Propos	ser: PC CONMOUS INC.		
<u>Line</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	References	0-20	20
	 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	20
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	25
7	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
	Total	0-100	90
- C		MENT	ATTON
- L	TOO DEAGGERANCE	5505	AND 600
-7	JOB PERFORMANCE REFERENCES - CAUED LOCAL UTILITY - THEY DO	BREAT	Job'
- C	REFERENCES - CAUED LOCAL UTILITY - THEY DO OST - REASONABLE COST - 2nd LOWEST EXCEPT FZ	2 2 nc	TECH-LOW
- 2	TECH		
- 9	BRANDS - PROVIDE 24 ALL CALL SERVI	CE.	
	. 1		
	M .		

RFP T-01-22 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer: TRI NOVA INC

<u>Line</u>		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise			0-25	15
	 Previous related work experience of personnel assigned. Discope of work and other technical 	emonstrates a clear unde	rstanding of		
2	References			0-20	10
	History and performance oReferences and recommer	• •	, 8 8		
3	Resources and Methodology			0-25	15
	Adequacy of amount of quOverall approach to project approach to meeting goals and	t. Consideration of service	es provided and		
4		radaminos. Tinariolai rock	, ar 666.	0-30	20
4	CostAdequacy of amount of qu	ality resources assigned to	o the project	0-30	
	 Overall approach to project approach to meeting goals and 	t. Consideration of servic	es provided and		200
	Total			0-100	60
	1.0		N. 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	UBMITTAL STATES	2 1ECHS 1	JEAR Pompa	tho!	SUT
I)	DOGSAT SAM WHERE	THE/ARE LOCATE	2		
- 1	SO MENTION OF EXO	WI HALL EDWIN	MENT		
				16	SILERE
- 10	TALK UP ONLY ADD	PRESSES PRODUCT BIMITIAL DIMPLESE AS TO	They sell	-10	THE THE
	10 OTHERS - INCO	omplese As 10	MARK-UPS	OI HE	AC THAN WHAT RE
- C.	HECKED REFERENCE-	BEST TECH	out of All	264 -(1.	Buy Jones)
		HAD SOME SER	uce 135ues	THAT H	40 TO BE
		ESCALATES UP C	PRGANIZATION	J 70 1	BE RESOLVED
-N	& MENTION OF PROVI				
C	Den	4/13/22	PHLH	you	
Signatı	ure of Evaluator	Date	Printed N	ame	

RFP T-01-22 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer: UNIVERSAL CONTROLS INSTRUMENT SERVICES, INC **Point** Scoring Criteria Line Range 20 0 - 251 **Experience and Expertise** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 15 0 - 202 References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 20 0 - 253 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 15 0-30 4 Cost Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 70 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): SUBMITTAL REPRESENTS STAFF W/ KNOWLEDGE - ONE WORK WITH HACH - NOT STATED HOW CLOSE HR ON CALL LOCATED RESPONSE TIME company -GRECTED - FLOW METER CALIBRATION LEFERENCES - LOCAL REFERENCE (WPB SUBMITIALS Printed Name Signature of Evaluator Date

Propos	ser: PC Controls		
<u>Line</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	 References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	20
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	25
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	28
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
	Total	0-100	98
List the	reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	Reasonable Cast		
	Three tarles		
	15 yes exp at Pompano Utilities		
	24 hour service		
	bood Local References		
	Mh 4/13/2022 Richard	Tolo	NOU
Signati	ure of Evaluator Date Printed N		

Propo	ser: TRINOVA		
<u>Line</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	References	0-20	20
	 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	20
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	30
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
	Total	0-100	95
6	eferences for utilities of similar sine	,)	
4	1 . 0 . 1 -1.1 .	1 21	1/220 10
Ne		Ne 27	hour ray
13	est cost		
1	Ma 4/13/con Richard	Toler	a. 1 2 er
1			
Signati	ure of Evaluator Date Printed N	lame	

