

Evaluation Criteria for RFQ25-037

Vendors											
Committee Member	Committee Evaluation Member Name	AECOM (AECOM Technical Services, Inc.)	Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC	Arcadis US, Inc.	Chen Moore and Associates	EXP U.S. Services	IBM	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	NEBAH Enterprises LLC	WM Sustainability Services (Waste Management National Services, Inc.)
1	Christina Viala	81.000	81.000	90.000	87.000	82.000	0.000	96.000	86.000	0.000	20.000
2	Jean Dolan	70.000	75.000	77.000	92.000	40.000	0.000	100.000	82.000	0.000	20.000
3	Wade Collum	81.000	75.000	77.000	88.000	80.000	0.000	100.000	89.000	0.000	60.000
4		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Total		232	231	244	267	202	0	296	257	0	100
Rank		5	6	4	2	8	10	1	3	10	9

Criteria	Total Potential Points	AECOM (AECOM Technical Services, Inc.)	Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC	Arcadis US, Inc.	Chen Moore and Associates	EXP U.S. Services	IBM	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	NEBAH Enterprises LLC	RS&H, Inc	WM Sustainability Services (Waste Management National Services, Inc.)
Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	40	38	39	40	38	39		38	39		40	10
Quality and relevance of previous Local Business Program	45	43	42	43	42	43		43	40		40	10
	15	0	0	7	7	0		15	7		0	0
Total	100	81.000	81.000	90.000	87.000	82.000	0.000	96.000	86.000	0.000	80.000	20.000
Rank		6	6	2	3	5	10	1	4	10	8	9

Evaluation Committee Member: Christina Viala

I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above and verified their accuracy

Signature: Christina Viala

Date: 7/2/2025

Notes: _____

Criteria	Total Potential Points	AECOM (AECOM Technical Services, Inc.)	Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC	Arcadis US, Inc.	Chen Moore and Associates	EXP U.S. Services	IBM	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	NEBAH Enterprises LLC	RS&H, Inc	WM Sustainability Services (Waste Management National Services, Inc.)
Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	40	38	35	35	40	40		40	38		35	30
Quality and relevance of previous Local Business Program	45	43	40	35	41	40		45	44		43	30
	15	0	0	7	7	0		15	7		0	0
Total	100	81.000	75.000	77.000	88.000	80.000	0.000	100.000	89.000	0.000	78.000	60.000
Rank		4	8	7	3	5	10	1	2	10	6	9

Evaluation Committee Member: Wade Collum

I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above and verified their accuracy

Signature:

Wade Collum

Date:

7/2/25

Notes:


Thank you!

Criteria	Total Potential Points	AECOM (AECOM Technical Services, Inc.)	Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC	Arcadis US, Inc.	Chen Moore and Associates	EXP U.S. Services	IBM	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	NEBAH Enterprises LLC	RS&H, Inc	WM Sustainability Services (Waste Management National Services, Inc.)
Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	40	40	35	40	40	20		40	40		30	10
Quality and relevance of previous Local Business Program	45	30	40	30	45	20		45	35		30	10
	15	0	0	7	7	0		15	7		0	0
Total	100	70.000	75.000	77.000	92.000	40.000	0.000	100.000	82.000	0.000	60.000	20.000

Rank	6	5	4	2	8	10	1	3	10	7	9
Rank	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A					

Evaluation Committee Member: Jean Dolan

I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above and verified their accuracy

Signature: 

Date: 7.2.25

Notes:

Criteria	Total Potential Points	AECOM (AECOM Technical Services, Inc.)	Aptim Environmental & Infrastructure, LLC	Arcadis US, Inc.	Chen Moore and Associates	EXP U.S. Services	IBM	Keith and Associates, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	NEBAH Enterprises LLC	RS&H, Inc	WM Sustainability Services (Waste Management National Services, Inc.)
Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	40											
Quality and relevance of previous Local Business Program	45											
	15											
Total	100	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Rank		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Evaluation Committee Member: 0

I confirm that I have reviewed the scores above and verified their accuracy

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Notes: _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer AE COM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	
	a) Number of similar projects - many		30
	b) Complexity of similar projects - more complex than anything we're doing		45
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description) - wahou Bay		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) - LOTS OF LITIGATION MUSTLY RELATED TO construction		
3	Local Business Program - using Keira's sub 70% of fees	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	85 pts

- Keira has lots of city projects

Scored by P & C

COMMENTS:

(Took 15 points off due to so much litigation; NO mention of actually doing any CRS watershed plans; misunderstanding of building specific water audits.)

