



City Attorney's Communication #2020-133


October 30, 2019

TO: Lauren Gratzer, LEED GA, Planner
FROM: Mark E. Berman, City Attorney
RE: Ordinance Amending Chapter 155, "Zoning Code"

As requested in your memorandum dated October 21, 2019, I have prepared and attached herewith an ordinance captioned as follows:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 155, "ZONING CODE," BY AMENDING SECTION 155.2303., "APPLICATION SUBMITTAL AND ACCEPTANCE," AND SECTION 155.2304., "STAFF REVIEW AND ACTION," TO MODIFY TIME PERIODS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMITS FOR CONSISTENCY WITH NEW STATE REQUIREMENTS; BY AMENDING APPENDIX C: FEE SCHEDULE, TO ESTABLISH A FEE FOR A WITHDRAWN APPLICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Please carefully review the Ordinance to ensure that it meets your requirements.



MARK E. BERMAN

/jrm
l:cor/dev-srv/2020-133

Attachment

CITY OF POMPANO BEACH
Broward County, Florida

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 155, "ZONING CODE," BY AMENDING SECTION 155.2303., "APPLICATION SUBMITTAL AND ACCEPTANCE," AND SECTION 155.2304., "STAFF REVIEW AND ACTION," TO MODIFY TIME PERIODS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMITS FOR CONSISTENCY WITH NEW STATE REQUIREMENTS; BY AMENDING APPENDIX C: FEE SCHEDULE, TO ESTABLISH A FEE FOR A WITHDRAWN APPLICATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to law, ten (10) days' notice has been given by publication in a paper of general circulation in the City, notifying the public of this proposed ordinance and of a public hearing in the City Commission Chambers of the City of Pompano Beach; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing before the City Commission was held pursuant to the published notice described above, at which hearing the parties in interest and all other citizens so desiring had an opportunity to be and were, in fact, heard; now, therefore,

BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY OF POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. That Section 155.2303., "Application Submittal and Acceptance," of Chapter 155, "Zoning Code," of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Pompano Beach is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 155.2303. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL AND ACCEPTANCE

F. DETERMINATION OF APPLICATION COMPLETENESS

1. Completeness Review

On receiving an application for a development permit reviewed under this Code, the Development Services Director shall, within ~~five-business~~ 30 calendar days,

determine whether the application is complete or incomplete. A complete application is one that:

- a. Contains all information and materials established by the Development Services Director as required for submittal of the particular type of application;

...

2. Application Incomplete

- a. On determining that the application is incomplete, the Development Services Director shall notify the applicant of the deficiencies within the specified completeness review period. The applicant may correct the deficiencies and resubmit the application for completeness determination.
- b. If the applicant fails to resubmit an application within ~~45~~ 30 calendar days after being first notified of deficiencies, the application shall be considered withdrawn. A non-refundable \$100 administration fee will be applied to withdrawn applications, but all other fees will be refunded.

...

3. Application Complete

On determining that the application is complete, the Development Services Director shall accept the application for review in accordance with the procedures and standards of this Code. The timeframe and cycle for review of the application shall be based on the date the application is determined to be complete.

- a. Per Florida State Statute § 166.033, within 120 days after the municipality has deemed the application complete, or 180 days for applications that require final action through a quasi-judicial hearing or a public hearing, the municipality must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. Both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time, particularly in the event of a force majeure or other extraordinary circumstance. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development

order must include written findings supporting the municipality's decision.

...

H. APPLICATION WITHDRAWAL

...

I. APPLICATION DENIAL

On determining that the application is denied, the Development Services Director will provide written communication with findings supporting the denial. A project with an incomplete submittal will not be placed on the agenda of the decision-making body for board review.

1. If the applicant does not apply to the decision-making body within the submittal deadline period for the decision making authority, the application will be automatically denied at the 120 or 180 day deadline. Written communication will be sent to the applicant notifying them of the date of denial. Prior to the 120 or 180 day expiration, both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time in accordance with the procedures above. If denied, the applicant will have the option to request a waiver of time limitations and submit a new application in accordance with the procedures in § 155.2308.D, Limitation on Subsequent Similar Applications.

SECTION 2. That Section 155.2304., "Staff Review and Action," of Chapter 155, "Zoning Code," of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Pompano Beach is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 155.2304. STAFF REVIEW AND ACTION

...

B. STAFF REVIEW AND OPPORTUNITY FOR APPLICATION REVISION

1. Before preparing a staff report or making a decision on an application (See Table 155.2304.C, Applications Subject to Staff Recommendation, and Table 155.2304.D, Applications Subject to Staff Decision.), the Development Services Director shall review the application, relevant support material, and any comments from the DRC and other staff and review agencies to which the application was referred.

...

3. ~~The applicant shall respond to the notice either by requesting that the application be processed as submitted, or by submitting a revised application within 30 days after being notified of compliance deficiencies.~~
- 4 3. If the applicant fails to so respond to the ~~notice within this time period~~ deficiencies and revise the application to address them, or fails to receive an extension granted by the Development Services Director, the application shall be considered withdrawn and automatically denied at the 120 or 180 day deadline pursuant to Section 155.2303 I. Application Denial.

