VENDOR NAME: FOZIDIANS F.C.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>	
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20	
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.			
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.			
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10	
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	25	
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20	
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	\bigcirc	
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	75	
	good Cost Fire pureticipants, resident	Break		
	· extensive coacting buckground			
	· Nite variety of ages · PROMORE Sports tourism um nen fi	uilir		
	TROPPIOLE SPORES TOOPSTAPE (MIT C. 1000)			
		Beleve	M	
Sigr	nature of Evaluator Date Printe	ed Name		

VENDOR NAME: HOLD MUNDO

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.	0-20	15_
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	26
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	10
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	55
	· registration is costly. · no previous success experience		
Sign	The state of the s	ULLUCIL I Name	

VENDOR NAME: Hammere Neans

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	15
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	30
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	\$5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	90
• 7	501C(3)		
•	CHILDRANT SINCEPPE CONTRACTOR FUR	many	1 Muss
. (NO References but History with a	Mr-fess.) 1
	Soulle Moyeum	10) 10 10	
70	Souther provident		
10			
<u></u>	Chimeles 3.m.18 Kure me		
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed	d Name	

VENDOR NAME: DYMPANO BUSCHALL

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	20
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	30
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5_
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	95
V.	very reasonable participant cost	×	
	· 10(a)		
	501 (c) 3		
	Currenty panpano Baxhall Re	niles	MILMA
	Fre many years	17,000	
	Recleution + competitive		
1	" Albertiere " Lange Inve		
l -			
2	4hhulure 3.13.18 Kan	mult	W
Sigr	nature of Evaluator Date Printed	Name	

EVALUATION CRITERIA RFP E-07-18 - YOUTH ATHLETIC PROGRAMS pumpano VENDOR NAME: **Point** Criteria Score Range 0-20 20 **Experience, Expertise and Membership** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal. 20 0-20 References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 30 0 - 30**Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources. 0-25 Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants. 5 **Existing Programs** Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file. 0-100 **Total** List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

" (WVIILTIAVE	7	SOUTH)CHOOL	alallable	
. [
			2		

Signature of Evaluator

かいかし

KUR MILLIEUR

Date

VENDORNAME: SOUTH FLURING MUTCLE POLO

Signature of Evaluator

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area	0-20	20
	and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		²
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and	0-30	20
	approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		P)
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	0
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	-16
	· premiene nuter polo		
	· preamute spurts Tureism		
	Wheleve 3.10.18 Kuth	e poll	ten

Date

VENDOR NAME: Point Criteria Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and Membership** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal. 10 0-20 2 References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 0-30 3 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources. 0-25 Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants. 5 **Existing Programs** Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file. 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: #

Signature of Evaluator

VEI	NDOK NAME. 7 100 CCC 449		
	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area	0-20	20
	and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	2015
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	25
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	_5_
	Total	0-100	65
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
I	X) ITENCE		
- >	outh Development		
6	apital Contribution		
1	ost una Teason Un		
	- Sesident discount	Autorioris and a second second	
	CHUVE CHUVE		
	1/1 2/2/2 Br		

VENDOR NAME: HONG // (MCD)

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score			
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	10			
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.					
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.					
2	References	0-20	10			
_	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		1			
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	15			
·	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program.		10			
	Financial resources.		2			
4	Cost	0-25	S			
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.			•		
5	Existing Programs	5	5			
Ů	Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	v				
	Total	0-100	45			
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):					
- CUSSENTY TUN SUNTES ROGSTAN						
~	Telyous on City too intrac	Ascet	USE			
des	10 resident discourt					
1	tec was confusing					
	= SRUSOUS					
	Doesn't list Bios					
	3/13/18 (3000	Donne	OVas			
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printe	d Name	(

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-07-18 - YOUTH ATHLETIC PROGRAMS

Signature of Evaluator

	7 10 10 10		
	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	15
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		15
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	20
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	10
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	65
	More recreation focused Sis Pompano Exp. from Costs	fon	ifano
	50((()3		
_	1/2/18 Prim 1	Janus !	a 1