Experience:

Adaptation Plan - Did Miami Beach's SEA Adaptation Plan

Implementation Planning - Resilient 301

Urban Forestry Plan - S Francisco

- Resumes didn't mention CRS. → CRS only found in heading

* CRS watershed Mgt Plan - Didn't say they've done one.

Water Audit - was not what we need. They described water infrastructure not water use in a building.

Energy Audits - Lots of experience

← City of Miami hired them for this.

* GHG inventory - lots of talk but doesn't say who they did this for

Don't know what "city cities" are. → 97 global cities looking @ Climate Action

Jean E. Dolan

6.30.2025

Jean E. Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer APTIM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning - <i>they specify which scope areas they are going to.</i>	0-40	35
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	40
	a) Number of similar projects - <i>at least 2 areas Adaptation Implementation</i>		
	b) Complexity of similar projects - <i>OK, similar</i>		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm - <i>provided on fact sheets</i>		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description) - <i>say they're working on the Pic?</i>		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) <i>very little litigation</i>		
3	Local Business Program: <i>have to give them points because of the way we included "natural resources" in the scope even though it's not about that.</i>	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	90

→ none of this scope is going to need the Chappell Group so they won't get this mark. It's our fault for writing the scope the way we did.

COMMENTS:

- Samantha Danchuck used to be w/ the Broward County Resilience Division under Dr. Jurado. The Chappell Group is a Pompano firm. - 10% of fees to this firm. → None of our work requires the kind of experience the Chappell Group has - "Bug i. Bunnies" maybe. - write-up say 15%.
- free stuff but even then - can't imagine it's 10% of any scope of work.

Tasks

◦ 1 Adaptation Planning - lots of experience

◦ 2 Implementation Planning - "

◦ 5 Water Analysis } National Parks?

◦ 6 Energy Analysis } Not sure how applicable this is. } Experience seems applicable enough

Jean E. Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

6.30.25

Date

Jean E. Dolan

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

Acadis US

Keith as Urban Forestry Sub - 20% of value

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	30 - reduced for litigation
	a) Number of similar projects - Some		
	b) Complexity of similar projects - Some way more complex. Water Audits, in experience		about water treatment plants no buildings
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm - yes		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description) - not sustainability designs.		lity related but several park
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) - Tons of litigation		
3	Local Business Program - Using Keith	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	85

Point reduction due to Litigation. No mention of CCS watershed Plans completed; No clear understanding of Urban Forestry scope.

COMMENTS:

PM was Sustainability coordinator in Tempe.

- Adaptation Plan - their approach seems to indicate lots of city owned property where adaptation options apply. NOT the case.
- Implementation Plan - This plan is supposed to be related to the assets identified in the Vulnerability Assessment. Seems they are re-doing that effort.

Urban Forestry - this is supposed to focus on public property only. The approach doesn't appear to understand that.

CCS Watershed Mgt Plan - use the CCS manual to explain approach. Presumes do not list any CCS Watershed Plans completed or points issued for same. They've worked on the CCS program so will know how frustrating it can be.

Energy Audits: good approach clearly reflects actual experience. I have grave doubts that one pump station used 14% of the city's energy so it will be good to have another set of eyes on the original audit that came to that conclusion (GHG Baseline)

- Water Audits: Good approach
- GHG Inventory update: OK

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

\$21,300

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Chen Moore & Assoc.
Keith as local sub

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	45
	a) Number of similar projects - lots		
	b) Complexity of similar projects - similar i. they know Poupaou		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm ^{provided} Stormwater Master Plan; 1" return from Air ch. 152		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) <u>NONE!!</u>		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	100

Lots of vulnerability assessments completed.

