VEN	NDOR NAME:Alberni Caballero & Flerman, LLP		
	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	<u>SCORE</u>
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	15
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	<u> 20</u>
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	8 10 A ()
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	<u>16.25</u> //
	TOTAL SCORE		70,25
	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): (Lugar) (lence limited City of Hialegh is closes 7 in 512e, I quess		
Sen	for staff seen to be experience but lack depth (available n	rsources	
	rears to adequately stuff but available staff maybe que		- Cavailable resour
) Pla	inning look great am paper, but they mend to be flexible en	ough 70	adapt to condition
<u>s) S,</u>	nall firm, if principal parener become sick who take	SVCC.	
***************************************			·
wanter-street to the street to	15 this a one house shop or do they have depth		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
4) 1	Panning did not go into depth.		
	5726121 = E	sio Aco	
Signat	ture of Evaluator Date The State of Evaluator	Print Na	5-7-5 ame

VEN	DOR NAME: Elie & Associates CPA, LLC		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	5
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	10 17?
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	3
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	14.75
	TOTAL SCORE		31.75
List th	ne reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
1)	Firm lack governmental andit experience		
2)	Firm appears to lack experience or exporience n	107 /157ed	1
<i>3</i>)	Though enough personnel looks to be assigned	Can'z d	ezermina
1£ 7	they are qualified to do the audit,? only one opt		
4) (did not lay our auditor methology or steps	36 week	es (Emonth)?
	"Razio analysis" sampling what Type?	EDP? need	more meet to
5)	"Razio analysis" sampling what Type? I One location, limited number of staff only	впе Ср.А. О	n audor Team!
	From lacks experience lacks resources,	lacks 7	echnical skill
nec	From lacks experience lacks resources, ed to conduct a government audit. !! (May be not the lead auditors).	a good n	unoring firm
Du		70 Aco:	
Signati	$\frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}$	Print Nar	me

VEN	DOR NAME:GLSC & Company, PLLC		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	<u>SCORE</u>
*** •	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	8′
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	_2_
6.	Price	(0-20)	15.39 \$//5
	TOTAL SCORE		73,39
List tl	ne reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
_1)	Has experience with city's of like size, nor	many	
2)	Senior staff seem to have experience but only one	Audit pa	1710er (concurring
3)	Toral of 7 andis sraft, if they have multiple a	lienzs ne	epuling service
<u> 97</u>	The same Time, availabily of resources worrie		
4)	Plan appears reasonable.		
<u>5)</u>	Only 1 location and only 7 and it staff.?	<u> </u>	
	,		
SEATONIEAN PLUMINISM	15 this a "one house shop", they appear n	07 To h	aux depth !?
(m		510 Ac	0574
Signat	ure of Evaluator Date	Print Na	me

ATCIA	DOR NAME. MAICHIN ELF 3. DAVIS Q3 MINOFITY		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	<u>SCORE</u>
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	_22_
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	20
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach) # office in F/ 190 29 Location	(0-05) 1/500 eval	 ;
6.	Price	(0-20)	<u>14.97</u> 4
	TOTAL SCORE		90.97 \$11
List th	ne reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	Auditor has loss of experience with City of p (Boca Ration, City of Saurize, Walldudgle) Loss of experience staff plas has a lorge pos		
		,	
<i>3</i>) .	Senier Stiff assign appear more than adequate p	plus They,	have
a 10	inge pool of experience staff to draw apon it	needed	
	Plan appears to be reasonable		
<u>5)</u>	They are not the largest but are large enoug oth of skills and available resources	L to have	adequa Te
dex	orh of skills and available resources		
	Marcum 15 a very good candidate To pr	rovide the	Selvices
<u></u>	2016 Explosion Date	570 Aco.	579
บทวก	nce or e valuator 🗾 🗾 🖊 💮 1916	Print Nam	e