SECTION 3. That Appendix C: Fee Schedule ” of Chapter 155, "Zoning Code," of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Pompano Beach is hereby amended to read as follows:

APPENDIX C: FEE SCHEDULE

The following are the applicable fees for Applications for Development in the city

TYPE OF APPLICATION	FEE
I. CONCURRENCY (Fee is part of Site Plan Review Fee)	\$0
II. OTHER DEVELOPMENT SERVICES APPLICATION	
A. Development of Regional Impact (or equivalent)	\$7,525
...	
I. Site Plan and Building Design	
1. Major Site Plan	
...	
4. Air Park Obstruction	\$370 City fee + Cost Recovery \$5,000 Initial cost recovery deposit to cover consultant engineering fees for meetings, review, and staff report
5. <u>City Fee for Withdrawn Applications</u>	<u>\$100</u>
...	

SECTION 4. If any provision of this Ordinance or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this Ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be severable.

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall become effective upon passage.

PASSED FIRST READING this _____ day of _____, 2020.

PASSED SECOND READING this _____ day of _____, 2020.

REX HARDIN, MAYOR

ATTEST:

ASCELETA HAMMOND, CITY CLERK

MEB/jrm
2/6/2020
L:ord/ch155/2020-40



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

David L. Recor, ICMA-CM, Development Services Director
E: david.recor@copbfl.com | P: 954.786.4664 | F: 954.786.4504

DATE: October 21, 2019
TO: Mark Berman, City Attorney
VIA: Jennifer Gomez, AICP, Assistant Development Services Director *JG*
FROM: Lauren Gratzner, LEED GA, Planner *JG*
RE: Chapter 155: Zoning Code – Text Amendments related to House Bill No. 7103 Compliance

Staff’s Request and Question for Consideration

Please review the proposed Code changes to the below referenced sections and the one question for consideration for legal sufficiency. If the proposal meets your legal minimums, please draft an ordinance. Once this ordinance is drafted the proposed text amendments will be presented to the Planning and Zoning Board.

Question 1: Please confirm that formal pre-application meetings (i.e. pre-DRC) would not be included in the 120/180 days period, since it is a more conceptual review that takes places before the formal submittal that is reviewed for completeness.

Background

On July 1, 2019 House Bill No. 7103 was passed into law. Among many things, this law requires all Florida municipalities to approve, approve with conditions, or deny all development applications within either 120 days or 180 days once the application submittal is deemed complete. Below is the excerpt from HB No. 7103 regarding this topic. The entirety of the Bill has been enclosed for reference.

“166.033 Development permits and orders.—

(1) Within 30 days after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order, a municipality must review the application for completeness and issue a letter indicating that all required information is submitted or specifying with particularity any areas that are deficient. If the application is deficient, the applicant has 30 days to address the deficiencies by submitting the required additional information. Within 120 days after the municipality has deemed the application complete, or 180 days for applications that require final action through a quasi-judicial hearing or a public hearing, the municipality must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. Both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time, particularly in

G:\Zoning 2009\Staff Correspondance\Lauren Gratzner\State Application Tracking\Proposal Memo to City Attorney - State Application Tracking.docx

the event of a force majeure or other extraordinary circumstance. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the municipality's decision. The timeframes contained in this subsection do not apply in an area of critical state concern, as designated in s. 380.0552 or chapter 28-36, Florida Administrative Code."

Staff's current procedures for development applications do not include the tracking of each individual project. This change in State law requires amendments to Chapter 155: Zoning Code in order to formalize a standard procedure that complies with these requirements. David Recor, Development Services Director and Jennifer Gomez, Assistant Development Services Director have reviewed and agreed with the below proposal.

Text Amendments

The attached text amendments address the following:

- Amends the time length the Development Services Director has to determine whether the application is complete or incomplete from five business days to 30 calendar days to mimic the State guidelines (155.2303.F.1).
- Added language stating the applicant has 30 days to respond to the noticed deficiencies otherwise the application is considered withdrawn, mimicking the new State guidelines (155.2303.F.2.b / 155.2304.B.3).
- Added language implementing a non-refundable \$100 fee for withdrawn applications due to an incomplete submittal (155.2303.F.2.b / Appendix C: Fee Schedule).
- Added the standard text regarding the timeframe guidelines for complete applications from the State Statute (155.2303.F.3.a).
- Added language stating that the project will be automatically denied if the applicant does not apply for the decision making body's approval within the allotted timeframe. This states the applicant will be provided written communication, implying that an e-mail will be sufficient for communication of denial (155.2303.I).

The following changes are proposed. All changes are highlighted in **yellow**. Additions are underlines and redactions are ~~stricken~~. The proposed changes will apply to all projects submitted to Development Services as of July 1, 2019 as this was the date the law was passed.

155.2303. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL AND ACCEPTANCE

F. Determination of Application Completeness

I. Completeness Review

On receiving an application for a development permit reviewed under this Code, the Development Services Director shall, within **30 calendar** ~~five business~~ days, determine whether the application is complete or incomplete. A complete application is one that:

- a. Contains all information and materials established by the Development Services Director as required for submittal of the particular type of application;
- b. Is in the form established by the Development Services Director as required for submittal of the particular type of application;
- c. Includes information in sufficient detail to evaluate the application to determine whether it complies with the appropriate review standards of this Code; and
- d. Is accompanied by the fee established for the particular type of application.