COMMENTS:

Adaptation Plans - Canal Springs, N. Lauderdale, Tamarac, Davie, SW Ranches.
 Implementation Plan - First Team w/ CPMs that I've not read. Like focus on CIP and not ~~really~~ lots of "methodology" language.

Urban Forestry Plan:

CRS Watershed Plan: Like coordination w/ our Stormwater Model. First firm to be involved w/ CIP recommendations specific to better ratings (Sub-Brizaga). Still no mention of having done and gotten points for one of these.

Water Audit: Very focused on individual building as requested.

Energy Audit:

GHG Inventory update: Seems like a total do-over that doesn't rely so much on being able to get energy consumption data by building which was a problem in first GHG inventory.

Chen Moore - has done good work for the City in the past. The 2014 SHMP is still being implemented as proposed.

Jean E. Dolan

6-30-25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer EXP U.S. Services
Jacobs-sub

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	20
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	20
	a) Number of similar projects	Provided	
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	0
	TOTAL	0-100	40

COMMENTS:

◦ Adaptation Plan - Our report is called Vulnerability Assessment. They reference a "Vulnerability to Sea Level Rise Assessment Report". I don't know what that is. AI thinks it's the same as the RSH Vulnerability Assessment but the EXP description and outcome seems wrong - Approach appears to focus less on public infrastructure that we would want. Did one for Boynton Beach but it's not on their website. Just refers to Adaptation Strategies in their Vulnerability Assessment.

◦ Implementation Planning: city of Mercer Island. "Adaptation Pathway Planning". Portland, Oregon. Proposed to "lower seawall" doesn't work w/ BC regulations. They do point out ground water is above ground so that should be reflected in a firm doubt about the use of "green infrastructure" since soil storage is not available.

◦ Urban Forestry Plan - Not focusing on public property which is what we can control. The controversy w/ fees and landscaping make this approach unrealistic. No new development regulations will be happening. No new fees. They have zoning legend on land use map is correct. "Land uses" map is odd. Unrealistic.

◦ CFS watershed Mgt Plan - Totally wrong about our CFS Rating which is a 6. We didn't ask for help getting CFS credits, only the Watershed Plan. Talking about stuff we didn't ask for at all. -Zero-

◦ Water Audits - Approach fine. Look for experience

◦ Energy Audits - Brown and i Dade Schools.

◦ GHG Update - BC Net Zero Plan - Prime

◦ Experience seems generalist in nature. NO specifics related to our scope of work until resumes. words in this proposal. Does not create confidence in their actual experience.

Jane Dolan

6.7.1.25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

10, 944

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Keith

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	45
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	100

provided but one from Socotec is fake.
Keith does lots of work for Pompano

Local Company subs to many other Teams.

COMMENTS:

Boytown Beach but no "score" shared.
Keith
Collective Water (Watershed Plan) → Actually did a CPS one!!
Cummins Cedarberg (Adaptation: Implementation strategies)
TLC Engineering Solutions (Environmental Sustainability)
SOCOTEC - GIGG: "Sustainability Assessments" - didn't ask for this.
Town of Ponce Inlet says nothing about how many points it got!

Exp: 7 waterfront Parks in Miami-Dade

- Currie Park in PBC: City of Hollywood - tidal flood barrier designs
- PDR District 1 (Caphra) SLR analysis to identify evacuation routes
- Cummins Cedarberg did Sarasota County, City of Venice and City of Punta Gorda Vulnerability Assessments

So will be able to tell how useful ours is.

- UrbanForestry - not specific.
- CPS Watershed Plan - seems nobody has actually done one of these. Am starting to think they aren't worth it.
- Vulnerability Assessment for Hallandale Beach, Parkland, LightHouse Point Sunny Isles Beach

I think Keith understands focus is public infrastructure not private property.

- Collective Water - Got FEMA Maps changed before they were adopted in West Palm Beach! Impressive! Went from 6 to a 5!!

- Cummins Cedarberg - Doing City of Sarasota Assessment now.
- Socotec - misleading reference from Christina. We did not give them a reference and we've seen no work product in 3-months time.
- Urban Tree Canopy Plan - no experience listed. Their scope does focus on "public spaces" as directed.
- Engage Water Audits

Jean E. Dolan

7.1.25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

* Honest statement on crappy CPS practices to reduce scores.