Date

EVALUATION CRITERIA

VENDOR NAME: PAGE 10 NORTERIA

REPE-07-18 – YOUTH ATHLETIC PROGRAMS

VENDOR NAME: Page 9al (

	V o · /		
	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	10
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	1
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.		•
	Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
	Cont	0.05	75
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	
5	Existing Programs	5	
5	Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5
	Total	0-100	70
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0.100	0
	EXPI in Pompano Fee is Simple and V	EX	
		VU	
A	reasonable		
-	Ectention a Malel		
	1		
	Participants are happy		
	1/3/18/18/15/igh	Days	Val
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printe	d Name	(

VENDOR NAME: Tradalas

	y desce		
	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	10
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	16
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.		t C
	Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
4	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-23	
5	Existing Programs	5	5
	Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.		5
Lint	Total	0-100	81201
LIST	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		Recalinated
	lou't have notes P. liste		M
- 6	oue a good jos in Po ain School for Children	mpa	A 0
- <u>A</u>	OO Moule		
17			
	3/13/18 Bra.	z De	140Vad
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed	ivame	

VENDOR NAME:

	V			
	Criteria	Point Range	Score	
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	17	
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.			
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.			
2	References	0-20	20	
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.			
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	25	
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.			
4	Cost	0-25	25	
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		20	
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5	
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	87	
-(Loesn't Conflict ul offic	54	in	_
5	checkles, as tas as practi	CC5		_
_ (Populas, 00s.			_
- (2 Vartantece Events			_
	Deach water folo			_
	of to city			
/	2/11/16 Paris			-
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed	Name	Vay	

VENDOR NAME: XPTESS YOUTH

Signature of Evaluator

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.	0-20	20
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	15
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20_
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	65
P	Jevious Exp in Dompano		
	O FEE OF GOSES Overset		
	2/2/18 Brun		

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	15
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		2
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	30
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		7
5	Existing Programs	5	O
	Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.		
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	<u>e5</u>
•	Grant / Financial contributions	49	
	great description of progressive play		
	11 v 11 program		
•	Rates will be decreased by 20% for	City	<i>kesidents</i>
	Strong financial backing		
		31	

Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: Hola Mundo

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	10
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		7.
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.	,	
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10_
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	15
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	_10_
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	<u>D</u>
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	45
	ND Resident rate		
•	Proposal seem lists no current program	exper	ience
¥.	Proposal wasn't Clear		1 10
			<u> </u>
(Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Cynthia "Printed	Missy" Name	Kitts

VENDOR NAME: Hammer heads

Signature of Evaluator

1	Criteria Experience, Expertise and Membership	Point Range 0-20	Score 15
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	25
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	<u>15</u>
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5_
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	<u>75</u>
. \	Ho Cost of program is not listed.		
	Program is 501(c)3		
1	ompano hased program		
,	no marketing plan		

3/13/18 Uyntua "Missy" Kiths
Date Printed Name

VENDOR NAME: Pompano Baseball

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> <u>Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	10_
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	20
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.		
	Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	20
•	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-20	
5	Existing Programs	5	5
	Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.		
	Total	0-100	5 75
ist	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	. 501 (2) 3		
	· Pompano based program		
	Prompano based program Listed marketing plan Option of Rec ball or Travel		
	malian of man last travel		
•	option of kee hall or travel		
	Music Kills 31. 11.	" NAS- 18	Will
_	Muy 1443 3/13/18 Cynthia Printe	d Name	14713
ıgr	rature or Evaluator Date Printe	u Marrie	

12mpano VENDOR NAME: **Point** Criteria Score Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and Membership** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal. 20 0-20 References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 0-30 **Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources. 0-25 Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants. 5 **Existing Programs** 5 Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file. 90 0-100 **Total** List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Swim program with warm Stroke School Pompano program

VENDORNAME: South Florida Water Polo

Signature of Evaluator

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score	
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.	0-20	20	
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.			
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>	
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	20	
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	<u>20</u>	
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	0	
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	75	
•	member of United States Water Polo Ass.	ociation	77	
	Premire water polo Club Will Utilize both aquatic facilities			E de la companya de l
	Muster with 3/13/18 Contling "	Missy"	Kiths	

VENDOR NAME: Point Score Criteria Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and Membership** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal. ID 0-20 2 References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 0-30 15 **Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources. 15 0-25 Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants. 5 **Existing Programs** Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file. 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): program under

VENDOR NAME: ___ FLORIDIAWS F.C.