VENDOR NAME: Moore Stephens Lovelace, PA	Kown Davis	(SBA)		•
CRITERIA		POINT RANGE	SCORE	
The firm's past experience and performance as principal aud government engagements	ditors in local	(0-25)	_22_	
2. The quality of the firm's professional personnel assignment	gned to the	(0-20)	20	
3. Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segn engagement	nents of the	(0-20)	20	Time!
4. Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement		(0-10)	<u>*10</u>	Cre
5. Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm 2 Location in Floring Polymers in Flori	n Beach)	(0-05) Szuft	_3	
6. Price 2 Logazion in Fl	18 In 1	(0-20)	20.00	# 93.5 1
TOTAL SCORE			93	
List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
1) Andros has 1075 experience with Cit	rys of lik	1 Le 5/20 4	ind largar	
2) Very expenenced Staff plus has addition	ional Stat	4 That C	an be a ce	essod
it needed		-		
3) Staffing level appear adequate plus add	rong/ S	19ff is a	ivailable 1	£
nerded.	and the second s			
4) Plan and method preserved appears near	sonable			************
5) They are a regional firm, they are not	the larg	est in Th	Le candida-	<u> </u>
pool but should have sufficient man power	and genev	al skills	To get Th	<u>L</u>
job done. Only guestion would specialty are				
Signature of Evaluator Date	Erne	STO Aco Print Nar	<i>574</i> ne	

VEN	DOR NAME: RSM US LLP ABPA minoring		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	<u>SCORE</u>
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	ıl (0-25)	_25
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	e (0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	e (0-20)	<u> 20</u>
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	1 (0-05)	5
6.	Price	(0-20)	
	TOTAL SCORE		95.07
List t	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
1)	RSM is the big fish in the group, vast amounts	of experience	111
	reinment with like size city (They are the most n		
2) N	Very experience staff plus avery large pool	of addironal	STAFF IF
3)	Large engagement Team with various skills as	id field of e	xper71se
plu	s access to even a large pool of experts and	d staff.	
4) 1	Plans and method presented appear reasonable	also being	The biggest
The	ly seen it all and can plan accordly		
	Largest and have the most resources.	~····	
	2001 7-8-2016 1	Erneszo Ha	:037c
Signat	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nam	e

VEIV	DOK NAIVIE: Alberti Cabattero & Flerman, LLF		
	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1,	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	20
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	18
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	3 15
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	16.25
	TOTAL SCORE		84.25
_ist tl	ne reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
1)	Some experience in local government, with limited exposure to	o Variety of	i jems
3)	Concerns regarding firm's ability to properly Staff engagement	due to t	IVNOVE
	or extended absence		
<u> </u>		····	
	700 A 7/8/16 Frank	DiPaolo	
ignat	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nan	ne

VEN	DOR NAME:EIIE & Associates CPA, LLC		
	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	0
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	10
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	7
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	5
6.	Price	(0-20)	14.75
	TOTAL SCORE		51.75
st t	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
1)	No government experience		
2)	only (i) licensed CPA		
3)	Too many hours Scheduled for this Size audit		
-			
			···· ·
	5001 1/8/14 Fra	ink DiPaol	
tti a	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nar	ne

VEN	DOR NAME:GLSC & Company, PLLC		
	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	<u>#</u> 20
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	15
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	18
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	5"
6.	Price	(0-20)	15.39
	TOTAL SCORE		83.39
	Not many prior andits comparable to Pompano Beach		
2)	Lacking CPA's and other specialized Statt necessary for	this engag	ement—
	400 1 1/8/16 From	k DiPaolo	
ىر	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nan	10

VEI	IDOR NAME: IMARCUM LLP		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	25
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	5
6.	Price	(0-20)	14.97
	TOTAL SCORE		93.97
	Firm has excellent list of government clients a references ssigned one highly qualified, and staffing plan is struct		onnel
·			

····			
	761 1/8/14 Fr	ank DiPas	10
Sions	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nar	ne

VEN	DOR NAME: Moore Stephens Lovelace, PA		
	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	25
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	20 16
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	5
6.	Price	(0-20)	20.00
	TOTAL SCORE		94.00
	Excellent list of government clients, personnel experience all Quoted hours exceptionally low for this Size engagement.		•
,			
·			

.	7001 7/8/16 Fra	uk DiPaol	•
liona	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Nan	ne

	CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	25
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	20
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	15.07
	TOTAL SCORE		95.07
	assigned to this engagement, and staffing Plan is approp	riate.	
			