10,946

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Kimly Home - Broward Firm
Zy Souch - Bldg performance (aka water, energy audits)
Keith - local firm

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	45 35
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm - <u>Provided them</u>		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description) - <u>We work w/ Kimly also on traffic & Air Park related scopes</u>		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) - <u>Lots, Reduced 10 pts for this reason</u>		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	100 90

COMMENTS:

Exp. in VAs: Weston, Pembroke Park, Miramar.
 VA's: Adaptation Plans for 20 Cities across FL.

• Adaptation Plan - mentions 4 AAA's when we didn't designate any since the entire city is vulnerable. → maybe talking about Miami Lakes' VA?

• Implementation Plan - we don't want an "Adaptation Plan" that isn't project specific. This seems like it should be part of the Adaptation Plan.

• Urban Forestry Plan - Experience: city of Wilton Manors; Hallandale Beach, Sunrise

• CRS Watershed Mgt Plan - Does not identify any actual experience w/ CRS specific Watershed Mgt Plans.

• Water, Energy audits - Preuss County, St Pete FL. Scope/approach sounds like what we want.
 • GHG Update - City in Washington. My concern is if ASH Bond no date in 2019, there will be any now so how will we update?

Jean E. Dolan

7-1-25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

10, 944

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer RSIT

Dewberry - CRS scores (we didn't ask for that, on CRS watershed Mgt Pla) and Virginia location
Cotleur: Hearing - Jupiter (not local sub)

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	30
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	30
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	?
	TOTAL	0-100	60

did all of our Sustainability Work so far

- significant amount

- Tier 2
No address for subs on Team Form or on Resumes

COMMENTS:

- I would like another firm to look at our GHG inventory to see if it can be approved upon.
- I would like another firm to look at our Vulnerability Assessment to determine if it can be converted to an Adaptation & Implementation Plan.
- I would like another firm to do the Adaptation Plan and Implementation Plan to make sure any findings in the VA are pointed out.

I would limit RSIT scope eligibility to CRS watershed - NO mention of how many points and CRS watershed plan got for a Community which makes me think no actual CRS watershed Management Plans have been done.

- Energy audits - Jacksonville Transportation Authority; DECA - Don't know what this is. Private company that runs commissaries and refrigerated warehouses.
- Waste audits - DECA again.
- Urban Forestry - Cotleur: Hearing. Exp. PDOT District 9, Inhouse CA. Aaron Wilbur only person w/ experience and none of it municipal. All PDOT related.

Jacksonville Transportation Authority
Museum of contemporary art in Jacksonville

Jean E. Dolan

7.1-25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

10, 946

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Waste Management

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	10
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	10
	a) Number of similar projects	we have no experience w/ WM outside garbage disposal landfill	
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome) → Does not respond		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	0
	TOTAL	0-100	20

COMMENTS:

GIG Update - Don't acknowledge they are just updating what we already have
Aviation, motor speeding, Proud Source water, City of Tamarac - municipal experience - Scope does not appear technical - Seems to be limited to this

NO Adaptation Plan exp

NO Implementation Plan exp

NO references to Resilient FL program

I don't feel comfortable with this response. It doesn't seem to align in scope or experience in what we need which is related specifically to the Resilient Florida Program and our broader Sustainability Strategy.

Jean E. Dolan

7.1.25

Jean E. Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

10,944

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer NEBA IT — Disqualified. Does not meet Proposal Requirements

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	
	TOTAL	0-100	

COMMENTS:

Jean E. Dolan

7.1.25

Jean Dolan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer IBM — This proposal is incomplete and does not meet the basic submittal Requirements — Disqualified

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	0
	TOTAL	0-100	

COMMENTS:

Hand written Forms?
Cover letter not signed.

1. Adaptation Plan — They don't understand this is based on the Vulnerability Assessment per the Resilient PL Grant Program. Their methodology is wrong.