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	15
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects.	0-20	20
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	25
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	80
	IGES 8-15 AVOTUBL PAGE SAYS 4-15		
	· VIRTUALLY FREE TO ALL RESTORNES BECOME	se of	GRAUTS.
0	MORE ABOUT SPORTPLEY THE REC PROGRAM.		
0	Scans WE THEY WANT TO OPERATE FROM	SPOITS	PLEY
	NOT THROUGH OUR REE PROGRAM		
•	NOT LOCAL		
•	FOR PROFIT . BROWARD	REGION	JAL
	· CAPITAL CO	NTRIB	otion lok
	3.13.18 Scorr	Moord	
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed	Name	

VENDOR NAME: HOLA MUNDO

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area	0-20	
	and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References	0-20	0
	History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-30	5
	Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-25	1.0
	Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.		
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	0
List	Total he reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	25
"W	E EXPECT TO USE ALL OF THE CHY OF POMPAN	10 BUACI	H'S SOCIEN
	TUDS AND ADJACENT FACILITIES"		
Joi	U THE FYSA.COM		
	THES \$150 PU MONTH 10% DISCOUT SIBUR	J 64	
	OUNTIME 300 REGISTRATION FRE		
. h	o represence to payments to City		
	* FOR PROFIT *		
6	3.13.18 SCOTT MC	ore	
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed		

VENDOR NAME: PBFC HAMMBAHEADS

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership	0-20	20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	15
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.	0-30	30
	Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.		
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	25
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	95
5	01 (c) (3) - 2007 WITH POMPANO		
	COMMUNITY COMMITMENT THROUGH REC	Peogean.	Ā
	3 v3 only		
	OLD I MISS COSTS?		
CV	RRENTLY OPERATING IN FOOLPAND - HISTORY.		
Cian		MOORE	
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printe	d Name	

VENDOR NAME: POMPANO BASEBALL

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.	0-20	20
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		4.7
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>~~</u>
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	30
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	95
	501 C (3) \$ 110 - 160 PM PARTICIPANT		
	18 Yes IN POMPANO		
4) () (0	LOOKE	***************************************
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Printed	l Name	

VENDOR NAME: PIRANHAS GWIMMING

<u>Criteria</u>	Point Score	
1 Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject and proceedings at affine process in the subject and processing at a first subject at a first subject and processing at a first subject su		
and coaching staff experience, including whether or not organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nation recognized youth organizations.	nally	
Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and o technical or legal issues related to the proposal.	other	
2 References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects.	0-20 10	
References and recommendations from previous clients.	12	
3 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program.	0-30 50	
Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided an approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	nd	
4 Cost	0-25	
Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns organization participants.	to	
5 Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	
Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100 [60]	
401 C 3		
CURALNINY in POMPANO		_
STRONG PROGRAM		_
COACHES +	4	
# 100 per month.		
		_
		_
Signature of Evaluator Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: SOUTH FLORIDA HZO Polo

Signature of Evaluator

1	<u>Criteria</u> Experience, Expertise and Membership	Point Range 0-20	Score 20
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	15
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	10
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	0
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	75
~ C	OST 80-100 MONTH		
• 5	FTENDER HOURS - MAY CONFLICT WOTH SWIMMING		
•	0% to city		
4	3-13-18 SOUTT M	DONE	

Date

VENDOR NAME: XPRESS YOUTH

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	Score
1	Experience, Expertise and Membership Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area and coaching staff experience, including whether or not the organization and/or coaching staff are currently members in nationally recognized youth organizations.	0-20	<u>-W</u>
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the proposal.		
2	References History and performance of organization/ team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	10
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the program. Overall approach to program. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and objectives of the program. Financial resources.	0-30	<u>15</u>
4	Cost Including the overall program budget and itemized cost breakdowns to organization participants.	0-25	20
5	Existing Programs Consideration given, unless the City has documented issues on file.	5	000 5
List	Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):	0-100	80
· DID NOT COMPLETE RFP.			
-			
VI			, ,
	3.13.18 Scort	noorg	

Signature of Evaluator

Date