

**MOTION** was made by Carla Coleman and seconded by Rhonda Eaton to approve the Major Site Plan PZ #16-12000065 subject to the six (6) staff conditions. All voted in favor of the motion; therefore the motion passed.

**H.      OTHER BUSINESS**

**4.      CHAPTER 155 ZONING CODE TEXT AMENDMENTS,  
ARTICLE 3: ZONING DIAGRAMS**

Staff is recommending approval of the text amendments to the Zoning Diagrams in Article 3.

Ms. Paola West stated that staff is recommending a text amendment in order to make the necessary corrections to the “Typical Development Configurations” associated with various Zoning Districts as listed in the staff report in order to correspond to the correct “Intensity and Dimensional Standards” listed in the table for each Zoning District. Staff is not proposing any changes to the intensity and dimensional standards of these Zoning Districts. The issue is a scrivener’s error that is to be fixed.

Ms. Eaton asked why certain zoning districts have different setbacks.

Ms. West responded that different zoning districts have different intentions.

Ms. Eaton expressed concern that there are errors in the zoning code for such basic aspects.

Ms. Jennifer Gomez, Assistant Development Services Director, explained that when the zoning code was rewritten in 2012, visual representations were added. These visuals, however, had not been updated to match the setbacks as described by the tables. She stated that the setbacks as listed in the tables are accurate and that this is what staff has been using in practice.

Ms. Eaton asked if changing the dimensions constituted a taking.

Ms. West reiterated that this amendment will just update the visual to correspond to the tables and that nothing of substance is being changed.

Mr. Hill verified that nothing of substance is changing in the code.

Ms. Kovac opened the hearing for public input. Hearing no one who wished to speak, the public hearing was closed.

**MOTION** by Rhonda Eaton and second by Tony Hill to recommend approval of the text amendment revisions as submitted by staff. All voted in favor, therefore the motion passed.