

RE: Project at 2233 E Atlantic Blvd.

Process Number PZ22-12000041

May 29, 2024

City of Pompano Beach

To Whom it May Concern,

--

I'm writing this letter to serve as a guide for the revisions hereby submitted. Please let us know if we can further clarify.

UTILITIES

Nathaniel Watson | Nathaniel.Watson@copbfl.com

1. Please note that additional comments may be forth coming contingent upon future submittals to the PAM and/or DRC review process.

RESPONSE: NOTED.

2. Please procure an approved Broward County EPD Surface Water Management permit or exemption for the proposed site and off-site work. Required during official Bldg. e-plan submittal.

RESPONSE: BROWARD COUNTY EPD SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT PERMIT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED. WAITING APPROVAL. PROCESS NO: L2023-313.

7. Please note on 027 LAO .05P Landscape plan that as per City Ordinance(s) §50.02(A) (4) and §100.35(E), street trees shall not be placed on top of or 5' of either side of any City owned utility infrastructure. Also, please note that no trees, shrubbery or obstruction shall be placed within a 3' radius of a City owned sewer lateral cleanout or water &/or reuse meter.

RESPONSE: CITY OF POMPAÑO BEACH UTILITIES DETAIL (TREE LOCATIONS REQUIRED IN PUBLIC R/W ON UTILITY EASEMENT) WITH ABOVE NOTES IS ON SHEET LA0.06 - NOTES, DETAILS, & LEGEND.

9. Remove Broward County Detail 196 as it does not apply to the City of Pompano Beach on civil plan 022 C5 Details.

RESPONSE: DETAIL HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM SHEET.

10. Please show off-site storm drainage pipe as ADS HP Storm and/or RCP on 019 C3.00 Paving Grading & Drainage Plan.

RESPONSE: HAS BEEN ADDED AND DRAINAGE REPORT SUBMITTED.

LANDSCAPE REVIEW

Wade Collum | wade.collum@copbfl.com

1. Comment response sheet is vague and inaccurate. It appears that most comments have not been addressed

Response: The Landscape Architect was not given enough time to properly address all of the comments & revise the plans. The updated responses are concise- to the best of their ability.

P722-12000041
06/08/2024

2. Provide evidence and note on plans and in narrative that all overhead utilities will be buried for this project as per 155.5509. Underground overhead wires servicing buildings as they are still being shown on the plan(s), correct.

Response: See Sht. LA0.05 for Landscape Architects' proposed UG elec. service to the new (proposed buildings). The location of underground elec. service should be proposed by the Elec. Engineer/ Architect. They are indicated on the LA plans for reference. See note on Site Plan A0.05.

3. Provide the dollar value for specimen trees, height on palms, and DBH of all non specimen trees removed vs. the dollar value, palm height, and caliper of trees replaced. This is for all trees on site.

Response: Refer to new arborist report.

4. Submit a Tree Appraisal prepared by an ISA Certified Arborist in accordance with Rule 14-40.030 of the Florida Administrative Code as amended, for all trees and palms that are specimen size , and DBH for caliper for all nonspecimen trees, that assigns each existing tree a number; that specifies the common and botanical name for each existing tree; describes the overall size and caliper of each existing tree; evaluates the health condition of each existing tree; identifies the status of each existing tree (whether the tree is to be protected in place, be relocated, or be removed); and provides a dollar value for each existing tree included on the tree survey in accordance with Code Section 155.2411, and Part 5. This is for all trees on site.

Response: Refer to new arborist report.

5. Appears that on street parking is being proposed in areas of large existing trees. On street parking is not required, alter to retain the trees and the adjoining root space. On street parking is not required and the proposed will negatively impact the existing trees critical root zone. Staff can work on providing credit for some of the street trees based on retention of trees #d 9 & 10. There is not existing asphalt nor existing parallel parking spots, as per the comment response offered. Project comment response # 5 conflicts with #4. staff stands by the comment to reduce mitigation and safely retain mature existing urban tree canopy. It seems as though we are on to the cusp of a workable solution, that staff is in support of. As discussed on site at meeting w Solid Waste, UF, and Dina on 10/5, please provide Urban Forestry staff with per email sent.

- Soil analysis • a detail for the change in grade • a solution for pervious pavers with no road rock (ph neutral) as a subbase • a revised diagram showing staging for the dumpster pickup on the north end of ROW swale at the entrance apron (to negate the need for the truck to enter further south into the ROW swale and cause tree damage) • fertilizer program • root aeration and biochar application • long term prescription pruning program to establish a more central leader

Response: Arborist provided Tree Remediation Plan for trees #9 & #10. As per email chain with Russell Ketchum and Wade Collum, the trash pickup area has been approved. Trash collection diagram added on new sheet A0-10 TRASH COLLECTION DIAGRAM.