2. Application Incomplete

- a. On determining that the application is incomplete, the Development Services Director shall notify the applicant of the deficiencies within the specified completeness review period. The applicant may correct the deficiencies and resubmit the application for completeness determination.
- b. If the applicant fails to resubmit an application within 45 30 calendar days after being first notified of deficiencies, the application shall be considered withdrawn. A non-refundable \$100 administration fee will be applied to withdrawn applications, but all other fees will be refunded.
- c. The Development Services Director shall not process an application for further review until it is determined to be complete.

3. Application Complete

On determining that the application is complete, the Development Services Director shall accept the application for review in accordance with the procedures and standards of this Code. The timeframe and cycle for review of the application shall be based on the date the application is determined to be complete.

- a. Per Florida State Statue 166.033, within 120 days after the municipality has deemed the application complete, or 180 days for applications that require final action through a quasi-judicial hearing or a public hearing, the municipality must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. Both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time, particularly in the event of a force majeure or other extraordinary circumstance. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the municipality's decision.

I. Application Denial

On determining that the application is denied, the Development Services Director will provide written communication with findings supporting the denial. A project with an incomplete submittal will not be placed on the agenda of the decision making body for board review.

- a. If the applicant does not apply to the decision making body within the submittal deadline period for the decision making authority, the application will be automatically denied at the 120 or 180 day deadline. Written communication will be sent to the applicant notifying them of the date of denial. Prior to the 120 or 180 day expiration, both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time in accordance with the procedures above. If denied, the applicant will have the option to request a waiver of time limitations and submit a new application in accordance with the procedures in 155.2308. Post-Decision Actions And Limitations D. Limitation on Subsequent Similar Applications.

155.2304. STAFF REVIEW AND ACTION

A. Referral of Application to DRC or Staff and Review Agencies

If a complete application is subject to review and comment by the DRC (See [Table 155.2101](#), Summary of Development Review Responsibilities.), the application shall be referred to the DRC for review and comments. In all other cases, the application shall be referred to those city staff members and review agencies the Development Services Director deems appropriate for review and comment on the application (which may include the DRC).

B. Staff Review and Opportunity for Application Revision

1. Before preparing a staff report or making a decision on an application (See [Table 155.2304.C](#), Applications Subject to Staff Recommendation, and [Table 155.2304.D](#), Applications Subject to Staff Decision.), the Development Services Director shall review the application, relevant support material, and any comments from the DRC and other staff and review agencies to which the application was referred.

2. If compliance deficiencies are identified, the Development Services Director shall notify the applicant of such deficiencies and provide the applicant a reasonable opportunity to discuss the deficiencies and revise the application to address them.

3. The applicant shall respond to the notice—either by requesting that the application be processed as submitted, or by submitting a revised application—within ~~45~~ **30** days after being notified of compliance deficiencies.

4. If the applicant fails to so respond to the notice within this time period, or an extension granted by the Development Services Director, the application shall be considered withdrawn.

APPENDIX C: FEE SCHEDULE

The following are the applicable fees for Applications for Development in the city.

TYPE OF APPLICATION	FEE
I. Site Plan and Building Design	
1. Major Site Plan	
a. Pre-Application Meeting	\$790
b. Residential/Multi Family	\$2,550 plus \$50 per acre
c. Non-Residential	\$2,550 plus \$150 per acre
d. Mixed Use	\$2,550 plus \$50 per acre
2. Major Building Design	
a. Residential/Multi-Family	\$770 plus \$25 per unit
b. Non-Residential	\$770 plus \$45 per 1,000 sq. ft. of building area
c. Mixed Use	\$770 plus \$25 per unit plus \$45 per 1,000 sq. ft. of net building area of non- residential use
3. Minor Site Plan	
a. Residential	\$1,780 plus \$50 per acre
b. Non-Residential	\$1,780 plus \$150 per acre
c. Outdoor Seating	\$130
4. Minor Building Design	
a. Residential	\$180 plus \$25 per unit

b. Non-Residential	\$180 plus \$45 per 1,000 sq. ft.
c. Mixed Use	\$180 plus \$25 per unit plus \$45 per 1,000 sq. ft. of new building area of non-residential use
5. Transportation Study	
a. Trip Generation Review	\$600 City Fee + Cost Recovery Fee \$1,500 Initial cost recovery deposit to cover engineering consultant fees for meetings, review, and comments
b. Full Traffic Study	\$1,000 City Fee + Cost Recovery Fee \$5,000 Initial cost recovery deposit to cover engineering consultant fees for meetings, review, and comments
6. Air Park Obstruction	\$370 City fee + Cost Recovery \$5,000 Initial cost recovery deposit to cover consultant engineering fees for meetings, review, and staff report
7. City Fee for Withdrawn Applications	\$100
J. Revised Site Plan	\$1,780

Enclosure

- **HB No. 7103**

CHAPTER 2019-165

Committee Substitute for Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 7103

