E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Proposer_DTM Tech, Inc

-ropos Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
2	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff	0-15	9
	(2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects		4.0
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	12
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	5
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	9
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5
	TOTAL		61

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

-HVAC/ Mechanical/ Fire Protection/Electrical? All in house? - Some Government experience with Broward County. Currently working on Port of Miami project.

COMMENTS-2:

-LEED certified - No COPB projects with in 5 years -No litigation

COMMENTS-3:

-Sequil Systems involvement (LEED) - One local Vendor for T&B.

COMMENTS-4:

- -Located close to Pompano, Oakland Park- Staff seems experienced and capable **COMMENTS-5**:
- -Provided schedule and work load, would like to see more specific work load Project \$ range \$300k-\$20M.

COMMENTS-6:

-Would like to see more experience with local Municipalities. More information on projects completed on time and budget.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020	Anthony Alhashemi	
Date	Printed Name	

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Pistorino & Alam Consulting Engineers

Propos	Ser		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects	0-15	11
	 b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		13
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Location	0-15	10
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		9
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>J</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		52

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

-LEED accredited - Mechanical/Plumbing/Electrical Alarm services in house? -Other Engineering services Civil/ Structural

COMMENTS-2:

-Provided good technical approach and schedule strategies

COMMENTS-3:

-Project experience up to +\$50M - Municipalities experience but nothing with COPB.

COMMENTS-4:

-Detailed on permit process - Staff experienced and capable -

COMMENTS-5:

-Located S. Miami - More information on projects completed in budget and time **COMMENTS-6**:

-Prime consultant- Larger consultant with more workload, may conflict with smaller projects? Some litigation

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020	Anthony Alhashemi	
Date	Printed Name	

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Proposer SGM Engineering

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	13
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	13
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	<u> </u>
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		61

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

-Office in Fort Lauderdale but based in Orlando - LEED accredited and CxA in house

COMMENTS-2:

-Experience with Municipalities but not COPB -Projects range up to \$7M - Electrical/Structural/Arch sub-consultants but have Elec. Eng in house

COMMENTS-3:

-No litigation - Provided technical approach- Would like to see more on schedule and budget accomplishments.

COMMENTS-4:

- -Current work load need more detail- What is the need for the sub-consultants COMMENTS-5:
- -Staff seems experienced and qualified but would like to know work load **COMMENTS-6**:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020	Anthony Alhashemi	
Date	Printed Name	

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Proposer_TLC			
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	12_
	c. References from past projects performed by the firmd. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	13
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	9
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u> </u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		60

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:		
-Experience with COPB (Beach Library) - Litigation - Multiple locations (Miami-Deerfield		
COMMENTS-2:		
-Technical approach and schedule proced	lures providedWhat about electrical? Any sub-consultants?	
COMMENTS-3:		
-Projects experience up to \$2.5M -Need	more detail on workload and projects completed in budget	
COMMENTS-4:		
COMMENTS-5:		
COMMENTS-6:		
IMPORTANT NOTE:		
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Ev	valuation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By	
Typing my name below, I certify that this i purposes of confirming my evaluation bel	information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for	
purposes of committing my evaluation bei	OW.	
10/7/2020	Anthony Alhashemi	

Printed Name

Date

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

DTM Proposer Point Score Criteria Line Range 9 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: 0 - 151 a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: 0 - 15a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 10 0-15 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: 3 a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office 0 - 15Current and Projected Workload 4 Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points 0 - 15Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time 5 Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. 0 - 156 Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida 0 - 10Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-7 contractors should also be included with the response.) 60

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:
Pompano Police Facility work, not the firm but an employee
COMMENTS-2:
Office in Oakland Park?
COMMENTS-3:
Are all services provided in-house?
COMMENTS-4:
Employee Workload is missing but has project workload
COMMENTS-5:
Qualifications are good
COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Brian Donovan	
Printed Name	
	Brian Donovan Printed Name

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Proposer Pistorino & Alarm

Propos	ser		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	12
2	 c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff 	0-15	12
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Location	0-15	10
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		10
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	10
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		54

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-	<u>1:</u>		
Workload data?			
COMMENTS-2	<u>2:</u>		
Located in	n S. Miami		
COMMENTS-	<u>3:</u>		
Some ser	vices sub-out		
COMMENTS-	<u>4:</u>		
COMMENTS-	<u>5:</u>		
COMMENTS-6:			
Typing my na	ed the Proposal using the E	evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for elow.	
	10/7/20	Brian Donovan	
	Date	Printed Name	