	2001 1/8/14 F	rank DiPa.	o l o

VEN	DUK NAME: Alberni Caballero & Flerman, LLP		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	21.8
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	10
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	7
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	4:5
6.	Price	(0-20)	16.25
	TOTAL SCORE		79.55
List t	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	otal score was based on points given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indic s were bases solely on the responses given in the presented proposals.	eated in the RFI	P document. The
ST	TEGNE LEVEL MAN NE BE ADEQUATE		
(O)	KIYEMBA 7/8/2016	DC Kiye	emba
Signa	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Na	ame

VEN	IDUR NAME: Elle & Associates CPA, LLC		
	<u>CRITERIA</u>	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	10.4
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	15
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	15
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	4.5
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	1.5
6.	Price	(0-20)	14.75
	TOTAL SCORE		61.15
List t	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	otal score was based on points given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indic s were bases solely on the responses given in the presented proposals.	ated in the RF	P document. The
41	TLE EXPERIENCE, STATENG LEVEL		
This	is the worse rated firm with a score less than 75, which may put it in the "Unqu	alified" catego	ry
4	PHITEMBA 7/8/2016	DC Kiye	emba
Signa	ture of Evaluator Date	Print N	***************************************

VENDO	R NAME:GLSC & Company, PLLC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	SCORE
	ne firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local overnment engagements	(0-25)	25
	ne quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the gagement	(0-20)	20
	dequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the gagement	(0-20)	10
4. Ac	dequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	9.5
	ocation, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional dit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	44
6. Pr	ice	(0-20)	15.39
TO	OTAL SCORE		83.89
List the r	easons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	score was based on points given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indicatere bases solely on the responses given in the presented proposals.	ted in the RFP	document. The
# 0	If though on one haw five		
N	AN NOT HAVE OHE EXPERTISE REQUIRED TO	40 AG	101) 2018
P			
DH	140NBA- 7/8/2016	DC Kiyer	mba
Signature	of Evaluator Date	Print Naı	

VENDO	OR NAME: Marcum LLP		and in company with the desirability of security processing and the second security of security.
	<u>CRITERIA</u>	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	<u>SCORE</u>
	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	24.2
	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the ingagement	(0-20)	20
3. / e	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the ingagement	(0-20)	20
4. /	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5. I	ocation, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional udit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	4.5
6. P	Price	(0-20)	14.97
1	TOTAL SCORE		93.67
ist the	reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
oints v This is (al score was based on points given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indicevere bases solely on the responses given in the presented proposals. The highest (1st) ranked proposal based on the total score. The score is pretty THE EXPERIENCE A STAFE TO MANAGE	close to the no	ext ranked proposi
AC Signatu	1/1/EUBA 7/8/2016 Date	DC Kiy Print N	emba

VENDOR NAME: Moore Stepl	nens Lovelace, PA		THE STATE OF THE S
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	<u>SCORE</u>
1. The firm's past experience government engagements	and performance as principal auditors in local	(0-25)	25
2. The quality of the firm engagement	's professional personnel assigned to the	(0-20)	20
Adequacy of proposed stengagement	affing plan for various segments of the	(0-20)	10
4. Adequacy of the overall audi	t plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
	c firm (inclusive of availability of additional area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	4.5
6. Price		(0-20)	20.00
TOTAL SCORE			89.5
List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		•
	given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indica onses given in the presented proposals.	ited in the RFP	document. The
	ICE BUT ATE STAFFING MA	4 25 A	CHANENGE
	1 HAVE MORE THAN OWE		
DOKIYEMBA-	7/8/2016	DC Kiyem	ha
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Print Nam	

CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	SCORE
 The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements 	(0-25)	23.5
2. The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20
3. Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	20
4. Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	10
5. Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	5
6. Price	(0-20)	15.07
TOTAL SCORE		93.57
The total score was based on points given for all the 25 mandatory elements as indicated oints were bases solely on the responses given in the presented proposals. This was the 2 nd ranked proposal, but with a very close score to #1 ranked proposal		
	He	01, 14
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STATE TO DO T	tte was	RU, HA
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STAFF TO DO T PROPOSED NEW STAFF TO MITTERIE THE	INDEPEN	DENCE
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STATE TO DO T	INDEPEN	DENCE
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STAFF TO DO T PROPOSED NEW STAFF TO MITTERIE THE	INDEPEN	DENCE
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STAFF TO DO T PROPOSED NEW STAFF TO MITTERIE THE	INDEPEN	DENCE
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STAFF TO DO T PROPOSED NEW STAFF TO MITTERIE THE	INDEPEN	DENCE
HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND STAFF TO DO T PROPOSED NEW STAFF TO MITTERIE THE	INDEPEN Amost	DENCE

, ,	IDOR NAME: <u>Alberni Caballero & Fierman, LLP</u> <u>CRITERIA</u>	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	21
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	18.
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	14
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	11,
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	16.25
	TOTAL SCORE		80.25
	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Staffing louds may not be sufficient for	city	Avdit.

		······································	

,			
			THE STATE OF THE S
) gnai	equa alyees 7/8/14 Georgina ture of Evaluator Date	Roderiq Print N	<u>೧೯೯</u> ame

VENDOR NAME: _	Elie & Associates CPA, LLC		
	CRITERIA	<u>POINT</u> RANGE	SCORE
1. The firm's par	st experience and performance as principal auditors in local	al (0-25)	18.
2. The quality engagement	of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the	ne (0-20)	12.
3. Adequacy of engagement	proposed staffing plan for various segments of th	e (0-20)	15
4. Adequacy of t	he overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	4
	essibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additionals in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	al (0-05)	4
6. Price		(0-20)	14.75
TOTAL SCOR	RE		(4.75
gnature of Evaluator	olyery 7/8/16 Co	rging Rodri	(00)

VEN	NDOR NAME: <u>GLSC & Company, PLLC</u>		
	<u>CRITERIA</u>	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	4
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	16
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	16
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	4,
6.	Price	(0-20)	15.39
	TOTAL SCORE		76.39.
List t	he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	staffing werenot cpas		
./			
,			

			1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
			····
<u> </u>	epier Dogwey 7/3/16 Ceogn	na Rodein	067
ighat	ture of Evaluator Date	Print Na	ne J

	<u>CRITERIA</u>	<u>POINT</u> <u>RANGE</u>	<u>SCORE</u>
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	24
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	19
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	20.
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	
6.	Price	(0-20)	1400
		(0-20)	14.97
ist t	TOTAL SCORE he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	(0-20)	<u>14.97</u> <u>91.97</u>
st t		(0-20)	14.97 °11.97
st t		(0-20)	14.97 91.97
st t		(0-20)	14.97 91.97
st t		(0-20)	14.97 EL.97
st t		(0-20)	14.97 C11.97

IDOR NAME:Moore Stephens Lovelace, PA		
CRITERIA	POINT RANGE	SCORE
The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	<u> </u>
The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	<u></u>
Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	15
Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	5
Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	4
Price	(0-20)	20.00
TOTAL SCORE		24.
The state of the s		
	CRITERIA The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach) Price TOTAL SCORE the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	CRITERIA POINT RANGE The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement (0-10) Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach) Price (0-20) TOTAL SCORE the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VEN	IDOR NAME: <u>RSM US LLP</u>		
	<u>CRITERIA</u>	POINT RANGE	SCORE
1.	The firm's past experience and performance as principal auditors in local government engagements	(0-25)	<u> </u>
2.	The quality of the firm's professional personnel assigned to the engagement	(0-20)	20_
3.	Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the engagement	(0-20)	<u> </u>
4.	Adequacy of the overall audit plan for the engagement	(0-10)	9
5.	Location, accessibility of the firm (inclusive of availability of additional audit resources in tri-county area Broward, Miami-Dade, Palm Beach)	(0-05)	_5_
6.	Price	(0-20)	15.07
	TOTAL SCORE		<u>43.0</u>]
		i.	
	eurine Dulier, 7/8/16 Georgia	ig Bodi	'\QU \Z
Signa	ture of Evaluator Date	Print N	ame J