2. Implementation Planning — some OK. They mention Resilient PL resilience grants.

3. Urban Forestry — Doesn't seem to reflect our needs. Public land focus. Street Tree focus.

4. CWS Watershed Plan — No idea if their scope reflects CWS requirements.

5. Water Audit — approach OK

6. Energy Audits — Geothermal? what? We're just looking to make buildings more efficient like LED light bulbs and cheaper. Ac etc.

7. GHG Update — This is also more than we want.

App: City of Miami Beach Climate Action strategy. — V.A. GHG roadmap. { not a lot of local gov experience. Seems too technical/policy for what we need. }
New York State
Los Angeles County Urban Forestry.

— Where is their Personnel Address? Address is in Washington DC. Subconsultants are not named. The 2 people named on hand written form don't have company name or addresses.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037


Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer AECOM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	38
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	14
	TOTAL	0-100	95

COMMENTS:

AECOM showed expertise with significant experience in all scope items. They have numerous projects in Florida (Miami Beach...) and have partnered with Keith on previous projects (Unahoo Bay). Furthermore, their partnership with Keith for the Urban Forestry plan ensures a local connection.


7/1/2025
P. CHRISTINA VIALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037


Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer APTIM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	39
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	42
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	13
	TOTAL	0-100	94

COMMENTS:

APTIM brings a team with strong regional expertise. they possess a portfolio of relevant projects, with various complexities. APTIM is currently providing services in Panama for the remediation of Panama prov. while based in Boca, they have partnered with Chappel Group for subconsulting environmental services, thus complying with local business program criteria


7/1/2025
R. CHRISTINA VIALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037


Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Arcadis

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	39
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	13
	TOTAL	0-100	95

COMMENTS:

Arcadis provided rich references. Their proposal also showed their readiness to tackle our upcoming projects. Their implementation ready proposal puts them at the top of the list. Their past partnership with Keith also shows their ability to meet our local business program criteria. They possess exceptional experience and project examples, and very skilled staff. Great fit for Watershed plan/Urban forestry plan and Adaptation plan.


7/1/2005
P. Christina V. Aca

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer CHEN NOOKE

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	38
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	42
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	95

COMMENTS:

CMA is a very experienced team but uses many subcontractors (cost)
 Their relevant past projects and references are commendable. This is a
 team with a history of projects with Pompano Beach.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

Christina Viala7/1/2025P. CHRISTINA VIALA

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Exp

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	39
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	13
	TOTAL	0-100	95

COMMENTS:

Exp offers deep regional knowledge in climate/adaptation planning
 They presented ^{multiple} relevant projects in South Florida of different complexities
 Graphics in their proposal is commendable. They may be a great fit
 for energy/water audit given their work on Broward county's net
 zero plan


7/1/2025
P. CHRISTINA VIALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Keith

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	38
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	96

COMMENTS:

their firm is listed as a subcontractor for many others, and therefore should be included in our winning firms. they score perfectly in local business program due to they being the only firm ^{physically} present in Pompano Beach. Great choice particularly for the urban forestry plan given their past projects/references.


7/1/2025
P. CHRISTINA VALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037


Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer Kimley-Horn

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	39
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	40
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	14
	TOTAL	0-100	93

COMMENTS:

Kimley-Horn proved experience in adaptation and watershed planning. Urban Forestry ^{experience} seems more general and may not offer the granular detail necessary. KH is quite familiar with Pompano's infrastructure and processes. Partnership with Keith ~~now~~ boosts local business program points. KH could be a great fit for the implementation plan.


7/1/2025
P. CHRISTINA VIANA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer RS&H

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	40
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	14
	TOTAL	0-100	94

COMMENTS:

RS&H is unmatched in terms of experience with our sustainability division. They have great experience in energy and water audits and would be a great choice for these scope items.

P. Christina Viala

7/1/2025

P. CHRISTINA VIALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037


Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer WM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	10
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	10
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	14
	TOTAL	0-100	34

COMMENTS:

WM brings GHG inventory capability and has strong ties to Pompano Beach. Their scope expanded on the GHG portion of the RFP. I would have loved to see a proposal with embedded links to their past work/project reports. They may be a good contender for the energy audit



Signature of Evaluator

7/2/25

Date

P. CHRISTINA VIALA

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer NEBAH Enterprises LLC.

