| VEI | NDOR NAME: Clear Ground Inc | | |
|------|--|-----------------------|-------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | Score |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 10_ |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology | 0-30 | 5 |
| | Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | | |
| 4 | Cost | 0-30 | 10 |
| • | Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 40 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| C | iost very High Location For Away | for | |
| | Resposse time | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 1/0 | Λ / | |
| Sian | | c Almy | ~44 |
| Sign | ature or Evaluator Date Printe | u maille | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

Point Score Criteria Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and References** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 0-20 2 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. 0-30 3 Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. 0 - 30Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 60 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Location for Away

Signature of Evaluator

| VENDOR NAME: | interdand Grosp | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | | <u>Point</u> Range | Score |
| Previous relative area of the compRecommendDemonstrate | pertise and References ated work experience and qualifications and personnel assigned. dations from previous clients. es a clear understanding of scope I issues related to the project. | | 0-20 | <u>20 1</u> 5 |
| | e nearest office to the project loonearest office with dispatch-ready | | 0-20 | 10 |
| Consideration | Methodology esources and equipment assigned on of services provided and approa | 3 | 0-30 | <u>30</u> |
| 4 CostIncluding the breakdowns. | e overall project-task budget and it | emized cost | 0-30 | 25 |
| Total | | | 0-100 | 88 80 |
| List the reasons for th | is evaluation (justify the rating/sco | ring): | | |
| Location Fi | ar Away For en | nergeacy (| Respon | ISC |
| | cost is good | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Previsors | work os mashol | es project | 700 C | Awhile |
| | onclete. | | | |
| | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Signature of Evaluator | 3/16/18 Date | STEOL | \(mych) ted Name | |

| VEN | IDOR NAME: DENNING ENGINEERINS | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References • Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 15 |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20_ |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | _5_ |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | <u>30</u> |
| | Total | 0-100 | 70 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| \ | very limited equipment on hand. Loc | 26.72 | is |
| | 9002 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | - |
| | | | |
| Sign | ature of Evaluator Date Printe | A lm/ | da |

| VEN | NDORNAME: Shevandork General | | |
|------|---|----------------|-------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | Point Range | Score |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | 2010 |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location | 0-20 | 20 |
| _ | Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0 20 | |
| | Location of hearest office with dispaton ready equipment. | | 2.0 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology | 0-30 | 50 |
| | Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. | | |
| | Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals | | |
| | and deadlines. On-call response time. | | |
| | | 0.00 | 10 |
| 4 | Cost | 0-30 | |
| | Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | | |
| | bleakdowns. | | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 80 70 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| | Cost High , good Location | | |
| | • | | |
| | Issues with previous work experc | 400- | |
| | Dis not do well | | |
| | Die 1401 Go Well | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | AC 3/10/18 STEDE A | layde | 1 |
| Sign | | d Name | |

| VEN | NDORNAME: TeleVac South | | |
|------|---|------------------------------|--------------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> <u>Range</u> | <u>Score</u> |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | <u>a0</u> |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | <u>20</u> |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology • Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. | 0-30 | 30_ |
| | Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost | 0-30 | 20 |
| | breakdowns. | | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 90 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| | cost is good. Location is g | 400 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | They Do work for LBTS. I and Reviewed their work in the F | have | Seen |
| | and Reviewed their work in the F | past. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | A | | |
| | DC 3/10/18 STEVE | | 4 |
| Sign | nature of Evaluator Date Print | ted Name | |

| VEN | DOR NAME: Clean Grounds, Inc. | | |
|---------------|---|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 10 |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 10 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 10 |
| 4 | Out Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 10 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 40 |
| _/ <u>/</u> / | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Typears in business: Fast distance for "In Jour many jet-vacs? Mentioned 3 c | nergene omparl | p stand. by! |
| | | | Approx 35 mites |
| Sign | 3-16-18 Ben Bras | 1 ted Name | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

| VE | NDOR NAME: Envirowaste | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 16 |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | _5_ |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | <u>24</u> |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 5 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 50 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): years in business; 35 jet vacs, nice comparative submittal package. Fare Lis | ble pro | jects. |
| | mergeney stand-by." Highest pre | ie ge | rote. |
| 5 | bod understanding of project. | | 57.7 miles |
| | | | |
| Sign | 3-16-18 Ben Britantine of Evaluator Date Prin | ra y | |
| Sigi | iature or Evaluator Date Fill | itqu valle | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: Hinterland Group, Inc. **Point** Criteria Score Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and References** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 10 0-20 2 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. 24 0-30 3 **Resources and Methodology** Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. 25 0 - 30Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 77 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): sprox 45 mi/es Ben 1

