

MEMORANDUM

- **TO:** Honorable Mayor and Commissioners City of Pompano Beach
- **FROM:** Kurt Spitzer
- **DATE:** November 24, 2021
- **RE:** Redistricting Commission Districts

The purpose of this Memorandum is to update the City Commission on the status of our work to update the Commission districts based on 2020 Census data.

Redistricting is the process by which differences in the population of Commission districts are equalized by adjusting district boundaries, and furthers the principle of "one person, one vote." Commission districts are required to be "as nearly equal in population" as practicable or possible.

The overall objective of a redistricting process should be to adjust the district boundaries so that district populations are roughly equal to one-another, the plans not dilute minority voting strength, and result in district shapes that are relatively compact and are easily understood by the electorate.

Redistricting Criteria

We have previously provided the City with a list of common Frequently Asked Questions regarding the redistricting process. They are restated in summary fashion below.

There are several criteria commonly used in the redistricting process. They are considered in total and balanced with each other. The dominant criterion is population.

1. Equal in population. The goal is to have the population of individual districts be as nearly equal to one another as is possible or practicable. "Population" refers to residents, not registered voters. "Nearly equal" means that the population of individual districts should be as close to the average or "ideal" size as is possible.

Memorandum COPB Redistricting November 24, 2021 Page two

- 2. Avoid diluting minority voting strength. If there is a location where a significant number of minority residents reside, their ability to vote as a block should not be diluted by either dividing that population into two or more districts (termed "cracking") or, if there is a significant minority population in two districts, moving that population into a single district (termed "packing").
- 3. Use census blocks. Data from the US Bureau of the Census is updated every 10 years by surveying the population of the United States. Census data is presumed to be correct. The smallest unit within which that information is tabulated and made available are census "blocks."
- 4. Compact and contiguous. Districts should be relatively compact and contiguous. Unusual, "bizarre" or serpentine district shapes that are created without furthering a valid underlying public policy purpose must be avoided. Contiguity may be achieved by crossing a waterbody.
- 5. Significant natural and man-made boundaries. Where possible, district boundaries should follow easily recognized or understood boundaries, like major roads, waterbodies or parklands.
- 6. Recognize existing district boundaries. The boundaries of the updated districts may seek to retain their existing boundaries to the extent possible.
- 7. Avoid splitting communities of interest. District boundaries should seek to avoid splitting communities that have similar interests (e.g., neighborhoods) where possible.

Census Data

The Bureau of the Census counts the population of the United States every 10 years. Data from the Census is presumed to be correct. The 2020 Census reflects the population of the country on "census day" which was April 1, 2020. Census data is required to be released to the states one year after census day; however, the Bureau of the Census failed to meet that deadline in 2021, primarily due to the effects of the COVID pandemic, which had caused field operations to be shut down for more than two months in 2020.

Thereafter, the Bureau had stated that the data would be released on or before September 30, 2021. However, "legacy" data was released on August 12, 2021, and is what was used in the City of Pompano Beach redistricting process. Legacy data is "final" data but required some reformatting of the electronic file base before it was usable in a redistricting process. Memorandum COPB Redistricting November 24, 2021 Page three

Existing Districts Maps

The first step in the redistricting process is to determine if there is a need to adjust district boundaries because of a significant imbalance between district populations. This is accomplished by "layering" or applying the 2020 data to the (current) district boundaries that were approved in 2011.

A copy of the Existing Districts Maps with 2020 data is attached, as is a table of statistical information for the existing district boundaries. Using the existing district boundaries and 2020 Census block data indicates that adjustments to district boundaries is not necessary based on population deviations alone.

In general, if the spread between the largest and smallest districts is greater than 10 percentage points, the city or county should seek to reduce that discrepancy by adjusting district boundaries. In the case of the City of Pompano Beach, the different between the largest and smallest district is 8.48 percentage points and within acceptable tolerances.

The district boundaries as adopted in 2011 are relatively compact and contiguous, they follow significant and easily understood boundaries and generally avoid splitting communities of interest. Recognizing and retaining those district boundaries maintains those characteristics and minimizes confusion in terms of which district electors reside and lessens the workload on the Supervisor of Elections in terms of changing voter precincts

We appreciate the opportunity to have worked with the City once again and look forward to speaking with you next week.

Attachments



Exhibit 1 Voting District Plan

| DISTRICT | 2020 Population IDEAL | 2020 Population ACTUAL | Population Deviation | Percent Deviation | Population White | Percent White | Population Black | Percent Black | Population Other | Precent Other | Population Hispanic | Percent Hispanic |
|------------|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| District 1 | 22423 | 21844 | -578.8 | -2.6% | 17910 | 82% | 708 | 3% | 3226 | 15% | 2672 | 12% |
| District 2 | 22423 | 22121 | -301.8 | -1.3% | 8699 | 39% | 6124 | 28% | 7298 | 33% | 6580 | 30% |
| District 3 | 22423 | 22242 | -180.8 | -0.8% | 11516 | 52% | 4750 | 21% | 5976 | 27% | 5928 | 27% |
| District 4 | 22423 | 22161 | -261.8 | -1.2% | 2117 | 10% | 13554 | 61% | 6490 | 29% | 6060 | 27% |
| District 5 | 22423 | 23746 | 1323.2 | 5.9% | 10659 | 45% | 6859 | 29% | 6228 | 26% | 5404 | 23% |
| Totals | 112114 | 112114 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50901 | - | 31995 | - | 29218 | - | 26644 | - |
| Igs W Sam | Appression of the second secon | Wiles Rd 441 334 7 Coconut (n Blvd Blvd Blvd 814 | Tradewin Park Creek W Copans | Rd D | istrict | | trict 2 Dis | Lighthouse Point | Hillsboro-Mile | Legend Distr Distr Distr Distr Distr | ict 1 ict 2 ict 3 ict 4 ict 5 Limits hborhoods | |
| | Southgate Blvd North Lauderdale | | Palm Aire Country Club | istrict 5 | | Disti | rict 3 | S Ocean 24 | | Esri, I | mbent | NT P, NGA, USGS |



City of Pompano Beach Commission District Populations

Current District Boundaries with 2020 Census Data

| CURRENT DISTRICTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| District | 2020 Population IDEAL | 2020 Population ACTUAL | Pop. Deviation | Percent Deviation | Pop. White | Percent White | Pop. Black | Percent Black | Pop. Other | Precent Other | Pop. Hispanic | Percent Hispanic |
| District 1 | 22,423 | 21,844 | (579) | -2.58% | 17,910 | 81.99% | 708 | 3.24% | 3,226 | 14.77% | 2,672 | 12.23% |
| District 2 | 22,423 | 22,121 | (302) | -1.35% | 8,699 | 39.32% | 6,124 | 27.68% | 7,298 | 32.99% | 6,580 | 29.75% |
| District 3 | 22,423 | 22,242 | (181) | -0.81% | 11,516 | 51.78% | 4,750 | 21.36% | 5,976 | 26.87% | 5,928 | 26.65% |
| District 4 | 22,423 | 22,161 | (262) | -1.17% | 2,117 | 9.55% | 13,554 | 61.16% | 6,490 | 29.29% | 6,060 | 27.35% |
| District 5 | 22,423 | 23,746 | 1,323 | 5.90% | 10,659 | 44.89% | 6,859 | 28.88% | 6,228 | 26.23% | 5,404 | 22.76% |
| Totals | 112,114 | 112,114 | | | 50,901 | | 31,995 | | 29,218 | | 26,644 | |