in COPB. Litigation pending, material use.

COMMENTS-2: Total 25, 5 key employees identified, CEI inspectors 2. subs

COMMENTS-3: Pembroke Pines

COMMENTS-4: PG:37 Employee org chart % availability,

COMMENTS-5: General approach

COMMENTS-6: General approach, some cost and fee data.

Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP

-	. Rammer, Riepper & Ram, EEI	Point	
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	9
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	9
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	7
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	8
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	8
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	0
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: FDOT, Miami. Litigation pending, auto accident, design error.

COMMENTS-2: 1,300 total employees 6 Florida offices, 80 CEI in Florida, staff of 20 in FTL. Keith Sub

COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4: PG:16 Employee and date includes sub

COMMENTS-5: Detailed approach and project ahead of schedule comparison

COMMENTS-6: Detailed approach, some cost comparison.

Notes: Thank you for the PDF Bookmarks, very nice.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: Tectonic Group International

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe	0-15	8
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	8
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	5
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	5
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	5
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		49

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Charlote Burrie/sub Keith No scope. MLK, Pier Parking. Litigation, none. COMMENTS-2: 7 key prime staff identified, Subs- Keith COMMENTS-3: Pembroke Pines COMMENTS-4: Single percentage of availability noted PG:12 COMMENTS-5: General approach.

Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS-6: Noted, some general cost data.

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 <u>Matthew Kudrna</u>

Date Printed Name

RLI E-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer: The Corradino Group

Line	Criteria	Point	Score
1		Range	
	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects	0-15	8
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe		
_	outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	5
	a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	10
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	5
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	5
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	5
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	0
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAI.		38
			- 0

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: MLK COPB. Pending litigation safety negligence, FIU ped Bridge.

COMMENTS-2: 200 Employees, 50% subs. MLK COPB, scope of services?

COMMENTS-3: Miami

COMMENTS-4: Availability by employees and sub %, 90 day look ahead.

COMMENTS-5: Sample Gantt chart schedule

COMMENTS-6: Noted in approach, some cost in project sheets.

Notes: Thank you for the PDF Bookmarks, very nice.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

11/17/2020 Matthew Kudrna

Date Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Baxter & Woodman,Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
	c. References from past projects performed by the firmd. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	13
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Location	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	12
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		10
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		84

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:	
4 litigations; showed cos	t comparison but not time. Good firm
COMMENTS-2:	
COMMENTS-3:	
COMMENTS-4:	
COMMENTS-5:	
COMMENTS-6:	
	ng the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By hat this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for ation below.
11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Calvin, Giordano & Associates

Proposer

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	10
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,		
	describe outcome)		40
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	12
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
_	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	· <u>-</u>
	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office		_
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
•		0-10	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u>U</u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	U
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	1_
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		_
	TOTAL		35

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:		
2 litigation;	no cost or tir	ne comparison. workload?
COMMENTS-2:		
COMMENTS-3:		
COMMENTS-4:		
COMMENTS-5:		
COMMENTS-6:		
Typing my name	the Proposal usin	ng the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By hat this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for ation below.
1	1-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc. Proposer Point Line Criteria Score Range 1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0-15 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 10 0-15 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: 0 - 15a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 0-15 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 0 - 15Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget 0 - 15Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-0-10 contractors should also be included with the response.) TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-	<u>1:</u>	
Old litigat	ion cleared up;	no cost or time comparison or current workload.
COMMENTS-	<u>2:</u>	
COMMENTS-	<u>3:</u>	
COMMENTS-	1 ·	
		
COMMENTS-	<u>5:</u>	
COMMENTS	n.	
COMMENTS-	<u>o:</u>	
IMPORTANT I		and the Fredrick Original Advantage in the Dill and autimed above. Dr.
	=	ng the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By hat this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for
	confirming my evalua	
	11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
		·
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

CIMA Engineering Corp.

a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (2) Education of staff at the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4. Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents with the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete or ojects on time shall receive more points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff; (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	1	a. Number of similar projects	0-15	9
d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of bechnical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				_
a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	2	•	0-15	5
b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	_	,	0 10	
c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-		• •		
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4				10
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4	3	· · ·	0-15	12
A Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-				
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-		b. Number of staff at the nearest office		Λ
assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	U
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-		assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions		0
experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u>U</u>
Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-		experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		0
Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	U
7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-		Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)		
	7		0-10	6
32				
		TOTAL		32

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:	
no litigation	
COMMENTS-2:	
COMMENTS-3:	
COMMENTS-4:	
COMMENTS-5:	
COMMENTS-6:	
	sing the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By y that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for cluation below.
11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Consor Engineers, LLC **Proposer**

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	11
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,		
^	describe outcome)	0.45	3
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	6
3	a. Location	0-15	
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
7		0-10	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u>U</u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>U</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0_
	TOTAL		20

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:	
not in area; did not pro	vide workload information or time and budget ability.
COMMENTS-2:	
COMMENTS-3:	
COMMENTS-4:	
COMMENTS-5:	
COMMENTS-6:	
	sing the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for luation below.
11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Craven Thompson & Assoc. **Proposer**

