

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Calvin Giordano & Assoc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>14</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>58</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has vast previous experience with other City's as well as with Pompano Beach

COMMENTS-2:

Technical approach is good but project time lines seem a little long

COMMENTS-3:

Concerned about work load, currently have approximately 15 jobs with the City

COMMENTS-4:

Did not demonstrate project work load

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer CBE Construction

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>13</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>43</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

New small local company in business since 2006

COMMENTS-2:

Lacked information on submitted documents

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Craig A. Smith

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>14</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>14</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>87</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has e-Builder base knowledge

COMMENTS-2:

Has experience in various City's including Pompano Beach

COMMENTS-3:

Projects completed in timely manner and within budget

COMMENTS-4:

Work load seems to be at 50%

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Craven Thompson & Assso

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>14</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>14</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>2</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>58</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has done work with the City

COMMENTS-2:

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Engenuity Group

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>12</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>12</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>12</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>12</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>
TOTAL			<u>82</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Currently on the continuing service contract with City - no work given

COMMENTS-2:

Availability chart shows company is currently busy (30% available)

COMMENTS-3:

Has worked with other Cities but no work in Pompano Beach

COMMENTS-4:

Did not see if projects were completed on time & within budget

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer KCI Technologies

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>12</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>51</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has done work with other cities and Pompano Beach

COMMENTS-2:

Has some litigation issues (pending and resolved)

COMMENTS-3:

Did not see information on work load and projects completed on time and within budget

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Keith & Associates

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>13</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>10</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>12</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>12</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>77</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has done extensive work with City

COMMENTS-2:

Company is located within the City limits

COMMENTS-3:

Availability is limited due to current active projects

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Maser Consulting

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>13</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>13</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>55</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Availability is good (60%) but work load is heavy

COMMENTS-2:

Did not see any work in Pompano Beach

COMMENTS-3:

No disclosure of litigation

COMMENTS-4:

Did not see if projects were completed within budget and on time

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer McLaughlin Engineering

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>13</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>12</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>52</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Has been around a very long time - knows their work very well

COMMENTS-2:

Presentation could have been more informative

COMMENTS-3:

Did not show current/projected work load or ability to complete projects

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Munson Design

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>45</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Did not show ability to complete project on budget and work load

COMMENTS-2:

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:


I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09-30-2020

Date

Hector R. Gandia

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Calvin, Giordano & Associates

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>76</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Extensive surveying experience with municipalities

COMMENTS-2:

Seasoned staff with extensive technical experience

COMMENTS-3:

Extensive history with City of Pompano Beach infrastructure, and staff

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

CBE Construction (Compass Point Surveyors)

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>65</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Proposal was incomplete and disorganized.

COMMENTS-2:

Proposer did not provide workload, schedule or budget comparisons from previous projects

COMMENTS-3:

Historically though, this firm is reputable and experienced

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Craig A. Smith

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>90</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Performed several surevys for the City of Pompano

COMMENTS-2:

Very responsive firm, knowledgeable staff

COMMENTS-3:

Established relationship with Pompano staff

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Craven Thompson & Associates

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>14</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>74</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Extensive municipal experience

COMMENTS-2:

Utilizing subconsultants: Stoner and InfraMap

COMMENTS-3:

Not familiar with any surveying services provided

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Engenuity Group

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>
TOTAL			<u>95</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Provide bathymetric and hydrographic surveys, and platting services

COMMENTS-2:

Use FTC subconsultant

COMMENTS-3:

ON current CCNA contract with Pompano

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer KCI Technologies

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>14</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>75</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Formerly known as Keith & Schnars

COMMENTS-2:

Comprehensive technical approach

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Keith

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>90</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Intimately knowledge of Pompano infrastructure

COMMENTS-2:

Established relationships with city staff

COMMENTS-3:

Responsive firm, quality service

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Maser Consulting

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>90</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Minimal local experience, except individual employee

COMMENTS-2:

Comprehensive Technical Approach

COMMENTS-3:

Some surveying work performed for Miami, NJ

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Mclaughlin Engineering Company

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>75</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Simplistic proposal but this firm is well established (since 1938)

COMMENTS-2:

Extensive experience with various local municipalities

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Munson Design & Consulting, Inc.

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>15</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>
TOTAL			<u>80</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Performed various surveys/mapping services for City of Pompano

COMMENTS-2:

Established relationships with city staff

COMMENTS-3:

Intimate knowledge of city infrastructure

COMMENTS-4:

Small firm for smaller scope

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/29/2020

Date

Tammy Good, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Calvin, Giordano & Associates (CGA)

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>43</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Previous projects and experience in the City.

COMMENTS-2:

Similar projects in Cities of comparable size.

COMMENTS-3:

References from other municipalities in the area.

COMMENTS-4:

Staff and crew size meets needs of specific projects.

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

CBE Construction (Compass Point Surveyors)

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>5</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>5</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>35</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Submittal difficult to follow.

COMMENTS-2:

Good office location

COMMENTS-3:

Small staff with good experience

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Craig A. Smith & Associates, Inc.

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>14</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>61</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Current project work with the City.

COMMENTS-2:

Smaller staff with good approach to project work.

COMMENTS-3:

Base staff with two crews.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. (CTA)

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>7</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>6</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>6</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>41</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Smaller staff with local subconsultant listed.

COMMENTS-2:

Hydrographic survey listed as available service.

COMMENTS-3:

References missing the construction cost.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Engenuity Group, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>5</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>12</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>10</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>6</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>6</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>
TOTAL			<u>54</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Local City projects completed in the past not clearly identified.

