

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer DP Development

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>20</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>10</u>
Total		0-100	<u>45</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Have not complete any rehabs for 03.  
majority of there work has been roadwork  
Streetcape. Been in Business since 2006

Tree I & II yes



2/20/24

Steve Almy

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Inter County

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>20</u>
Total		0-100	<u>65</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Have complete only a few rehab's for  
vs. limited amount of people assigned  
to job. Performed our manhole rehab's  
for us the last contract. Completion time  
could have been better. on manholes  
tier I & II yes



2/20/24

STEVE Almyds

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Southern Underground

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>25</u> <span style="float: right;">@</span>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>10.5</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>20</u>
Total		0-100	<del>57.5</del> <u>55</u> <span style="float: right;">@</span>

COMMENTS:

Have not completed any rehabs for us  
in the past. Just awarded our first station  
to Southern. Recently. Limited experience on  
station rehabs

Tree II only

AK 2/20/24 STEVE Almyda

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Trio

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>20</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>90</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Long history with Trio doing our rehab's  
of our lift stations. They completed over  
4000 stations. This is solely what  
Trio performs.

Tier I & II      yes

AK

2/20/24

Steve Almeida

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer DP Development

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>15</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>10</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>10</u>
Total		0-100	<u>35</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Minor Lift Station Work, mainly above ground work.

Higher cost associated

*[Signature]*

2/20/24

*[Signature]*

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Intercounty

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>25</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>10</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>10</u>
Total		0-100	<u>45</u>

**COMMENTS:**

2 lift stations for Pompano Beach utilities

Local, yes. Good references. Minor work to under ground.

Cost, High

*Paddy Clayton*

2/20/24

*Paddy Clayton*

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Southern Underground

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>20</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>10</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>10</u>
Total		0-100	<u>40</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Mostly new installation, lacking histor, team description  
Proposal seems geared toward full redevelopment and  
not rehabilitating our current lift stations.  
   
   
   
 

*Bobby Clayton*

2/20/24

BOBBY CLAYTON

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer TRIO DEVELOPMENT

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>50</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>26</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>95</u>

COMMENTS:

Very detailed, specialized, cost very reasonable  
intimate knowledge of system.  
Multiple lift stations done for City 51 of 79 stations  
In business since 1956, over 4,000 lift stations



2/20/24

BOBBY CLAYTON

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer DP Develop.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>60</u>

COMMENTS:

- Streetscape type of work, as opposed to utilities.
- High priced
- fairly new company



2/20/24

Brian Donovan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Intercounty

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>35</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>16</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>17</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>68</u>

COMMENTS:

- Local company, some city work
- Does Manholes for city
- Some delays w/ city
- concerns about response
- No references



2/20/24

Brian Donovan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Southern Ltd.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>35</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>20</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

COMMENTS:

- good amount of exp. w/ lift stations,
- little exp w/ the city
- proposed new installation instead of rehab
- No ref.

Signature of Evaluator

2/20/24

Date

Patricia Donovan

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Trio Corp.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>25</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>95</u>

COMMENTS:

- Good record w/ City
- good EXP w/ rehab
- work well w/ city
- Been in Business since 1956
- detailed proposal



2/20/24

Brian Donovan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer DP Development LLC

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>60</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Most expensive. Considerable documented work as landscape /  
street scape work. Not geared toward Refracs work.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



February 20, 2024

Nathaniel J Watson

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Intercounty Eng. Inc.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>40</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Submitted proposal indicates several Lift Station rehabilitation jobs. No references or response schedule.

Only one qualified team member listed. In operation since 1994 performing a series of underground utility

work. Resource listing is fine, no detailed methodology.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Digitally signed by Nathaniel J Watson  
Date: 2024.02.20 14:47:06 -05'00'  
Nathaniel J Watson

February 20, 2024

Nathaniel J Watson

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Southern Underground Ind.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>15</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>65</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Relevant work experience as per the submitted proposal package is primarily new lift station installations with  
only two lift station rehabs. Proposal is package is lacking, doesn't detail qualified team members, history of  
experience (aside from listed projects), general means and methods nor response times. No references.

Submittal appears to be geared towards new station installation as opposed to station rehab.

Nathaniel J  
Watson

Digitally signed by Nathaniel J Watson  
Date: 2024.02.20 13:23:10 -05'00'

February 20, 2024

Nathaniel J Watson

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-04-24

Lift Station Rehabilitation and Repairs Services

Proposer Trio Development Corp.

Item	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and References: previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned; recommendations from previous clients; demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project; previous work performed for the City.	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Resources and Methodology: quantity of available equipment and their types; personnel assigned to the contract; and on-call response times for all services.	0-25	<u>25</u>
3	Costs: includes evaluation of the line item pricing provided by the Proposer, overall task and deliverables budget, and on call jet vac services	0-25	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>95</u>

**COMMENTS:**

Very familiar with City infrastructure and locations. As noted in the proposal, contractor has performed various levels of rehabilitation work on 2/3 of the City's Lift Stations. Located in Pompano Beach and ready to respond. Very competitive costs. Extensive years of service (since 1956 / over 4k Pump Stations Rehab) in the industry.

Nathaniel J Watson Digitally signed by Nathaniel J Watson  
Date: 2024.02.20 11:20:37 -05'00'

February 20, 2024

Nathaniel J Watson

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name