An act relating to community development and housing; amending s. 125.01055, F.S.; authorizing an inclusionary housing ordinance to require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives; requiring a county to provide certain incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution; providing applicability; amending s. 125.022, F.S.; requiring that a county review the application for completeness and issue a certain letter within a specified period after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order; providing procedures for addressing deficiencies in, and for approving or denying, the application; providing applicability of certain timeframes; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; defining the term “development order”; amending s. 163.3167, F.S.; providing requirements for a comprehensive plan adopted after a specified date and all land development regulations adopted to implement the comprehensive plan; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; revising compliance requirements for a mobility fee-based funding system; requiring a local government to credit certain contributions, constructions, expansions, or payments toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for public educational facilities; providing requirements for the basis of the credit; amending s. 163.31801, F.S.; adding minimum conditions that certain impact fees must satisfy; requiring a local government to credit against the collection of an impact fee any contribution related to public education facilities, subject to certain requirements; requiring the holder of certain impact fee credits to be entitled to a certain benefit if a local government increases its impact fee rates; providing applicability; providing that the government, in certain actions, has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the imposition or amount of certain required dollar-for-dollar credits for the payment of impact fees meets certain requirements; prohibiting the court from using a deferential standard for the benefit of the government; authorizing a county, municipality, or special district to provide an exception or waiver for an impact fee for the development or construction of housing that is affordable; providing that if a county, municipality, or special district provides such exception or waiver, it is not required to use any revenues to offset the impact; providing applicability; amending s. 163.3202, F.S.; requiring local land development regulations to incorporate certain preexisting development orders; amending s. 163.3215, F.S.; providing that either party is entitled to a certain summary procedure in certain proceedings; requiring the court to advance such cause on the calendar, subject to certain requirements; providing that the prevailing party in a certain challenge to a development order is entitled to certain

attorney fees and costs; amending s. 166.033, F.S.; requiring that a municipality review the application for completeness and issue a certain letter within a specified period after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order; providing procedures for addressing deficiencies in, and for approving or denying, the application; providing applicability of certain timeframes; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; defining the term “development order”; amending s. 166.04151, F.S.; authorizing an inclusionary housing ordinance to require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives; requiring a municipality to provide certain incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution; providing applicability; amending s. 420.502, F.S.; revising legislative findings for a certain state housing finance strategy; amending s. 420.503, F.S.; conforming cross-references; defining the term “essential services personnel”; amending s. 420.5095, F.S.; deleting the definition of the term “essential services personnel”; amending s. 252.363, F.S.; providing that the declaration of a state of emergency issued by the Governor for a natural emergency tolls the period remaining to exercise the rights under a permit or other authorization for the duration of the emergency declaration; amending s. 553.791, F.S.; providing and revising definitions; revising legislative intent; prohibiting a local jurisdiction from charging fees for building inspections if the fee owner or contractor hires a private provider; authorizing the local jurisdiction to charge a reasonable administrative fee; revising the timeframe within which an owner or contractor must notify the building official that he or she is using a certain private provider; revising the type of affidavit form to be used by certain private providers under certain circumstances; revising the timeframe within which a building official must approve or deny a permit application; specifying the timeframe within which the local building official must issue a certain permit or notice of noncompliance if the permit applicant submits revisions; limiting a building official’s review of a resubmitted permit application to previously identified deficiencies; limiting the number of times a building official may audit a private provider, with exceptions; amending s. 718.112, F.S.; requiring condominium associations to ensure compliance with the Florida Fire Prevention Code; requiring associations to retrofit certain high-rise buildings with either a fire sprinkler system or an engineered life safety system as specified in the code; deleting a requirement for association bylaws to include a provision relating to certain certificates of compliance; extending and specifying the date before which local authorities having jurisdiction may not require completion of retrofitting a fire sprinkler system or a engineered life safety system, respectively; deleting an obsolete provision; providing applicability; amending s. 718.1085, F.S.; revising the definition of the term “common areas” to exclude individual balconies; extending the year before which the local authority having jurisdiction may not require retrofitting of common areas with handrails and guardrails; requiring the State Fire Marshal, by a certain date, to issue a data call to all local fire

officials to collect data on certain high-rise condominiums; specifying data that local fire officials must submit; requiring that all data be received and compiled into a certain report by a certain date; requiring that the report be sent to the Governor and the Legislature by a certain date; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 125.01055, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

125.01055 Affordable housing.—

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a county may adopt and maintain in effect any law, ordinance, rule, or other measure that is adopted for the purpose of increasing the supply of affordable housing using land use mechanisms such as inclusionary housing ordinances.

(2) An inclusionary housing ordinance may require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives in lieu of building the affordable housing units. However, in exchange, a county must provide incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to:

(a) Allowing the developer density or intensity bonus incentives or more floor space than allowed under the current or proposed future land use designation or zoning;

(b) Reducing or waiving fees, such as impact fees or water and sewer charges; or

(c) Granting other incentives.

(3) Subsection (2) does not apply in an area of critical state concern, as designated in s. 380.0552.

Section 2. Section 125.022, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

125.022 Development permits and orders.—

(1) Within 30 days after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order, a county must review the application for completeness and issue a letter indicating that all required information is submitted or specifying with particularity any areas that are deficient. If the application is deficient, the applicant has 30 days to address the deficiencies by submitting the required additional information. Within 120 days after the county has deemed the application complete, or 180 days for applications that require final action through a quasi-judicial hearing or a public hearing, the county must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. Both parties

may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time, particularly in the event of a force majeure or other extraordinary circumstance. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the county's decision. The timeframes contained in this subsection do not apply in an area of critical state concern, as designated in s. 380.0552.