COMMENTS-2:

Broad staff identified with 4 crew chiefs.

COMMENTS-3:

Workload information provided

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer KCI Technologies, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>7</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>9</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>39</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Proposed staff listed two surveyors.

COMMENTS-2:

Office proximity is good.

COMMENTS-3:

Previous work with the City as Keith & Schnars.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Keith and Associates, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>12</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>12</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>12</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>9</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>9</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>69</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Extensive previous project and survey experience in the City.

COMMENTS-2:

Broad staff.

COMMENTS-3:

Great office location to serve the City.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Maser Consulting P.A.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>4</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>9</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>12</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>53</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Prior experience includes staff experience from previous firm.

COMMENTS-2:

Large staff with six crews.

COMMENTS-3:

Staff availability provided.

COMMENTS-4:

Local offices in Delray and Davie.

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer McLaughlin Engineering Co.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>8</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>7</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>42</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Smaller staff identified.

COMMENTS-2:

Good office location.

COMMENTS-3:

Past City experience with sketch and legals.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Munson Design and Consulting, Inc

Proposer _____

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>7</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>7</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>12</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>7</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>
TOTAL			<u>43</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Current project completed for the City.

COMMENTS-2:

Smaller staff presented

COMMENTS-3:

Featured projects in line with the needs of the City.

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

09/30/2020

Date

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Calvin Giordano

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>7</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>

TOTAL

48

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Large Multidisciplinary Company with a lot

COMMENTS-2:

of Employees; 370. However, only 2 are

COMMENTS-3:

Surveyors, 2 Survey Techs & 1 GIS Personnel.

COMMENTS-4:

No Idea on what Workload Personnel has
as I could not find in proposal.

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:


Did not find info on Schedule & Budget to
prove #5 & 6.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date



Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer CBE (Compass Point)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>0</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>0</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>0</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>

TOTAL

15

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

CPE (Compan Point) was the smallest

COMMENTS-2:

firm with the least experience with complex

COMMENTS-3:

surveying projects. The proposal was lacking

COMMENTS-4:

information regarding evaluation criteria

COMMENTS-5:

in order to assign any points. Basically, it was

COMMENTS-6:

a proposal with resumes and nothing more.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2000

Date

NGUYEN TRAN

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Craig A Smith

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>13</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>13</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>13</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>13</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>

TOTAL

82

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Established Firm with plenty of

COMMENTS-2:

experiences in similar projects

COMMENTS-3:

of size & scale & complexity. In business

COMMENTS-4:

for 40 yrs with well trained staff.

COMMENTS-5:

Nice proposal; met all requirements

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2010

Date

NOUYEN TRAM

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Craven Thompson

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>5</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>49</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Good team with plenty of experience

COMMENTS-2:

to handle simple to complex surveying/mapping

COMMENTS-3:

Sub-surface needs the City/CRT may need.

COMMENTS-4:

nice submitted except did not see Availability

COMMENTS-5:

of personnel or project workload. Would like

COMMENTS-6:

to see examples of projects & completion times
& Budgets.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

NEWYEN TRAN

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Eugenivity

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>13</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>12</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>8</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>11</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>5</u>

TOTAL

65

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Overall nice submittal. Experience with

COMMENTS-2:

Boundaries & topographic for municipalities.

COMMENTS-3:

Not overly complex surveys but

COMMENTS-4:

typical. Staff seems well trained

COMMENTS-5:

and decent team. FTC adds the

COMMENTS-6:

GIS Component to the team.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer KCI Technology

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
TOTAL			<u>51</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

COMMENTS-2:

COMMENTS-3:

COMMENTS-4:

COMMENTS-5:

COMMENTS-6:

Overall a good submission with good experience with past projects & skills & expertise of Team. However did not see any project workload or percentage of availability of personnel.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

Nguyen Tran

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Keith & Associates

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>14</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>14</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>14</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
TOTAL			<u>86</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Multi disciplinary Engineering, Surveying &

COMMENTS-2:

Mapping firm with numerous City/CRA project

COMMENTS-3:

experience. Submittal is well put together

COMMENTS-4:

and shows breadth of experience of the

COMMENTS-5:

Keith Team as well as their experience to

COMMENTS-6:

handle any job the City/CRA may have.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

Nathan Tran

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Maser Consulting

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>12</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>12</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>11</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>13</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>10</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>

TOTAL

68

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Mtsc & Stone Team has good experience

COMMENTS-2:

in projects of all size and scale - Submitted

COMMENTS-3:

has good understanding of City & CRA

COMMENTS-4:

projects and proposal met all listed

COMMENTS-5:

requirements.

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

Nguyen Tran

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer McLaughlin Engineering

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	<u>13</u>
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	<u>0</u>
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>8</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>

TOTAL

0
49

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Firm has been around for a long time (1938)

COMMENTS-2:

providing engineering & surveying services. - Less

COMMENTS-3:

complex project experience when compared to

COMMENTS-4:

larger surveying firms. Small firm providing

COMMENTS-5:

good basic surveying services.

COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

Nguyen Tran

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-21-20 Continuing Contracts for Professional Surveying and Mapping Services

Proposer Munsen Design

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	10
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	5

TOTAL

69

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1:

Surveying team is MDC only and no

COMMENTS-2:

subcontractors. MDC provides good quality

COMMENTS-3:

surveys at good prices. Not a lot of projects

COMMENTS-4:

that are large scale as some other proposals but

COMMENTS-5:

many past projects with the City of Pompano.

COMMENTS-6:

NO Workload or Staff availability was presented.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

9/30/2020

Date

Neuyen Tran

Printed Name