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	0
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	0
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	0
	TOTAL	0-100	0

COMMENTS:

This company did not address expertise, relevance of previous projects
or any item of the SOW.

P. Christina Viola

Signature of Evaluator

7/1/2025

Date

P. Christina Viola

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer IBM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	0
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	0
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	0
TOTAL		0-100	

COMMENTS:

This company does not provide municipal references. They may be best suited as a sub-consultant than lead for the items in our Scope of work. No local contact/address was provided.

Christina Viala7/1/2025P. CHRISTINA VIALA

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

AECOM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	38
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	96

COMMENTS:WORKS W/ KEITHHAS LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTWAIHOU BAY PROJECTPUMP. BERTH BLVD WATER MAIN DESIGNLAUREN SNOWHEAVY LANDSCAPE BACKGROUNDS, SAN FRANCISCO7/2/25Wade Collum

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer APTIM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	35
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	40
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	12
	TOTAL	0-100	87

see printout

COMMENTS:CHAPPELL GROUP / BOCA OFFICEMOSTLY VULNERABILITYSHORELINE ADAPTATIONStreet tree Reserve page 12 only7/2/26Nicole Colburn

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

AREDDIS / SPA 181

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	35
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	35
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	85

COMMENTS:Mostly Coastal Resilience / WATERSHED#3 URBAN tree plan + INVENTORIES PAGE 17NEW YORK 100,000& NO LA

Signature of Evaluator

7/2/25

Date

Wade Colman

Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

CHEN MOORE

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	41
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	96

COMMENTS:UX'S & ISA'S / WORKS W/ KATH TERMURBAN FORESTRY PLAN PAGE 9Pompano Projects w/KATHBRUNN VOELKER*CORAL Springs, TAMPA, N. LAKE, SW Ranches, Miami Grove7/2/25Wase Collins

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer EXP

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	40
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	12
	TOTAL	0-100	

plus 92 from ERT 400

92

COMMENTS:

Mostly electric cars, 0 strategy on M/D sea level

Water/Wire Mobility Energy Projects

Urban Forestry Plan page 15/15

love the 3/30/30 tree plan

US's, NO ISA.



Signature of Evaluator

7/2/25

Date

Wade Colburn

Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer


IBM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	
	TOTAL	0-100	


COMMENTS:



Signature of Evaluator



Date



Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

Kent

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	40
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	45
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	100

COMMENTS:LA, ISA! Many Many City ProjectsURBAN Forestry Plan page 42SmallLocal KnowledgeDeep Resume

Signature of Evaluator

4/1/15

Date

Wade Colman

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

Kimberly Hoen

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	38
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	41
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	98

COMMENTS:Supported 3D Florida MunicipalitiesAdoption of Action PlanFlorida ADAPTATION HANDBOOK 4 AAA ARESpg 11. Wilton Manors Tree Canopy Study I-tree (Nature3:)Horseshoe Beach Tree PlantingLA's & PE's Richter + UICD FOR HEIGHTCompany Projects / works w/ Keith7/25Wade Collins

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

NEBAH ?

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	
	TOTAL	0-100	

COMMENTS:

Minor issues 73



Signature of Evaluator



Date



Printed Name

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

RS+H

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	35
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	43
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	93

COMMENTS:Page 12 UP down WORKW Cottare + HeoangStreet treesCARBON SequestrationNICOLE PLUNKETTGOOD DOWN pg 13BID SUGGESTBURTON DOWNYesWade Colman

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFQ25-037

Professional Services for Sustainability Projects

Proposer

NM

Line	Criteria	Points Range	Score
1	Demonstrated expertise and experience in sustainability and resilience planning	0-40	30
2	Quality and relevance of previous project examples	0-45	30
	a) Number of similar projects		
	b) Complexity of similar projects		
	c) References from past projects performed by the company/firm		
	d) Previous projects performed for the City (provide the description)		
	e) Litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of the company's/firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
3	Local Business Program	0-15	15
	TOTAL	0-100	75


COMMENTS:

NO Depth in Proposal related to goals

NO US

NO ISA

NO Reference similar projects



Signature of Evaluator



Date



Printed Name