(2)(1) When reviewing an application for a development permit or development order that is certified by a professional listed in s. 403.0877, a county may not request additional information from the applicant more than three times, unless the applicant waives the limitation in writing. Before a third request for additional information, the applicant must be offered a meeting to attempt to resolve outstanding issues. Except as provided in subsection (5) (4), if the applicant believes the request for additional information is not authorized by ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority, the county, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the application for approval or denial.

(3)(2) When a county denies an application for a development permit or development order, the county shall give written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit or order.

(4)(3) As used in this section, the ~~terms term~~ "development permit" and "development order" ~~have~~ has the same meaning as in s. 163.3164, but ~~do~~ does not include building permits.

(5)(4) For any development permit application filed with the county after July 1, 2012, a county may not require as a condition of processing or issuing a development permit or development order that an applicant obtain a permit or approval from any state or federal agency unless the agency has issued a final agency action that denies the federal or state permit before the county action on the local development permit.

(6)(5) Issuance of a development permit or development order by a county does not in any way create any rights on the part of the applicant to obtain a permit from a state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the county for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in a violation of state or federal law. A county shall attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of a development permit and shall include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development.

(7)(6) This section does not prohibit a county from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply.

Section 3. Subsection (3) of section 163.3167, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3167 Scope of act.—

(3) A municipality established after the effective date of this act shall, within 1 year after incorporation, establish a local planning agency, pursuant to s. 163.3174, and prepare and adopt a comprehensive plan of the type and in the manner set out in this act within 3 years after the date of such incorporation. A county comprehensive plan ~~is shall be deemed~~ controlling until the municipality adopts a comprehensive plan in accordance ~~accord~~ with this act. A comprehensive plan adopted after January 1, 2019, and all land development regulations adopted to implement the comprehensive plan must incorporate each development order existing before the comprehensive plan’s effective date, may not impair the completion of a development in accordance with such existing development order, and must vest the density and intensity approved by such development order existing on the effective date of the comprehensive plan without limitation or modification.

Section 4. Paragraph (i) of subsection (5) and paragraph (h) of subsection (6) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.—

(5)

(i) If a local government elects to repeal transportation concurrency, it is encouraged to adopt an alternative mobility funding system that uses one or more of the tools and techniques identified in paragraph (f). Any alternative mobility funding system adopted may not be used to deny, time, or phase an application for site plan approval, plat approval, final subdivision approval, building permits, or the functional equivalent of such approvals provided that the developer agrees to pay for the development’s identified transportation impacts via the funding mechanism implemented by the local government. The revenue from the funding mechanism used in the alternative system must be used to implement the needs of the local government’s plan which serves as the basis for the fee imposed. A mobility fee-based funding system must comply with ~~s. 163.31801 governing the dual rational nexus test applicable to impact fees.~~ An alternative system that is not mobility fee-based shall not be applied in a manner that imposes upon new development any responsibility for funding an existing transportation deficiency as defined in paragraph (h).

(6)

(h)1. In order to limit the liability of local governments, a local government may allow a landowner to proceed with development of a specific parcel of land notwithstanding a failure of the development to satisfy school concurrency, if all the following factors are shown to exist:

a. The proposed development would be consistent with the future land use designation for the specific property and with pertinent portions of the adopted local plan, as determined by the local government.

b. The local government's capital improvements element and the school board's educational facilities plan provide for school facilities adequate to serve the proposed development, and the local government or school board has not implemented that element or the project includes a plan that demonstrates that the capital facilities needed as a result of the project can be reasonably provided.

c. The local government and school board have provided a means by which the landowner will be assessed a proportionate share of the cost of providing the school facilities necessary to serve the proposed development.

2. If a local government applies school concurrency, it may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency is satisfied if the developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, the options described in sub-subparagraph a. Options for proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities must be established in the comprehensive plan and the interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.

a. Appropriate mitigation options include the contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18); or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan amendment that increased the overall residential density. The district school board must be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement, the local government may require the landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.

b. If the interlocal agreement and the local government comprehensive plan authorize a contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; the construction or expansion of a public

school facility, or a portion thereof; or the construction of a charter school that complies with the requirements of s. 1002.33(18), as proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for public educational facilities ~~the same need~~, on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value. The credit must be based on the total impact fee assessed and not on the impact fee for any particular type of school.

c. Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified in the 5-year school board educational facilities plan that satisfies the demands created by the development in accordance with a binding developer’s agreement.

3. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.

Section 5. Section 163.31801, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.31801 Impact fees; short title; intent; minimum requirements; audits; challenges definitions; ordinances levying impact fees.—

(1) This section may be cited as the “Florida Impact Fee Act.”

(2) The Legislature finds that impact fees are an important source of revenue for a local government to use in funding the infrastructure necessitated by new growth. The Legislature further finds that impact fees are an outgrowth of the home rule power of a local government to provide certain services within its jurisdiction. Due to the growth of impact fee collections and local governments’ reliance on impact fees, it is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that, when a county or municipality adopts an impact fee by ordinance or a special district adopts an impact fee by resolution, the governing authority complies with this section.

