

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Danz Contracting

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>0</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>0</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>0</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>0</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>0</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

\$7 millions in liquids.

COMMENTS Danz is lacking experience as a Company. Projects listed are under Mr. Dominguez & Mr. D'Alessandro worked for another company. References are personal references. Company was formed January of 2019. Albert Dominguez was lead of generators on F.M. break and left without one knowledge.

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

6-24-19
Date

Ben Bray
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Hinterland Group, Inc

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>22</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>77</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. *Palm Beach City. Not analyzing*

↳ Mostly L.S. Retains.

COMMENTS *On business since 2006. Repair 6" main for PBC - \$88,720*
Liquidated cash 1.3 million which is low. They
had 10 vac trucks. Coated manholes in the past for C.B.P.B.
Had a leak and was hard to get them to return. Good work
for most part. Small paving company. Nice corporate resume.

BB
Signature of Evaluator

6-24-19
Date

Ben Bray
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Lanzo Construction Company

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>21</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>13</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>15</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>64</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1 Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS They did perform 42" RCP Force Main for us. They haven't demonstrated full range of work we are looking for. Financials are low. Did not give cost estimates. They lost 2 of their leading people. A lot of litigation. Took advantage of costs on our force main work.

Ben Bray
Signature of Evaluator

6-24-19
Date

Ben Bray
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Man-Lon Incorporated

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>24</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	⁶⁵ <u>15</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>74</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. Broward business

COMMENTS Did not provide financial records. No litigation
Has numerous Emergency contracts. Requested 48 hours
to provide financial record

BO 57
Signature of Evaluator

6-26-19
Date

Ben Bray
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Reynolds Construction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>22</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>17</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>22</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>86</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. * Local firm.

COMMENTS 12 pipeline crews, multiple large pipe diameter projects. Line stops with 1/2 pass. Had references w/ better projects in the Keys. No litigation

Ben Bray
Signature of Evaluator

6-26-19
Date

Ben Bray
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Danz Construction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>10</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>10</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>40</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS No information on website. New company as of 1/2019, all experience with other companies.

Signature of Evaluator

06/26/2019

Date

A. Randolph Brown

Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Hinterland Group

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>25</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>90</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Liftstation rehabilitation experience, Website under construction, located in Rivera Beach



Signature of Evaluator

06/26/2019

Date

A. Randolph Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Lanzo Construction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u> <u>25</u> <i>AKB</i>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>25</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>80</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS 50 years in business, repaired the City's 42" force main 1/2019. Lanzo has over 200 employees HDPE experience, Lots of large pipe experience Used me as a reference without requesting permission and including my City cell in the documents, poor form

than expected lost 2 main people lots of litigation
36 CASES

AKB

06/26/2019

A. Randolph Brown

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services


VENDOR NAME: Man-Con Incorporated

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>25</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>25</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>90</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS has contract with local fabricator (Turner Enviromental Deerfield) No mention of reuse.
No litigation in the past for 5 years, long construction list, 30 years in business. Underground licensed
contractor. HDPE experience

NO FINANCIAL Records USE of Local vendors



Signature of Evaluator

06/26/2019

Date

A. Randolph Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Reynolds Construction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>80</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS 120 employees, 12 pipe crews in FL. Locations in TN, PA, GA, CO, FL and headquarters in Indiana. Audit was good, HDPE experience NO litigation multi large pipe



Signature of Evaluator

06/26/2019

Date

A. Randolph Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Danz

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>—</u> <i>NONE shown</i>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>—</u> <i>NONE shown</i>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.Financial resources.	0-25	<u>5</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>—</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>5</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

DISMISS

COMMENTS *Lacking experience as "DANZ" Company was not formed until January 2019. No Project experience given under the title of DANZ; only personal references to Mr. Dominguez and Mr. D'Allesandro. Company shows no actual equipment assets; only ability to rent items*

Billy Clayton

Signature of Evaluator

June 26, 2019

Date

BARRY CLAYTON

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Hinterland

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>75</u>

N/A Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Has done previous work in Pompano Beach.
Has some decent experiences shown, past and present.
Apparently has a 2M ongoing contract with Palm Beach County.
Company also shows records of being "Bondable" Qualified for FDOT
right of way work. Very well versed in waste water experience.