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

$_{\mathsf{Proposer}}\mathsf{SGM}$

Propos	ser		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	13
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		4.5
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	15
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		77

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1	<u>1:</u>			
Office loca	ated in Ft. Lauderda	ile		
COMMENTS-2	<u>2:</u>			
Have proj	ect experience with	cities		
COMMENTS-3	<u>3:</u>			
Need mor	re info on workload	*		
COMMENTS-4	<u>4:</u>			
good app	roach to projects			
COMMENTS-	<u>5:</u>			
COMMENTS-	<u>6:</u>			
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.				
	10/7/20	Brian Donovan		
	Date	Printed Name		

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Proposer_TLC Eng.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	11
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	13
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	14
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		40
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		62

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1	<u>l:</u>			
Proposal	could use more det	ail		
COMMENTS-2	<u>2:</u>			
Litigation				
COMMENTS-3	<u>3:</u>			
Sub on so	ome PB projects			
COMMENTS-4	<u>1:</u>			
COMMENTS-	<u>5:</u>			
COMMENTS-6	<u>5:</u>		*	
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.				
	10/7/20	Brian Donovan		
	Date	Printed Name		

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: DTM Tech Inc.

Topos	er. DTWI Tech inc.	Point	
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	14
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	14
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	14
3	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0.10	14
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	8
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	10
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5
	TOTAL		77

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Team experience 30 yrs. Not contracted as prime with COPB in past 5 years. Projects in Broward, N Palm Beach, and Miami. No litigation.
COMMENTS-2: Staff Experience and qualifications, key staff of 9.

COMMENTS-3: Oakland Park / Palm Beach Gardens

COMMENTS-4: Project workload provided P:26. Employee workload not provided.

COMMENTS-5: Approach provided, O&M staff as a resource, Gantt chart sample.

COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing

Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: Pistorino & Alam Consulting

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe	0-15	13
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	13
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		62

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: 35 yrs. in business. Identified as prime on several projects in Miami, construction cost provided. One open litigation
COMMENTS-2: Staff Experience and qualifications, key staff of 5. Total employees 35.
COMMENTS-3: Miami
COMMENTS-4: Staff and project workload not provided.
COMMENTS-5: Schedule approach.
COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: SGM Engineering Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff	0-15	15
	(2) Education of staff		
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	14
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	15
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	15
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		80

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: 29 Yrs. Business. Projects in Palm Beach, Broward, and Miami Dade. Several continuing contracts. No Litigation.
COMMENTS-2: Staff Experience and qualifications, key staff of 9 + 15 Designers listed
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale/Orlando
COMMENTS-4: Workload noted on P:8, Staff and project workload not provided.
COMMENTS-5: Approach notes resources, challenges, and remote web meetings. Technology resources provided.
COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing
Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: TLC Engineering for Architecture

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		63

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: 65 Yrs. Business. Litigation list. COPB beach Library sub

COMMENTS-2: Staff Experience and qualifications, key staff of 5. Employees in Miami 26.

COMMENTS-3: Deerfield/Miami

COMMENTS-4: Staff and project workload not provided.

COMMENTS-5: General approach

COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing

Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: DTM Tech Inc.

ropose	roposer: DTM Tech Inc.					
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score			
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	9			
0	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	0			
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff	0-15	9			
	c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects					
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12			
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	5			
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	0			
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.					
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	0			
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.					
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5			
	TOTAL		40			

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

<u>10/7/2020</u> <u>Christopher R. Schlageter</u>

Date Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: Pistorino & Alam Consulting

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	0
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		36

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: mid size firm; mostly private work
COMMENTS-2:
COMMENTS-3: Miami
COMMENTS-4: did not provide
COMMENTS-5: did not provide
COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Christopher R. Schlageter

Printed Name

10/7/2020

Date

COMMENTS:

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: SGM Engineering Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to	0-15	0
6	complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	0
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	6
	TOTAL		44

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS: COMMENTS-1: mid size firm; a lot of government work/inhouse MEP;turn-key firm COMMENTS-2: COMMENTS-3: Orlando/Fort Lauderdale COMMENTS-4: did not provide COMMENTS-5: did not provide COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Notes:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020

