

RESOLUTION NO. 2025-_____

**CITY OF POMPANO BEACH
Broward County, Florida**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF CITY OF
POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING
PARTICIPATION IN A LAWSUIT SEEKING TO HAVE
SENATE BILL 180'S IMPOSITION OF A BLANKET
STATEWIDE PROHIBITION ON THE EXERCISE OF HOME
RULE AUTHORITY OVER LAND USE AND ZONING
REGULATIONS DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND
ENJOINED; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

WHEREAS, Article VIII, Section 2(b) of the Florida Constitution provides that municipalities “shall have governmental, corporate and proprietary powers to enable them to conduct municipal government, perform municipal functions and render municipal services,” and authorizes municipalities to exercise any power for municipal purposes except as otherwise provided by law; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2(b) of Article VIII of the Florida Constitution and Chapters 163 and 166, Florida Statutes, municipalities have broad authority to adopt comprehensive plans, enact land development regulations, issue development permits, and impose temporary moratoria in furtherance of local public health, safety, and welfare, including for purposes of orderly growth, environmental protection, disaster recovery, and community resiliency; and

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2025, Senate Bill 180 (“SB 180”), titled “Emergencies,” was signed into law by Governor Ron DeSantis and became effective immediately as Chapter 2025-190, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, among other things, Section 28 of SB 180 prohibits all local government-initiated ordinances that impose “more restrictive or burdensome” comprehensive plan amendments, land development regulations, or procedures concerning review, approval, or issuance of site plans, development permits, or development orders (collectively, “Land Use and Zoning Regulations”) for the period commencing retroactively from August 1, 2024, through October 1, 2027, even if such amendments, regulations or procedures are in no way related to any hurricane or other emergency and

even if such amendments, regulations, or procedures were duly enacted prior to the enactment of SB 180; and

WHEREAS, Section 28 of SB 180 also bans local moratoria on construction, reconstruction, or redevelopment of property damaged by a hurricane during the same timeframe; and

WHEREAS, Section 18 of SB 180 further prohibits local governments that are located in counties that are entirely or partially within 100 miles of the track of any future hurricane from enacting “more restrictive or burdensome” Land Use and Zoning Regulations, and moratoria on construction, reconstruction, or redevelopment of any property, damaged or not, for a period of one year after the storm makes landfall; and

WHEREAS, SB 180 is unconstitutional and invalid because, among other things, it:

(a) embraces more than one subject and matter properly connected therewith in violation of Article III, Section 6 of the Florida Constitution;

(b) includes a defective title in violation of Article III, Section 6 of the Florida Constitution;

(c) requires municipalities and counties to spend in the aggregate an amount that exceeds an insignificant fiscal impact without including a finding that the law fulfills an important state interest as required by Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution;

(d) constitutes a sweeping intrusion on home-rule authority, threatening local ability to enact land use, zoning, flood-resiliency, and environmental protections, contrary to Article VIII, Section 2(b) of the Florida Constitution to a degree that renders the constitutional provision hollow; and

(e) contains provisions that classify political subdivisions on a basis that is not reasonably related to the subject of the law in violation of Art. III, Section 11(b) of the Florida Constitution; and

WHEREAS, despite SB 180 being titled “Emergencies,” SB 180 contains various matters that are not connected and/or are unrelated to emergencies, including Section 18 and 28’s total ban on any “more restrictive or burdensome” Land Use and Zoning Regulations, and Section 18’s prohibition on moratoria on construction, reconstruction, and redevelopment of property, even if the property is intact

and was not damaged by a hurricane or other emergency event; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of SB 180 also impose expenditure obligations upon municipalities and counties that, as conceded in the Florida Legislature’s own staff analysis, exceed the threshold amount for an unfunded mandate, despite the lack of any finding in SB180 that the law fulfills an important state interest; and

WHEREAS, Section 18 of SB 180 infringes upon municipal home rule authority by prohibiting municipalities from enacting Zoning and Land Use Regulations if they are located within a county that is entirely or partially within 100 miles of the track of a hurricane for one year in a completely indiscriminate manner that disregards the size, intensity, or impact of a hurricane on the municipality, whether a proposed Zoning and Land Use Regulation has even a *de minimis* impact on hurricane recovery efforts, or even if the Zoning and Land Use Regulations are necessary to protect the public health, safety, and welfare from the effects of a hurricane; and

WHEREAS, Section 18 of SB 180 further usurps the municipal home rule authority guaranteed by the citizens of Florida in the Florida Constitution by imposing blanket prohibitions on any moratoria on construction, reconstruction, or redevelopment of property for one year whenever a future hurricane falls within 100 miles of the county where the municipality is located, regardless of the necessity or impetus behind such moratoria; and

WHEREAS, Section 28 of SB 180 similarly prohibits municipalities from enacting Zoning and Land Use Regulations for the entire state of Florida retroactively from August 1, 2024, through October 1, 2027, without any rational justification; and

WHEREAS, SB 180’s vague prohibitions on moratoria on construction, reconstruction, and redevelopment of properties and Land Use and Zoning Regulations that are “more restrictive or burdensome,” and other ambiguous provisions render SB 180 incomprehensible, create uncertainty, chill local governance, and encourage preemptive, potentially frivolous, litigation to force local governments into repealing legislation, even if it might otherwise be a valid exercise of home rule

authority; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission of the City of Pompano Beach (the “CITY”) desires to authorize the participation of the CITY in a lawsuit seeking declaratory, injunctive, and other appropriate relief from the provisions of SB 180, which impose a blanket statewide prohibition on the exercise of home rule authority relating to Land Use and Zoning Regulations, based upon the any appropriate legal theories, including, without limitation, those set forth herein, subject to the participation of at least ten local governments (the “Lawsuit”); and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the CITY to participate in the Lawsuit with other local governments, and to urge other local governments to join as plaintiffs; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. The City Commission hereby authorizes the participation of the CITY in the Lawsuit, subject to participation of at least ten local governments.

SECTION 2. The City Attorney is authorized to retain Weiss Serota Helfman Cole Bierman, PL (the "Firm") to represent the CITY in the Lawsuit, at both the trial and appellate levels. The Firm will charge a flat fee, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, of \$10,000 to represent the CITY in the Lawsuit in the trial court, which shall be payable within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of this Resolution. The CITY shall also pay \$5,000 to the Firm to represent it in any appeal related to the Lawsuit that is filed at the District Court of Appeal within (thirty) 30 days of the filing of such appeal, and \$5,000 to the Firm to represent it in any appeal that is filed at the Florida Supreme Court within thirty (30) days of the filing of such appeal. The CITY acknowledges that the Firm will be representing other local governments in the Lawsuit and waives any conflicts related to such representation. The CITY also acknowledges that the Firm may represent other entities, private or public, at the CITY and that the representation of CITY in this Lawsuit alone, because it is part of a coalition, will not constitute a conflict of interest and, to the extent it does, waives such conflict of interest.

SECTION 3. The CITY invites and urges other local governments to join as plaintiffs in the Lawsuit and to coordinate their efforts with the CITY.

SECTION 4. This Resolution shall become effective upon passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ____ day of _____, 2025.

REX HARDIN, MAYOR

ATTEST:

KERVIN ALFRED, CITY CLERK

MEB/mcm
8/20/25
l:reso/2025-254