RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer PC CONTROLS, INC

Criteria	<u>Point</u> <u>Range</u>	Scoring
Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
References	0-20	20
 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		2.0
Resources and Methodology	0-25	20
 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. 		
 Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
Cost	0-30	_30
 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		05
Total	0-100	45
	 Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. Resources and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

-

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer_	TRI	NOVA	INC

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	0
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	References	0-20	20
	 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		4
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	
	 Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. 		
	 Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	20
	 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		10
	Total	0-100	40

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Pour	Sugn	IITAL -	No	EXPENIENCE	OF STAF	F SUBMITTE	0
				And REFERE			and the second
,				OTHER TWO			20%
						, ,	

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

UNIVERSAL CONTROLS/ Proposer GOSSAMAR BAY, INC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	References	0-20	20
	 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.	0-25	25
	 Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	15
	 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		0-
	Total	0-100	85

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

	Ru	EXPERIENCED STAFF W/ KNOWLEAGE AND ABILITY TO PERF
WORK NEEDS. VERY GOOD REFERENCES. CREAT DIBMITTEL	<u>_</u>	WORK NEEDS. VERY GOOD REFERENCES. GREAT SUBMITTED
HIGHEST COST/HR - LOWEST MARK UP-		

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer PC Controls

1	Criteria Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	Scoring 15
•	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 	0.20	15
2	 References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	
3	 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and 	0-25	<u>15</u>
4	approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	15
	breakdowns. Total	0-100	60
COMI	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of a second s		npany.
l belie	ve that from their experience to price ratio does not meet my expectat	ions	
7.			
	RTANT NOTE: reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP	an	d outl

Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

William Jagemann	12/16/2020	William Jagemann	2
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

Propo	_{oser} TriNova				
		<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise			0-25	20
	 Previous related work ex area of personnel assigned. scope of work and other tech 		standing of		20
2	References			0-20	20
		of firm/project team on simil endations from previous clie			15
3	Resources and Methodolog Adequacy of amount of o	ly uality resources assigned to	the project.	0-25	
	 Overall approach to project approach to meeting goals are 	ect. Consideration of service nd deadlines. Financial reso			10
4	Cost			0-30	10
	 Including the overall projection breakdowns. 	ect-task budget and itemized	i cost		65
	Total			0-100	
<u>COMI</u>	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business wind MENTS: nittal could have been better				
calibr	rations. I do have prior exper	rience with their technician	s in the field and	d in which	n they are
well	ounded				
l have Typir	RTANT NOTE: e reviewed the Proposal using ng my name below, I certify th oses of confirming my evalua	hat this information is corr			
Willi	am Jagemann	12/16/2020	William J	agema	ann
Signa	ture of Evaluator	Date	Printed I	Name	

Prop	Universal Controls				
		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range 0-25	Scoring 25
1	 Previous related work exarea of personnel assigned. scope of work and other tech 		erstanding of	0-23	20
2		e of firm/project team on sin nendations from previous c		0-20	20
3	 Overall approach to project 	quality resources assigned ect. Consideration of servi	ces provided and	0-25	<u>25</u>
4	approach to meeting goals aCostIncluding the overall projected breakdowns.	nd deadlines. Financial resect-task budget and itemiz		0-30	20
*O 50/	Total Tier1/Tier2 Local Business w	ill he calculated on combin	ed scoring totals of	0-100	90 many
	MENTS:	iii be calculated on combin	iod dooring totale of	odon oon	, sairy
Grea	t submittal. Great reviews a	nd can see proof of certi	fications.		
Their	technicians seem to have g	great experience that bac	ck up their work.		
l hav Typir	PRTANT NOTE: e reviewed the Proposal using ng my name below, I certify to poses of confirming my evalu	hat this information is co	a stated in the RFP orrect and will serv	and outl e as my	ined above. By 'signature" for
Willi	am Jagemann	12/16/2020	William J	agem	ann
Signa	ature of Evaluator	Date	Printed I	Name	

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer PC Controls

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Scoring 20
1	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 	0-25	20
2	 References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	20
3	 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	20
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total	0-30 0-100	90
COMI	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of one of the second scoring totals of one of the second scoring totals of one of the second scoring totals of the second scoring scorin		
was	good however, I would have liked to see more details on the	certifica	ations they
holo	l		
I have	RTANT NOTE: e reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP ng my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serv	and outl e as my	ined above. By "signature" for

Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Confin	12/16/2020	Jason Mraz		
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name		

Prop	_{oser} TriNova				
		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range	Scoring
1	Experience and Expertise			0-25	<u>15</u>
	 Previous related work exarea of personnel assigned. scope of work and other tech 		rstanding of		15
2	References			0-20	
		e of firm/project team on sim nendations from previous cli			15
3	Resources and Methodolo	gy		0-25	15
	 Adequacy of amount of 	quality resources assigned t	to the project.		
	 Overall approach to propapproach to meeting goals a 	ect. Consideration of service and deadlines. Financial res			25
4	Cost			0-30	25
	 Including the overall probreakdowns. 	ject-task budget and itemize	ed cost		70
	Total			0-100	70
	IMENTS: appointed with their submitta	I. No certifications submit	tted. Little submit	ted on th	eir qualifications.
	2				
l hav Typi	ORTANT NOTE: ye reviewed the Proposal using my name below, I certify poses of confirming my evaluation.	that this information is co	stated in the RFF rrect and will ser Jason N	ve as my	lined above. By "signature" for
Sian	ature of Evaluator	Date	Printed	Name	

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Universal (Controls
-------------	----------

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Scoring 25
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	 Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2	References	0-20	20
	 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		25
3	Resources and Methodology • Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.	0-25	
	 Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		22
4	Cost	0-30	
	 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		02
	Total	0-100	92

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Very nice submittal. Certifications and resumes provided for their

staff members. Based on their submittal I am confident they can

provide the services we require

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Coffee	12/16/2020	Jason Mraz	
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Proposer PC Controls

 Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total *0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of experience and qualifications in the subject and understanding of the subject and the project.	0-25 0-20 0-25	23 23 21 22 89
 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total *0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of expressions. 	0-25	21
 Resources and Methodology Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total *0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of expressions.	0-30	
 approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total *0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of expressions. 		22 89
breakdowns. Total *0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of e		89
	0-100	-
COMMENTS:	each com	npany.
Past experience mainly positive. Pricing has lots of add-ons.		7

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennskamp	12-16-2020	Randal Rennekamp		
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name		

4.

Propo	_{oser} Tri-Nova				
1	 Experience and Expertise Previous related work experse of personnel assigned. 	Criteria Derience and qualifications i		Point Range 0-25	Scoring 20
2	scope of work and other technical References		to the project.	0-20	23_
3	Resources and Methodolog • Adequacy of amount of quantity of the second	uality resources assigned to	o the project.	0-25	23_
4	approach to meeting goals arCostIncluding the overall project	ect. Consideration of service nd deadlines. Financial reso	ources.	0-30	22
	breakdowns. Total			0-100	88_
COM	o Tier1/Tier2 Local Business wi MENTS: mittal doesn't have certification				
I have Typir	RTANT NOTE: e reviewed the Proposal usin ng my name below, I certify th oses of confirming my evalua	nat this information is cor			
Ran	dal Rennskamp	12-16-2020	Randal R	Rennel	kamp
Signa	iture of Evaluator	Date	Printed I	Name	

RFP E-30-20 Repairs and Calibration of Flow Meters and Related Devices

Universal Controls						
Proposer						
	<u>Cr</u>	<u>iteria</u>		<u>Point</u> Range	Scoring	
1	Experience and Expertise			0-25	<u>25</u>	
	 Previous related work experientarea of personnel assigned. Demoscope of work and other technical of 	nstrates a clear understa	nding of		25	
2	References			0-20		
	History and performance of firmReferences and recommendation				25	
3	Resources and Methodology			0-25		
	 Adequacy of amount of quality Overall approach to project. Capproach to meeting goals and deal 	onsideration of services	provided and		21	
4	Cost			0-30		
	 Including the overall project-tas 	k budget and itemized co	ost			
	breakdowns.				96	
	Total			0-100		
*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS: Excellent presentation. References were 100% positive.						
	o .					
					-	
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFP and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.						
	Randal Pannéamp 12	-16-2020	Randal R	ennel	kamp	

Date

Signature of Evaluator