6. Provide Street Trees at 1:40' as per 155.5203.G.2.c. along NE 23rd. On street parking is not required but the street trees are. Critical root zone planting space, eliminate parking. (see above comment).

Response: The R/W (street frontage of NE 23rd) is: 141. 87' (142') / 40' =3.55 trees. Two LL (Wild Tamarind) trees are proposed in the R/W planting areas provided on the Site Plan.

The landscape plan proposes the retention of the two exist. Black olive trees that are located within 1-2' of the R/W line. The (4) trees total will preserve existing canopy & provide additional canopy. P722-12000041 07/03/2024

7. Provide large canopy tree for the proposed ED island middle islands.

Response: Paradise Trees (Simaruba glauca) have been proposed in lieu of the previous ED trees (Japanese Blueberry). See Sht. LA 0.05, rev. #3, 05 .28.24.

8. Remove trees on suspended pavement plan, i.e. Change out CD's for medium large canopy trees.

Response: The trees on the Suspended Pavement Plan have been removed. See Sht. LA 0.09. Rev. #3. There was one CD tree. An Orange Geiger (Cordia sebestena) is proposed in lieu of the previous CD (Pigeon Plum) tree. See shts. LA 0.05, 0.06 for revised Plant List.

9. Provide Modular Suspended Pavement System with aggregate sub base (such as Silva Cell) for all proposed planters and sidewalk and paver areas. Please provide a separate silva cell sheet with the soil volume graph and all associated details. There are too many silva cells, meet with staff to reduce quantities.

Response: See Sht. LA 0.08. Rev. #3. The M.S.P.S. plan has been revised. The amount of Silva Cells has been reduced. The previous LA 0.08 was not updated for the 11.16.23 revision. The M.S.P.S. plan has been reconfigured in the area of the walkway(into the parking lot) to account for the proposed (3) Live Oak trees & the Cordia sebestena tree. The Soil Volume Graph (by Deeproot) has been added to LA 0.09.

10. Remove any reference to gravel / mulch. A hedge row can be used.

Response: The note referring to gravel/ mulch has been removed. See Sht. LA 0.05, rev. #3, 05 .28.24.

11. Show how requirements as per 155.5203.E., Building Base Plantings are being met.

Response: Please see Sht. LA 0.05. Building Base Plantings are being provided in every landscape area provided. The Existing Building #2223 has landscape on 3 sides. There is landscape material in the two planters in front of the New Building #2100.

12. Provide a note that NO TRENCHING will be allowed within the critical root zones of trees on the landscape plan.

Response: Please see Sht. LA 0.06. The note has been added under The City of Pompano Beach's Notes. See Note# 13.

13. Provide a note on the plan describing fines and penalties for encroachment into the critical root zones and within the tree protection zone for existing trees to be protected and preserved.

Response: Please see Sht. LA 0.08. The Tree Protection Violation note was previously added. The note has been rev-clouded with Rev. #3. The note was based on Comment #14, (below).

14. For example; Penalties would be incurred by the contractor for damages to the existing trees on site from the contractor, the subcontractors, or employees. Any encroachment within the tree protection zone, failure to maintain the tree protection zone, or any damage to the tree(s) or critical root zones will result in mitigation. The cause of damages would include, but not be limited to, storage of materials, placing fill or debris, disposal of paint or solvents, parking of machines under trees or tree protection zone encroachment. An example of this would be; Violations of this

will result in a fine of \$1000.00 for the first offense, \$1500.00 for the second, and \$2000.00 for the third, etc. If physical damage is done to the tree, an appraisal of the damages and related fees will be determined by an ASCA Registered Consulting Arborist, and be based on all, or a percentage of, the established value assigned to the tree.

5722-12000041
07/08/2024

Response: Please see Sht. LA 0.08. The Tree Protection Violation note was previously added. The note has been rev-clouded with Rev. #3. The note was based on Comment #14, (below).

15. Please provide specifications and directives by a Registered Consulting Arborist prescribing timelines, watering, root pruning procedure and rootball size for all relocation proposed if any.

Response: There are no (0) proposed relocations of existing trees & palms.

16. Provide a note that all existing trees will be pruned by an ISA Certified Arborist under the direction of a BCMA or ASCA Registered Consulting Arborist.

Response: See Sht. LA 0.06, Note #12, Rev 3, (05.28.24), in the City of Pompano Beach-Landscape Notes column.

17. All tree work will require permitting by a registered Broward County Tree Trimmer.

Response: See Sht. LA 0.06, Note #11, Rev 3, (05.28.24), in the City of Pompano Beach-Landscape Notes column.

8. Provide a comment response sheet as to specifically how comments have been addressed at time of resubmittal.

Response: The comment response sheet has been revised.

19. Additional comments may be rendered a time of resubmittal.

Response: understood & anticipated, as a part of the permit approval process.