Propos	ser: Universal Controls		
<u>Line</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	 Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 	0-25	25
2	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	20
3	 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u> 20</u>
4	 Cost Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-30	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	85
	reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): twice the cost Not Local (most experience tech)		
	Only 3 techs		
	Clavin 24 hour response but not prou	eu	
	in previous opportunities		
-			
M	Affler 4/13/1022 Richard	To h	uson
Signati	ure of Evaluator Date Printed N	lame	

Propo	ser:PC Controls Inc.				
<u>Line</u>		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise)		0-25	24
	 Previous related work area of personnel assigned 	experience and qualification I. Demonstrates a clear und chnical or legal issues relate	derstanding of		
2		ce of firm/project team on simendations from previous o	•	0-20	18
3	Resources and Methodol	ogy		0-25	_23
	Overall approach to pro	f quality resources assigned oject. Consideration of serv and deadlines. Financial re	vices provided and		
4	Cost			0-30	28
	 Overall approach to pre 	f quality resources assigned oject. Consideration of serv and deadlines. Financial re	vices provided and		
	Total			0-100	93
	Controls services all brands of vard County business. Cost fo			W 50 14 50 11 50	
for s	service between 7am-4pm. All	other hours \$52.50. Certifica	ition fee is \$50 per tra	nsmitter. 1	hev do charge
	our minimum as well as a \$10				,
401	our minimum as wen as a wit	Tuer charge per visit. Faits	markup is 1.2.		
				·	
Ran	dal Rennskamp	4-7-2022	Randal Ren	nekamp	
Signati	ure of Evaluator	Date	Printed N	ame	

Propos	ser: I rinova Inc.			T T
<u>Line</u>		Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise		0-25	
	area of personnel assigned.	xperience and qualifications in the subject Demonstrates a clear understanding of nnical or legal issues related to the project		
2	The state of the s	e of firm/project team on similar projects. nendations from previous clients.	0-20	18
3	Resources and Methodolo	gy	0-25	23
	Overall approach to proj	quality resources assigned to the project. ect. Consideration of services provided a and deadlines. Financial resources.	nd	
4	Cost		0-30	24
	 Overall approach to proj 	quality resources assigned to the project. ect. Consideration of services provided a and deadlines. Financial resources.	nd	
	Total		0-100	88
		d Florida area. 2 tech. are within minutes of are as follows. \$65.00/hr. for hours between		
\$97	50/hr. Certification fees are \$4	9 per instrument. Parts markup 1.1. There w	ill be a 4 hour i	minimum charg
Trav	vel is charged both ways. Fuel:	surcharge is \$10 and will be adjusted as fuel	I prices increas	e. Citv must pro
calibi	ation standards or get charged	at a 1.2 multiplier for standards and instrum	ent specific too	oling.
Ranc	dal Rennekamp	4-7-2022 Randal F	Rennekamp	
Signatı	ire of Evaluator	Date Print	ed Name	

Propos	er:Universal Controls Ir	strumentation Services I	nc.		
<u>Line</u>		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise			0-25	23
	 Previous related work e area of personnel assigned. scope of work and other tec 	Demonstrates a clear	understanding of		
	ReferencesHistory and performancReferences and recomm			0-20	_18
	Resources and Methodolo Adequacy of amount of Overall approach to proapproach to meeting goals a	quality resources assign ject. Consideration of s	ervices provided and	0-25	
	 Cost Adequacy of amount of Overall approach to proapproach to meeting goals a 	ject. Consideration of s	ervices provided and	0-30	20
	Total			0-100	84
Re	reasons for this evaluation (j sponse time stated is 24 hour	s. They service all brand	s of instrumentation. Tra		
	es are \$103.40 during the hou				
	ney charge a "Certification Fe		for certifications. Parts fr	агкир-т. і	
	All techs have 20 plus years o	of experience.			
-					-
					·
Ran	dal Rennekamp	4-7-2022	Randal Rer	nekamp	
Signatur	re of Evaluator	Date	Printed N	ame	