RFP25-001
Design-Build Services Oceanside Parking Garage Part A
Ordinal Scoring
3/26/2025

	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC	Florida Lemark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC dba MCM	Stiles Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
Chris Brown	1	4	5	3	2
Tommy DiGiorgio	2	5	4	1	3
Tammy Good	3	4	5	2	1
Jeff Lantz	1	4	5	3	2
Suzette Sibble	3	4	5	2	1
Total	10	21	24	11	9

Rank 2 4 5 3 1

## Notes:

Proposers will rank each proposal by ordinal ranking system with a score of "1" assigned to the Proposer deemed most qualified by the Committee member. The company with the fewest total points is ranked #1.

## The shortlisted Proposers will be evaluated under Part B, independent of the scores and criteria reviewed in Part A.

Please score each respondent in the Tab Associated with the Committee Member Name

Committee Evaluation Member	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC		
Column1 Name	(Finfrock Construction, LLC)	<b>Stiles Corporation</b>	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
1 Suzzette Sibble	67.000	87.533	95.378
2 Tammy Good	60.000	70.533	95.378
3 Jeff Lantz	81.000	72.533	91.378
4 Chris Brown	88.000	73.533	91.378
5 Tommy Digiorgio	75.000	86.533	86.378
Total	<i>371</i>	<i>391</i>	460
Rank	3	2	1

Section No.	Section Description	Total Potential Points	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC (Finfrock Construction, LLC)	Florida Lemark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC d/b/a MCM	Stiles Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
18.2.1	Conceptual Design	30	1.5			28	30
18.2.2	Programming - Required Parking	10	10			10	10
18.2.3	Technical Approach	15	和 网络阿姆斯斯伊斯斯 经工业公司	ATEL STATE		12	15
18.2.4	Resiliency	5	2			3	5
18.2.5	Environmental Compliance	5	3				95
18.2.6	Project Timeline	10	10			10	10
18.2.7	Schedule	10	10			10	P
18.2.8	Coordination	5	3		34 H	5	5
18.3	Financial Impact (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	5	3.712	1.759	2.033	2.878
18.4	Local Buisness Program (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	0	0	0	2.5	2.5/
	Total	100	5,000	3.712	1,759	4.533	98:378
		200	07.00	5.722	217 33	87.533	95-378
		Rank	2	4	5	3	1

Evaluation	Committee	Member: Suzzette Sibble	

I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above	ve and verified their accuracy		
I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above Signature:	1 1 0		
XX	10000		5.20. 2025
Signature:		Date:	J. 40. 400)

Notes: Top firms were whiting Turner and Stiles Corp. Whiting

Turner including raising site for resiliency stood out. Liked

Cotal design. Very comprehensive proposal in approach a

addressing security, design a also fit more spaces than

Was required for the price

on No.	Section Description	Total Potential Points	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC (Finfrock Construction, LLC)	Florida Lernark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC d/b/a MCM	Stiles Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
18.2.1	Conceptual Design	30	2.0,			25	30
18.2.2	Programming - Required Parking	10	10			10_	10
18.2.3	Technical Approach	15	10			15	15
18.2.4	Resiliency	5	0			0	5
18.2.5	Environmental Compliance	5	3			3_	83.5
18.2.6	Project Timeline	10	5			5_	10
18.2.7	Schedule	10	5			3	10-
18.2.8	Coordination	5	1			3	3
18.3	Financial Impact (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	5	3(712	1.789	2.033	2.878
18.4	Local Buisness Program (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	0		\$	2.5	2.5
	Total	100	5.000	3.712	1.759	4.533	5.378
rm that I have revi	mber: Tammy Good ewed the scores above and verified their ac sture: Jamy How	curacy	60 ate: 5-20-2025			70.53	3 95.3
	lotes:	_ 0	ate:	<u>-</u>			

ection No.	Section Description	Total Potential Points	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC (Finfrock Construction, LLC)	Florida Lemark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC d/b/a MCM	Stiles Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
18.2.1	Conceptual Design	30	25			20	30
18.2.2	Programming - Required Parking	10	10			10	10
18.2.3	Technical Approach	15	/2			10	15
18.2.4	Resiliency	5	3			3	5
18.2.5	Environmental Compliance	5	5			5	5
18.2.6	Project Timeline	10	8			7	8
18.2.7	Schedule	10	8			8	8
18.2.8	Coordination	5	5			5	8
	Financial Impact (Scored by Procurement &						
18.3	Contracts Department)	5	5	3.712	1.759	2.033	2.878
18.4	Local Buisness Program (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	0 -	0	0	25.4	2.5
10.4	Total	100	5.000 %	3.712	1.759	2.5/ 4.53372	,535 5,278 91.
Signa	ewed the scores above and verified the leads		5-20-25 ate:5			(30)	
	lotes:						

ection No.	Section Description	Total Potential Points	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC (Finfrock Construction, LLC)	Florida Lemark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC d/b/a MCM	Stiles Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
18.2.1	Conceptual Design	30	23			24	28
18.2.2	Programming - Required Parking	10	10			<b>37</b> 7	9
18.2.3	Technical Approach	15	15			12	14
18.2.4	Resiliency	5	5			4	5
18.2.5	Environmental Compliance	5	5			4	5
18.2.6	Project Timeline	10	/0			8	10
18.2.7	Schedule	10	10			7	10
18.2.8	Coordination	5	5			3	5
18.3	Financial Impact (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	5	3.712	1.759	2.033	2.878
18.4	Local Buisness Program (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	0,	0	0	2.5	2.5
	Total	100	5,000 88 ° 00	3.712	1.759	4.533	5,378
			88 000			73.533	5,378
		Rank	2	4	5	3	1

		***************************************	 		·····
valuation Committee Member: Chris Brown					
confirm that I have reviewed the scores above and verified their accu					
Signature: Chur Bin	Date: _ <b>0</b> _	5/20/2005			
Notes:					
	at a				11
-				<u> </u>	

No.	Section Description	Total Potential Points	FINFROCK Enterprises, LLC (Finfrock Construction, LLC)	Florida Lemark Corporation	Magnum Construction Management, LLC d/b/a MCM	Corporation	The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company
18.2.1	Conceptual Design	30	20	THE RESERVE		28	2 26
18.2.2	Programming - Required Parking	10	8			9 3	. 9
18.2.3	Technical Approach	15	15	ASSESSED AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF TH		1514	15
18.2.4	Resiliency	5	3			4	4
18.2.5	Environmental Compliance	5	3	HARLES SHOW		4	4
18.2.6	Project Timeline	10	8			9	9
18.2.7	Schedule	10	0	1200000		9	9_
18.2.8	Coordination	5	5			5	5
18.3	Financial Impact (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5	5 /	3.712	1.759	2.033	2.878
18.4	Local Buisness Program (Scored by Procurement & Contracts Department)	5		0	0	, L	in d
10.1	Total	100	5,000 75.00	3.712	1.759	4.833	5,378 86
	mber: Tommy Digiorgio ewed the scores above and verified their ac	curacy				4//	
Signa	uture:	_ D	te: 5-20-2	25			
	oture:	_ D.	nte: 5 - 20 - 7	25			
		_ D.	nte: 5 - 20 - 7				