Bobby Clayton
Signature of Evaluator

June 26, 2019
Date

Bobby Clayton
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: LANZO

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. • Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. • References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>10</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. • Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. • Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>10</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>50</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Very Capable of large scale pipe repair work, has full range of available parts. Costs \$ \$ did not show a complete range of abilities outside of pipe repair. Financially may be a bit low overall. Experience, was that they are quick to respond to Emergencies

Paul Clayton
Signature of Evaluator

June 26, 2019
Date

Robert Clayton
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: ManCon

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>25</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>85</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

Local fabricator, good experience and
Very capable company.
list of references related to work done.
Did not provide a lot of financial records.
Many repair contracts and solid combined experience.

Bobby Clayton
Signature of Evaluator

Jun 26, 2019
Date

Bobby Clayton
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-27-19

Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Rynolds

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>20</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 		
2 References	0-20	<u>15</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3 Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>15</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4 Cost	0-30	<u>25</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
TOTAL	0-100	<u>75</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

good experience with pipe work HTRF etc.
 live stop procedures. HRS emergency assets on the
 breaks. lots good financial gentry
 large pipe work experience.
 No submittal

Patty Clayton

Signature of Evaluator

June 26, 2019

Date

Brian Clayton

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: DANZ

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>17</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>15</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>24</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>71</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Only 2 staff assigned, Not much
substance with submittal, fairly newer
company.

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

6/26/17
Date

JOHN SARPOPOULOS
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: HINTERLAND

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>22</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
2 References	0-20	<u>18</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3 Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>24</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.Financial resources.		
4 Cost	0-30	<u>29</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
TOTAL	0-100	<u>93</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Numerous Similar Contracts Statewide,
Owgs 10 vac trucks & 10 full time const. crews,
thorough on costs, No litigation, CIPP ROSTER
Impressive.


Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

JOHN SARPOULOS
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: LANZO

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>23</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>24</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>28</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>93</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Extensive emergency experience (Miami, Hollywood, Pompano), Diverse staff with impressive credentials. Close by in Deerfield & can be counted on at all times. Numerous litigations & did not supply cost examples.


Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

JOHN S. FIROPOULOS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: MAN/CON

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>24</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
2 References	0-20	<u>19</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3 Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>23</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.Financial resources.		
4 Cost	0-30	<u>29</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
TOTAL	0-100	<u>95</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Numerous emergency repair contracts, Supplied several cost estimates, No litigation, 5-staff with 181 yrs combined experience, Impressive project history, in close proximity (Deerfield).


Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

John Sfikopoulos
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Reynolds

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>20</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
2 References	0-20	<u>18</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3 Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>20</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.Financial resources.		
4 Cost	0-30	<u>28</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
TOTAL	0-100	<u>86</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Local company with no litigation.
More experience in Hollywood/Miami. Cost
Examples daily provided labor rates. Local subs
will include grading/concrete, equipment rental & supplies.

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

JOHN SFIRAPOURIS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: DANZ Contracting

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>5</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>5</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>5</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>25</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

New company - LEED Equipment -
No Breakdown of work under new company

Nathaniel Watson

Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19

Date

Nathaniel Watson

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Hightland Group Inc.

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>70</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Diverse work background -

Nathaniel Watson
Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

Nathaniel Watson
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services


VENDOR NAME: Lanza Construction Co.

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>15</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>10</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>50</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

Did work on 42" force main
break - litigation



Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19

Date



Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Mun - Can Inc.

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>70</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Extensive work history -

Nathaniel Westover
Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

Nathaniel Westover
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-27-19
Emergency and On Call Utility Repair Services

VENDOR NAME: Reynolds Construction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-25	<u>25</u>
2 References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>25</u>
4 Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
TOTAL	0-100	<u>90</u>

Additional 0-5% for Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Extensive work Engineers on staff

Nathaniel J. Watson
Signature of Evaluator

6/26/19
Date

Nathaniel J. Watson
Printed Name