Signature of Evaluator

| VENDOR NAME: <u>Jenning</u> | s Engineering | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | <u>Score</u> |
| 1 Experience, Expertise an | d References | 0-20 | 10 |
| Previous related work area of the company and p | experience and qualifications in the spersonnel assigned. | subject | |
| Recommendations from | 1.54.11.1.1 ** (Annales Annales Annale | | |
| Demonstrates a clear technical or legal issues re | understanding of scope of work and of lated to the project. | other | |
| | office to the project location ice with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | <u>18</u> |
| 3 Resources and Methodol | ogy | 0-30 | 10 |
| | and equipment assigned to the project ces provided and approach to meeting conse time. | | |
| 4 Cost | | 0-30 | 15 |
| | roject-task budget and itemized cost | 0 00 | |
| Total | | 0-100 | 53 |
| List the reasons for this evaluation | on (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| Price is more. | xlan 2 x lower th | an 2nd l | swest lidde |
| which is a conce | in Univertous of 1 | jet vac | is not |
| enough to provid | Le for " Emergency". | How me | my experiencly |
| employees. O, | ne jet vac massa | tox. not. | Sufficient |
| enough. | | | |
| 0 | | | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 85 85M | 3-16-18 Ben | Bray | |
| Signature of Evaluator | Date | Printed Name | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: Thenandoah General Const. Co

| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 5 |
|-------|---|------------------------|----------|
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 5 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 5 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 15 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 30 |
| List | Sad working experience with passes we wrote them up an Contractor | f po | rojects. |
| Signa | 3-10-18 Ben Brantstore Date Printeg | Name | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: TeleVac South **Point** Criteria Score Range 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and References** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 0-20 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location 2 Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. 0-30 **Resources and Methodology** Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. Cost 0-30 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 0-100 Total List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): minutes to 3-16-18

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: Clean Grounds, Inc

| | Criteria | <u>Point</u> Range | <u>Score</u> |
|--------|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. | 0-20 | 10_ |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 5 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | <u>10</u> |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 7 |
| | | | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 32 |
| List | Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | 0-100 | 32 |
| List | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List D | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List D | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List D | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List | | 0-100 | 32 |
| List | | 0-100 | 32 |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: EAVIFANT ENVIRONASTE SELVICES GLOUP

Signature of Evaluator

| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | Score |
|------|---|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | 0-20 | 15 |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 5 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 20 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 5 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 45 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Distance concern in emergency Lots of experience the | | |
| | | | |
| | Boomba 3/16/18 Shana-Ri | ny Coom | nbs-Gordon |

Date

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: Point Criteria Score Range 1 0-20 **Experience, Expertise and References** Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location 0-20 Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. Resources and Methodology 0 - 30Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. 0-30 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Signature of Evaluator

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

VENDOR NAME: Sennings Engineering & Construction

| | Criteria | Point Range | Score |
|------|---|----------------|-----------|
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | 0-20 | <u>5</u> |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 5 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 20_ |
| | Total | 0-100 | 50 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| L | imited equipment & least years of | expe | nience |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 2 | | (2 / |
| | Comba 3/16/18 Shang-Roy | Coom | bs-Gordon |

Date

Signature of Evaluator

| VEN | IDOR NAME: Shenandoah | | |
|------|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | <u>W</u> |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | <u>15</u> |
| | Total | 0-100 | 65 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Rad experience in the past | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sign | Shang-Ro eature of Evaluator Date Print | <u>y Coon</u> ed Name | bs-Gordon |

| <u>Criteria</u> | Point Pange | Score |
|---|-------------------|-------|
| Experience Expertise and References | Range 0-20 | 10 |
| Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. | 0-20 | |
| Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 |
| Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 15 |
| Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 20 |
| | | 85 |
| Total | 0-100 | |
| Total the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |
| | 0-100 | |

| \ | NDORNAME: Clan Goods | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| VEI | NDOR NAME: (Ca O JOCA C) | | |
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | <u>Score</u> |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | 15 |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject | | |
| | area of the company and personnel assigned. | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location | 0-20 | 10 |
| | Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology | 0-30 | 17 |
| J | Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. | 0-30 | |
| | Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals | | |
| | and deadlines. On-call response time. | | |
| 4 | Cost | 0-30 | 1 3 |
| 4 | Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost | 0-30 | |
| | breakdowns. | | |
| | | / | Comme |
| | Total | 0-100 | ,) |
| Liet | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| LIST | the reasons for this evaluation (dustry the rating/scoring). | | |
| _ | Hiely Cost | | |
| | 1000 Final 16 For 125 | 101/00 | 2 - 1 1 |
| | Cocation (3) | 11, (2) | accept) |
| _ | Dome EXP. | | |
| Table Sand | DIE Tet Vac | | |
| | Did = (0) ? | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 3/1/1/8 (5) Tic | an D | OnOVan |
| Sigr | nature of Evaluator Date Prin | ted Name | |

| VEN | NDOR NAME: ENVIVOUSER | | |
|------|---|------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score / |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 10 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 18 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | _8 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 57 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| | Distance is fat | | |
| | Showed Resources | | 2 |
| | Cost is high | <u> </u> | |
| | | | |
| - | 35 Jet Vacs V | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sign | ature of Evaluator Date Printed | d Name | onovan |

