

City Attorney's Communication #2024-564 May 16, 2024

TO:	Mayor and City Commission	
FROM:	Mark E. Berman, City Attorney	
RE:	Proposed Charter Amendment/Refe	erendum for General Election, November 2024
	No. 2024-36 recommends apprors in the November election as f	val of three ballot questions to be decided follows:
	PROPOSED AM	ENDMENT #1
	REMOVING EMINENT DOMAS A REQUIREMENT FOR PAGOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES.	
	the power of eminent domain require a) of the Pompano Beach City Charte	
	YES	NO
	PROPOSED AMEN	DMENT #2
	APPROVING CONTRACTS B TERMS LONGER THAN F COMMISSION APPROVAL.	
be am law, a	ended to allow contracts to be appro	no Beach City Charter [Section 251 (c)] oved by resolution consistent with state permitted to exceed five (5) years if
	YES	NO

PROPOSED AMENDMENT #3

APPROVING LEASES BY RESOLUTION AND FOR TERMS LONGER THAN FIFTY YEARS WITH CITY COMMISSION APPROVAL.

Shall the lease provisions of the Pompano Beach City Charter [Section 250 (a) and
(b)] be amended to approve leases of City-owned property by resolution consistent
with state law, with two (2) public hearings for approval, and shall the term of such
leases be allowed to exceed fifty (50) years if approved by the City Commission?

YES	NO

The City Charter's provisions relating to leases, contracts and transfer of City property to governmental entities are inconsistent with state law and best practices as follows:

- Ordinances are used to make laws. [Sec. 166.041, Fla. Stat.]
- Resolutions are used to handle administrative matters. [Sec. 166.041, Fla. Stat.]
- Leases and contracts are administrative matters that should be approved by resolution.
- The Charter requires that leases and some contracts be approved by ordinance.
- The City should have the flexibility to lease property for terms that make the most sense for the City.
- The City should have the flexibility to approve contracts that make the most sense for the City.
- The City Charter limits leases to 50 years, an arbitrary term that prevents necessary flexibility.

Mayor and City Commission May 16, 2024 Page 3 of 3

- The City Charter limits contracts to five years, an arbitrary term that prevents necessary flexibility.
- State law has changed to substantially limit the power of eminent domain of governmental entities.
- Requiring the power of eminent domain no longer makes sense as a condition for transfer of City property to other governmental entities.
- The City has a reversionary interest in all CRA property so transfer to the CRA is essentially the same as a transfer to the City.
- The City has always recognized the need to transfer City property to the CRA. [City Code Section 38.04 re City delegation of powers to the CRA.]

In summary, the proposed Charter amendments will make the inconsistent provisions consistent with state law and best practices as follows:

- Approve leases and contracts by resolution
- Allow flexible lease terms
- Allow flexible contract terms
- Remove power of eminent domain as a condition of transfer to governmental entities

MEB/jrm l:cor/comsn/2024-565

cc: Claudia McKenna, CRA Attorney