(3) At a minimum, an impact fee adopted by ordinance of a county or municipality or by resolution of a special district must satisfy all of the following conditions, ~~at minimum~~:

(a) ~~Require that~~ The calculation of the impact fee must be based on the most recent and localized data.

(b) The local government must provide for accounting and reporting of impact fee collections and expenditures. If a local governmental entity imposes an impact fee to address its infrastructure needs, the entity must ~~shall~~ account for the revenues and expenditures of such impact fee in a separate accounting fund.

(c) ~~Limit~~ Administrative charges for the collection of impact fees must be limited to actual costs.

~~(d) The local government must provide~~ Require that notice not be provided no less than 90 days before the effective date of an ordinance or resolution imposing a new or increased impact fee. A county or municipality is not required to wait 90 days to decrease, suspend, or eliminate an impact fee.

~~(e) Collection of the impact fee may not be required to occur earlier than the date of issuance of the building permit for the property that is subject to the fee.~~

~~(f) The impact fee must be proportional and reasonably connected to, or have a rational nexus with, the need for additional capital facilities and the increased impact generated by the new residential or commercial construction.~~

~~(g) The impact fee must be proportional and reasonably connected to, or have a rational nexus with, the expenditures of the funds collected and the benefits accruing to the new residential or nonresidential construction.~~

~~(h) The local government must specifically earmark funds collected under the impact fee for use in acquiring, constructing, or improving capital facilities to benefit new users.~~

~~(i) Revenues generated by the impact fee may not be used, in whole or in part, to pay existing debt or for previously approved projects unless the expenditure is reasonably connected to, or has a rational nexus with, the increased impact generated by the new residential or nonresidential construction.~~

~~(4) The local government must credit against the collection of the impact fee any contribution, whether identified in a proportionate share agreement or other form of exaction, related to public education facilities, including land dedication, site planning and design, or construction. Any contribution must be applied to reduce any education-based impact fees on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.~~

~~(5) If a local government increases its impact fee rates, the holder of any impact fee credits, whether such credits are granted under s. 163.3180, s. 380.06, or otherwise, which were in existence before the increase, is entitled to the full benefit of the intensity or density prepaid by the credit balance as of the date it was first established. This subsection shall operate prospectively and not retrospectively.~~

~~(6)~~(4) Audits of financial statements of local governmental entities and district school boards which are performed by a certified public accountant pursuant to s. 218.39 and submitted to the Auditor General must include an affidavit signed by the chief financial officer of the local governmental entity or district school board stating that the local governmental entity or district school board has complied with this section.

(7)(5) In any action challenging an impact fee or the government's failure to provide required dollar-for-dollar credits for the payment of impact fees as provided in s. 163.3180(6)(h)2.b., the government has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the imposition or amount of the fee or credit meets the requirements of state legal precedent and or this section. The court may not use a deferential standard for the benefit of the government.

(8) A county, municipality, or special district may provide an exception or waiver for an impact fee for the development or construction of housing that is affordable, as defined in s. 420.9071. If a county, municipality, or special district provides such an exception or waiver, it is not required to use any revenues to offset the impact.

(9) This section does not apply to water and sewer connection fees.

Section 6. Paragraph (j) is added to subsection (2) of section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, to read:

163.3202 Land development regulations.—

(2) Local land development regulations shall contain specific and detailed provisions necessary or desirable to implement the adopted comprehensive plan and shall at a minimum:

(j) Incorporate preexisting development orders identified pursuant to s. 163.3167(3).

Section 7. Subsection (8) of section 163.3215, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3215 Standing to enforce local comprehensive plans through development orders.—

(8)(a) In any proceeding under subsection (3), either party is entitled to the summary procedure provided in s. 51.011, and the court shall advance the cause on the calendar, subject to paragraph (b) or subsection (4), the Department of Legal Affairs may intervene to represent the interests of the state.

(b) Upon a showing by either party by clear and convincing evidence that summary procedure is inappropriate, the court may determine that summary procedure does not apply.

(c) The prevailing party in a challenge to a development order filed under subsection (3) is entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in challenging or defending the order, including reasonable appellate attorney fees and costs.

Section 8. Section 166.033, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

166.033 Development permits and orders.—

(1) Within 30 days after receiving an application for approval of a development permit or development order, a municipality must review the application for completeness and issue a letter indicating that all required information is submitted or specifying with particularity any areas that are deficient. If the application is deficient, the applicant has 30 days to address the deficiencies by submitting the required additional information. Within 120 days after the municipality has deemed the application complete, or 180 days for applications that require final action through a quasi-judicial hearing or a public hearing, the municipality must approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for a development permit or development order. Both parties may agree to a reasonable request for an extension of time, particularly in the event of a force majeure or other extraordinary circumstance. An approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the application for a development permit or development order must include written findings supporting the municipality's decision. The timeframes contained in this subsection do not apply in an area of critical state concern, as designated in s. 380.0552 or chapter 28-36, Florida Administrative Code.

(2)(1) When reviewing an application for a development permit or development order that is certified by a professional listed in s. 403.0877, a municipality may not request additional information from the applicant more than three times, unless the applicant waives the limitation in writing. Before a third request for additional information, the applicant must be offered a meeting to attempt to resolve outstanding issues. Except as provided in subsection (5) (4), if the applicant believes the request for additional information is not authorized by ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority, the municipality, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the application for approval or denial.