| VEN | IDOR NAME: Hinterland | | | |
|------|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | Score | |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | 28 | |
| | • Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location | 0-20 | 10_ | |
| | Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | | | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology • Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. • Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals | 0-30 | 16 | |
| | and deadlines. On-call response time. | | | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 20 | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 64 | |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | | |
| | good Exp & EQUIP. | | | |
| | Distance is fas | ×2-3-11 | | |
| 0 | good Price | | | |
| | | | *************************************** | |
| 4 | 5 Miles avax | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| / | 1/2 3/16/18 Bria | u Di | noVan | |
| Sign | ature of Evaluator Date Prin | ted Name | | |

| VEI | NDOR NAME: JENNINGS | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience Expertise and References | Point Range 0-20 | Score |
| • | Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | 0-20 | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 10 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 30 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 70 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Lowest Biddet (Vet) L Not Loo Mich Exp. Out Truck | 0u) | |
| | | | |

| VEI | IDOR NAME: <u>576990</u> | 097 | | | |
|------|---|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | <u>Cr</u> | <u>iteria</u> | | <u>Point</u> Range | Score |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and Refer | ences | | 0-20 | 10 |
| | Previous related work experier area of the company and personne | | s in the subject | | |
| | Recommendations from previous | | | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understatechnical or legal issues related to | | ork and other | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to Location of nearest office with | | | 0-20 | 20 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equip Consideration of services provious and deadlines. On-call response ting | ided and approach to | | 0-30 | 15 |
| 4 | CostIncluding the overall project-tas breakdowns. | sk budget and itemiz | ed cost | 0-30 | 10 |
| | Total | | | 0-100 | 55 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justif | fy the rating/scoring) | : | | |
| | high price | | | | |
| - | Mes Past | nork | Exp. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 7. A | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | • | |
| | | 3/16/18 | Botian | Don | 10 Van |
| Sian | ature of Evaluator | Date | Printe | d Name | |

| VEN | IDOR NAME: Televac | | |
|------|---|------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 20 |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 25 |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 20 |
| | Total | 0-100 | 85 |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| Sign | ature of Evaluator Date Print | ted Name | onovan |

| VEN | IDOR NAME: Clean Grounds, Inc. | | | |
|------|---|------------------------|----------|--|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 | Score 15 | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | _/6_ | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | 15 | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | _10_ | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 50 | |
| | ethe reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Sign | Muly 3-16-18 Michael T- | ed Name | | |

| VEN | NDOR NAME: Environaste Services | | |
|------|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> <u>Range</u> | Score |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | 0-20 | 20 |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | _5_ |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | <u>25</u> |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 55 |
| | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): Cost were high. Location for. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sian | Alul 4 3-14-18 Michael To | ted Name | |

| VEI | NDOR NAME: Hinterland Group | | |
|------|---|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Criteria Experience, Expertise and References Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. Recommendations from previous clients. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | Point Range 0-20 20 | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 <u>/</u> | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 <u>30</u> | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 <u>25</u> | |
| | Total | 0-100 <u>85</u> | |
| | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sign | ature of Evaluator Date Print | ted Name | |

| VE | NDOR NAME: <u>Jennings Engineering</u> | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------|-------|--|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | Score | |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | 15 | |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 | _10_ | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 30 | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 75 | |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | | |
| _ | Didn't list all equipment or other things asked for | in Did | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u></u> | Maly 3-16-18 michael | | | |
| Sigr | ature of Evaluator Date Prin | ted Name | | |

Inspection and Cleaning of Storm Water Systems and Utilities Jet Vac On-Call Service

| VENDOR NAME: Shenandoah General Cont | |
|---|----------------------|
| <u>Criteria</u> | Point Range Score |
| 1 Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 _5_ |
| Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | |
| Recommendations from previous clients. | |
| Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | |
| Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 <u>20</u> |
| Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 <u>25</u> |
| 4 Cost • Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 <u>(5</u> |
| Total | 0-100 <u>(5</u> |
| List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | |
| Cost were high. Previous work experiences w | ; th the |
| city was to dissatisfaction. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Signature of Evaluator Date Michael T- Printed | Name |

| VEI | NDOR NAME: Televae South | | | |
|------|---|-----------------------|-----------|------|
| | <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Point</u> Range | Score | |
| 1 | Experience, Expertise and References | 0-20 | 20 | |
| | Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of the company and personnel assigned. | | | |
| | Recommendations from previous clients. | | | |
| | Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. | | | |
| 2 | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location Location of nearest office with dispatch-ready equipment. | 0-20 | 20 | |
| 3 | Resources and Methodology Amount of resources and equipment assigned to the project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. On-call response time. | 0-30 s | 30 | |
| 4 | Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. | 0-30 | 20 | |
| | Total | 0-100 | 90 | |
| List | the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): | | | |
| | close Location. good experiences. Prices goo | 2 with J | ct Vac on | call |
| _5 | erunes | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Wal of 3-14-18 michael | Taylor | | |
| Sigr | | inted Name | | |