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	12
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	10
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		\cap
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>U</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0_
	TOTAL		45

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:				
provided info on ability to finish projects on time; uses subconsultants.				
COMMENTS-2:		·		
COMMENTS-3:				
COMMENTS-4:				
COMMENTS-5:				
COMMENTS-6:				
	osal using the Evaluation Criteria stated certify that this information is correct a ny evaluation below.			
11-17-2	O Chris Schlageter	, PM		
Date	Printed Name			

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

${}_{\tt Proposer}\underline{\tt H2R\ Co}rp.$

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
2	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	9
	b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects		40
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	4
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	U
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>U</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1_
	TOTAL		33

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-	<u>1:</u>	
provided	workload infor	mation;large company.
COMMENTS-	2:	
COMMENTS-	3.	
<u>JOHNILITIO</u>	<u> </u>	
COMMENTS-	4:	
COMMENTS-	5:	
COMMENTS-	6:	
Typing my na	ed the Proposal usi	ng the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for pation below.
	11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

KCI Technologies, Inc. Proposer

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	12
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	12
۷	a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		4.4
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	14
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		\cap
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	<u> </u>
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u>U</u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	U
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2_
	TOTAL		40

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS	<u>-1:</u>	
no workl	oad backup;loca	al office;larger company.
COMMENTS	-2 :	
COMMENTS	i-3:	
COMMENTS	<u>4:</u>	
COMMENTS	<u>-5:</u>	
COMMENTS	-6:	
Typing my n	ved the Proposal usin	g the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By nat this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for ation below.
	11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Lakdas / Yohalem Engineering, Inc.

Proposer______

Line Criteria

Line	Criteria Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14 14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff; (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	· · ·
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	15
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	15
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u> </u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5_
	TOTAL		75

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:		
provided workload and time	completion backup.	
COMMENTS-2:		
COMMENTS-3:		
COMMENTS-4:		
COMMITCH 15-4.		
COMMENTS-5:		
COMMENTS-6:		
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Typing my name below, I certify that the purposes of confirming my evaluation	his information is correct and will so	
11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM	
Date	Printed Name	

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

RJ Behar & Company, Inc.

a Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated tax conformance to similar completion costs. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated tax by budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedul	1		0-15	14
c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3. Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4. Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7. Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				12
b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	13
c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		a. Organizational chart for project		
(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		b. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		(1) Number of licensed staff		
Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4				12
b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4	3	· · ·	0-15	
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4			0
assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4	•	0-15	
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions		40
experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	10
Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		10
7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- 0-10 contractors should also be included with the response.)	6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	10
TOTAL 65	7	Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	
		TOTAL		65

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:		
provided some bad	up information on previous project time	e and budget.
COMMENTS-2:		
COMMENTS-3:		
COMMENTS-4:		
COMMENTS-5:		
COMMENTS-6:		
	I using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and rtify that this information is correct and will serve as evaluation below.	
11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM	
Date	Printed Name	

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP Proposer_ Point Line Criteria Score Range 10 1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0-15 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 10 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: 2 0-15 a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff-

	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	10
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0.45	13
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	U
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u> </u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		43
*0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each con-	mpany.	

COMMENTS-1:		
has litigation	n PendingBase	ed out of Maryland .
COMMENTS-2:	<u> </u>	
COMMENTS-3:		
COMMENTS-4:		
COMMENTS-5:		
COMMENTS-6:		
Typing my name	he Proposal using the	Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By is information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for below.
<u>1</u> 1	-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Tectonic Group International **Proposer**

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	/
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		7
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	1
	a. Organizational chart for project		*
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		5
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	5
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		5
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	5
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u>U</u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any	0-15	<u> </u>
	budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		30

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:	<u>-1:</u>	
provided	some workload	information, but not budget or time ability.
COMMENTS	<u>-2:</u>	
COMMENTS	-3:	
COMMENTS	<u>4:</u>	
COMMENTS-	· <u>5:</u>	
COMMENTS	-6:	
Typing my na	ed the Proposal usin	g the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By nat this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for ation below.
	11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

The Corradino Group, Inc. **Proposer**

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	2
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		_
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	5
2	a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		0
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	3
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		2
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	<u>S</u>
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	3
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		2
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	3
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		19
	TOTAL		

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-	<u>l:</u>	
Company	has alot of per	ding litigation. weighted heavily on subconsultant
COMMENTS-2	<u>2;</u>	
COMMENTS-	<u>3:</u>	
COMMENTS-4	<u>1:</u>	
COMMENTS-	<u>5:</u>	
COMMENTS-6	<u>5:</u>	
Typing my na	ed the Proposal usir	g the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By at this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for tion below.
	11-17-20	Chris Schlageter, PM
	Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Baxter & Woodman, Inc.

Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff; (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3. Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4. Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifica	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff; (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	1		0-15	
c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. 1 a the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 2 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. 1 13 1 2 13 2 14 2 15 2 1				
a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,		40
a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	13
c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		b. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. 1 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 2 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		(1) Number of licensed staff		
Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		(2) Education of staff		
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4		(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		12
b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4	3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	13
A Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		a. Location		
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		b. Number of staff at the nearest office		12
assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	13
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions		4.0
experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		10
7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- 0-10 contractors should also be included with the response.)	6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	13
80	7	Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	1_
		TOTAL		80

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Litigation: 3 of 4 active.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Calvin, Giordano & Associates **Proposer**

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	14
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	13
	a. Organizational chart for project		•
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	13
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		13
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		q
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	9
	points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida		
7	Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
	TOTAL		72

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. No Litigation in proposal

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	13
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		13
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		13
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		12
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	9
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. No litigation in Proposal.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Deerfield Beach

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten	
Date	Printed Name	

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

CIMA Engineering Corp.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	12_
	 c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	10
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Location	0-15	9_
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	10
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	9
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		65

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity documented in proposal

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

CONSOR Engineers, LLC

Propos	CONSOR Engineers, LLC ser		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,	0-15	<u>13</u>
2	describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	12
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	10
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	12
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		Ω
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>J</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		65

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity documented in proposal

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Principal location is in Saint Cloud, FI

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. **Proposer**

Propos Line	Criteria Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	15
2	 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff 	0-15	14
3	(2) Education of staff(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	14
4	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	14
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	"
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		75

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Two (2) Ligations settled in proposal.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Proposer H2R Corp

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	14
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	14
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	14
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u> </u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1_
	TOTAL		76

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. No litigation in Proposal.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Pompano Beach, Fl.

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten	
Date	Printed Name	

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

KCI Technologies, Inc.

a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (1) Number of ticensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included wi	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	1	a. Number of similar projects	0-15	15
(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	2	c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff:	0-15	13
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4	2	(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0.45	14
A Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	3	a. Location	0-15	· ·
assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 5 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4		0-15	14
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions		0
experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		0
7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- 0-10 contractors should also be included with the response.)	6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	"
76	7	Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	
		TOTAL		76

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Six Litigation cases (1 Settled, 1 Closed).

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten	
 Date	Printed Name	

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Lakdas / Yohalem Engineering Inc. Proposer_ Point Line Criteria Score Range 15 1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0-15 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 14 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: 0-15 a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: 0 - 15a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload 0 - 15Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time 0 - 15Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget 0 - 15Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-0 - 10contractors should also be included with the response.)

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Two (2) Pending Litigation cases documented in proposal as the Plaintif.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.

Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3. Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4. Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents with the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors shoul	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff; (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	1		0-15	12
c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		• •		
d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. 1 sthe firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents wholed explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		describe outcome)		12
b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	2		0-15	12
c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 4	^			12
b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	3	· ·	0-15	
A Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)				
Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4		0.45	12
assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	4		0-15	
Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions		
experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	5		0-15	9
Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)		experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		0
7 Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- contractors should also be included with the response.)	6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	<u>9</u>
72	7	Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-	0-10	
		TOTAL		72

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Two (2) Litigation cases (1 Settled, 1 Pending).

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Pembroke Pines, Fl.

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP (RK&K) Proposer_ Point Line Criteria Score Range 13 1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0-15 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm

	TOTAL		65
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u> </u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		Ω
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		•
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	12
3	(2) Education of staff(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Location	0-15	12
	b. Number of technical staffc. Qualifications of technical staff:(1) Number of licensed staff		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	10
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		4.0

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Seven Litigation cases (3 pending, 2 settled, 1 dissolved, 1 dismissed).

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Ft. Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

Tectonic Group International Proposer **Point** Line Criteria Score Range Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 1 0-15 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 14 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: 0-15 a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: 0-15 a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload 0 - 15Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time 0 - 15Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget 0-15 Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-0 - 10contractors should also be included with the response.) 78

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. No Litigation in proposal.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Demonstrated proximity of the nearest office to the project location as principal location is in Pembroke Pines, Fl.

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name

RLI T-28-20 Continuing Contract for Construction Engineering Inspection (CEI) Services

The Corradino Group, Inc. Proposer **Point** Line Criteria Score Range 12 1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0 - 15a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 10 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: 0 - 15a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 10 3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: 0-15 a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 10 Current and Projected Workload 0-15 Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 0-15 5 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 6 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget 0-15 Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-0 - 10contractors should also be included with the response.) 60

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Adequate local and regional experience on projects of similar size and complexity. Six Litigation cases (1 Pending) in proposal.

COMMENTS-2:

Demonstrated Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants

COMMENTS-3:

Nearest office to the project is in Miami, FI

COMMENTS-4:

Proposal demonstrates that company has the Capacity and Current and Projected Workload to carry out projects of similar size and complexity

COMMENTS-5:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

COMMENTS-6:

Statements from Principal that projects are to completed on time and within budget; however, no project details provided.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

11.17.2020	Vincent Wooten
Date	Printed Name