Christopher R. Schlageter

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-27-20 Continuing Contract for Civil Engineering Services for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Design Services

Proposer: TLC Engineering for Architecture

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe)	0-15	14
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	13
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	10
6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	0
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		51

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: large firm; very experienced	;does all MEP inhouse;have worked in pompano
COMMENTS-2:	
COMMENTS-3: Deerfield	
COMMENTS-4: did not provide	
COMMENTS-5: completed projects for City helpful and solicitous to concerns	of Pompano as subconsultant to Architect of record and was
COMMENTS-6: Provided by Purchasing	
Notes:	
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Eval my name below, I certify that this informatio confirming my evaluation below.	uation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing on is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of
10/7/2020	Christopher R. Schlageter
Date	Printed Name

COMMENTS:

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

_ine	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	10
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project c. Qualifications of technical staff:	0-15	10
	(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Provinity of the percent office to the project location:	0.15	13
4	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	13
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned Respondents which tail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	10
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar		
	achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial populations.	0-15	10
	costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
_	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida		5
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		(68)
	Tiget Fig. 1 and Duringer will be reloulated an combined scoring totals of each C	omnany	

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Appropriate the forest control of the state	
	FIRM HAS similar project experience for
COMMENTS-2:	
	many other governmental / public agencies.

	Has not had any previous work with the
COMMENTS-4:	
	City of Pompus Beach.
COMMENTO E.	
COMMENTS-6:	
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

10/5/2020

Printed Name
Navyen Tran

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Propos	ser <u>Pistorio & Alaum Consulting</u>		Score
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	11
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b Complexity of similar projects		
	c Deferences from nest projects performed by the tirm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	11
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-13	1
_	a. Organizational chart for project		
	Victoria and a second of the s		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0.15	8
2	Drawinity of the poorest office to the project location.		
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	8
4	Current and Projected Workload		
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned		
	Respondents which fall to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	10
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time		10
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's		
	achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
	complete projects on time shall receive mere period on Budget	0-15	10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets Examples provided should should about a comparison between initial populations.		-10
	costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail and budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0 points.	y () ()	
_	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florid	a 	_0
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		(50)
	in the extended on combined scoring totals of each	compan	٧.

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

Department of the second second second second second	
	Firm has experience with projects of similar
COMMENTS-2:	
	5174. Staff seems experienced and toaned.
	3
	Has not done any work with City of Pompans or
COMMENTS-4:	
	CPA. Did not see Workload or staff availability
COMMENTALTO E.	
	intermation.
COMMENTS 6.	
COMMENTS-6.	
The state of the s	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

Date

Printed Name

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Propos	ser SGM Engineering		
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	13
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	12
2	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Provinity of the postest office to the project leastion: a. Location	0 15	13
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned Respondents which tall to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project scriedules. Frovide an example of successful approaches unliked to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Evamples provided should should should approximate between initial possibled took	0-15	12
	costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
_	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida		6
Ĭ.	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		(69)
*0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each or	ompany.	

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

And the second s
COMMENTS-2: FIRM has plenty of experience with large
COMMENTS-2:
galed government building cooling systems.
Stalk has experience & training to tackle future
COMMENTS-4:
Staff has experience & training to tackle future COMMENTS-4: HVAC installs; including all electrical & plumbing related Scivices. Did not see workload or Staff COMMENTS-6:
COMMENTO E.
related scivices. Did not see workload or Staff
COMMENTS-6:
availability information.
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
10/7/2020 Nguyen Tran Printed Name

E-27-20 Continuing Contracts for Mechanical and Plumbing Engineering Services

Propos	er TLC Enginering		
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	
2	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	10
2	c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects Provinity of the population to the project leastion:	0.45	13
4	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned Respondents which rail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project scriedules. Frovide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	-8-
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should		_8_
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida contractors should also be included with the response.)		(50)
	TOTAL		

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

	1 In V
Name of Control of Con	Firm how experience with similar large
COMMENTS-2:	
	Scaled Municipal buildings and associated
AA444	
	HVAC systems. Only experience with Pomanois
COMMENTS-4:	
	Ext Beach library o Did not see my Moject
COMMANDITO E.	
	workload or staff availability information.
COMMENTS-6:	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/7/2020 Date Ng cyen Tvan Printed Name