(3)(2) When a municipality denies an application for a development permit or development order, the municipality shall give written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit or order.

(4)(3) As used in this section, the terms term "development permit" and "development order" have has the same meaning as in s. 163.3164, but do does not include building permits.

(5)(4) For any development permit application filed with the municipality after July 1, 2012, a municipality may not require as a condition of processing or issuing a development permit or development order that an applicant obtain a permit or approval from any state or federal agency unless the agency has issued a final agency action that denies the federal or state permit before the municipal action on the local development permit.

(6)(5) Issuance of a development permit or development order by a municipality does not in any way create any right on the part of an applicant

to obtain a permit from a state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the municipality for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by a state or federal agency or undertakes actions that result in a violation of state or federal law. A municipality shall attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of development permits and shall include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development.

~~(7)~~(6) This section does not prohibit a municipality from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply.

Section 9. Section 166.04151, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

166.04151 Affordable housing.—

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a municipality may adopt and maintain in effect any law, ordinance, rule, or other measure that is adopted for the purpose of increasing the supply of affordable housing using land use mechanisms such as inclusionary housing ordinances.

(2) An inclusionary housing ordinance may require a developer to provide a specified number or percentage of affordable housing units to be included in a development or allow a developer to contribute to a housing fund or other alternatives in lieu of building the affordable housing units. However, in exchange, a municipality must provide incentives to fully offset all costs to the developer of its affordable housing contribution. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to:

(a) Allowing the developer density or intensity bonus incentives or more floor space than allowed under the current or proposed future land use designation or zoning;

(b) Reducing or waiving fees, such as impact fees or water and sewer charges; or

(c) Granting other incentives.

(3) Subsection (2) does not apply in an area of critical state concern, as designated by s. 380.0552 or chapter 28-36, Florida Administrative Code.

Section 10. Subsection (8) of section 420.502, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

420.502 Legislative findings.—It is hereby found and declared as follows:

(8)(a) It is necessary to create new programs to stimulate the construction and substantial rehabilitation of rental housing for eligible persons and families.

(b) It is necessary to create a state housing finance strategy to provide affordable workforce housing opportunities to essential services personnel in areas of critical state concern designated under s. 380.05, for which the Legislature has declared its intent to provide affordable housing, and areas that were designated as areas of critical state concern for at least 20 consecutive years before removal of the designation. The lack of affordable workforce housing has been exacerbated by the dwindling availability of developable land, environmental constraints, rising construction and insurance costs, and the shortage of lower-cost housing units. As this state's population continues to grow, essential services personnel vital to the economies of areas of critical state concern are unable to live in the communities where they work, creating transportation congestion and hindering their quality of life and community engagement.

Section 11. Present subsections (18) through (42) of section 420.503, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (19) through (43), respectively, a new subsection (18) is added to that section, and subsection (15) of that section is amended, to read:

420.503 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:

(15) “Elderly” means persons 62 years of age or older; however, this definition does not prohibit housing from being deemed housing for the elderly as defined in subsection (20) ~~(19)~~ if such housing otherwise meets the requirements of subsection (20) ~~(19)~~.

(18) “Essential services personnel” means natural persons or families whose total annual household income is at or below 120 percent of the area median income, adjusted for household size, and at least one of whom is employed as police or fire personnel, a child care worker, a teacher or other education personnel, health care personnel, a public employee, or a service worker.

Section 12. Subsection (3) of section 420.5095, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

420.5095 Community Workforce Housing Innovation Pilot Program.—

(3) For purposes of this section, the term:

(a) “Workforce housing” means housing affordable to natural persons or families whose total annual household income does not exceed 140 percent of the area median income, adjusted for household size, or 150 percent of area median income, adjusted for household size, in areas of critical state concern designated under s. 380.05, for which the Legislature has declared its intent to provide affordable housing, and areas that were designated as areas of critical state concern for at least 20 consecutive years prior to removal of the designation.

~~(b) “Essential services personnel” means persons in need of affordable housing who are employed in occupations or professions in which they are~~

~~considered essential services personnel, as defined by each county and eligible municipality within its respective local housing assistance plan pursuant to s. 420.9075(3)(a).~~

(e) “Public-private partnership” means any form of business entity that includes substantial involvement of at least one county, one municipality, or one public sector entity, such as a school district or other unit of local government in which the project is to be located, and at least one private sector for-profit or not-for-profit business or charitable entity, and may be any form of business entity, including a joint venture or contractual agreement.

Section 13. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 252.363, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

252.363 Tolling and extension of permits and other authorizations.—

(1)(a) The declaration of a state of emergency issued by the Governor for a natural emergency tolls the period remaining to exercise the rights under a permit or other authorization for the duration of the emergency declaration. Further, the emergency declaration extends the period remaining to exercise the rights under a permit or other authorization for 6 months in addition to the tolled period. This paragraph applies to the following:

1. The expiration of a development order issued by a local government.
2. The expiration of a building permit.
3. The expiration of a permit issued by the Department of Environmental Protection or a water management district pursuant to part IV of chapter 373.
4. The buildout date of a development of regional impact, including any extension of a buildout date that was previously granted as specified in s. 380.06(7)(c).

Section 14. Subsection (1), paragraph (b) of subsection (2), and subsections (4) through (7) and (18) of section 553.791, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

553.791 Alternative plans review and inspection.—

(1) As used in this section, the term:

(a) “Applicable codes” means the Florida Building Code and any local technical amendments to the Florida Building Code but does not include the applicable minimum fire prevention and firesafety codes adopted pursuant to chapter 633.

(b) “Audit” means the process to confirm that the building code inspection services have been performed by the private provider, including

ensuring that the required affidavit for the plan review has been properly completed and affixed to the permit documents and that the minimum mandatory inspections required under the building code have been performed and properly recorded. ~~The term does not mean that the local building official may not is required to replicate the plan review or inspection being performed by the private provider, unless expressly authorized by this section.~~

(c) “Building” means any construction, erection, alteration, demolition, or improvement of, or addition to, any structure or site work for which permitting by a local enforcement agency is required.

(d) “Building code inspection services” means those services described in s. 468.603(5) and (8) involving the review of building plans as well as those services involving the review of site plans and site work engineering plans or their functional equivalent, to determine compliance with applicable codes and those inspections required by law of each phase of construction for which permitting by a local enforcement agency is required to determine compliance with applicable codes.

(e) “Duly authorized representative” means an agent of the private provider identified in the permit application who reviews plans or performs inspections as provided by this section and who is licensed as an engineer under chapter 471 or as an architect under chapter 481 or who holds a standard certificate under part XII of chapter 468.

(f) “Immediate threat to public safety and welfare” means a building code violation that, if allowed to persist, constitutes an immediate hazard that could result in death, serious bodily injury, or significant property damage. This paragraph does not limit the authority of the local building official to issue a Notice of Corrective Action at any time during the construction of a building project or any portion of such project if the official determines that a condition of the building or portion thereof may constitute a hazard when the building is put into use following completion as long as the condition cited is shown to be in violation of the building code or approved plans.

(g) “Local building official” means the individual within the governing jurisdiction responsible for direct regulatory administration or supervision of plans review, enforcement, and inspection of any construction, erection, alteration, demolition, or substantial improvement of, or addition to, any structure for which permitting is required to indicate compliance with applicable codes and includes any duly authorized designee of such person.

(h) “Permit application” means a properly completed and submitted application for the requested building or construction permit, including:

1. The plans reviewed by the private provider.
2. The affidavit from the private provider required under subsection (6).

3. Any applicable fees.

4. Any documents required by the local building official to determine that the fee owner has secured all other government approvals required by law.

(i) “Plans” means building plans, site engineering plans, or site plans, or their functional equivalent, submitted by a fee owner or fee owner’s contractor to a private provider or duly authorized representative for review.

(j)(i) “Private provider” means a person licensed as a building code administrator under part XII of chapter 468, as an engineer under chapter 471, or as an architect under chapter 481. For purposes of performing inspections under this section for additions and alterations that are limited to 1,000 square feet or less to residential buildings, the term “private provider” also includes a person who holds a standard certificate under part XII of chapter 468.

(k)(j) “Request for certificate of occupancy or certificate of completion” means a properly completed and executed application for:

1. A certificate of occupancy or certificate of completion.
2. A certificate of compliance from the private provider required under subsection (11).
3. Any applicable fees.
4. Any documents required by the local building official to determine that the fee owner has secured all other government approvals required by law.

(l) “Site work” means the portion of a construction project that is not part of the building structure, including, but not limited to, grading, excavation, landscape irrigation, and installation of driveways.

(m)(k) “Stop-work order” means the issuance of any written statement, written directive, or written order which states the reason for the order and the conditions under which the cited work will be permitted to resume.

(2)

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature that owners and contractors pay reduced fees not be required to pay extra costs related to building permitting requirements when hiring a private provider for plans review and building inspections. A local jurisdiction must calculate the cost savings to the local enforcement agency, based on a fee owner or contractor hiring a private provider to perform plans reviews and building inspections in lieu of the local building official, and reduce the permit fees accordingly. The local jurisdiction may not charge fees for building inspections if the fee owner or

contractor hires a private provider; however, the local jurisdiction may charge a reasonable administrative fee.

(4) A fee owner or the fee owner's contractor using a private provider to provide building code inspection services shall notify the local building official at the time of permit application, or by 2 p.m. local time, 2 no less than 7 business days before ~~prior to~~ the first scheduled inspection by the local building official or building code enforcement agency for a private provider performing required inspections of construction under this section, on a form to be adopted by the commission. This notice shall include the following information:

(a) The services to be performed by the private provider.

(b) The name, firm, address, telephone number, and facsimile number of each private provider who is performing or will perform such services, his or her professional license or certification number, qualification statements or resumes, and, if required by the local building official, a certificate of insurance demonstrating that professional liability insurance coverage is in place for the private provider's firm, the private provider, and any duly authorized representative in the amounts required by this section.

(c) An acknowledgment from the fee owner in substantially the following form:

I have elected to use one or more private providers to provide building code plans review and/or inspection services on the building or structure that is the subject of the enclosed permit application, as authorized by s. 553.791, Florida Statutes. I understand that the local building official may not review the plans submitted or perform the required building inspections to determine compliance with the applicable codes, except to the extent specified in said law. Instead, plans review and/or required building inspections will be performed by licensed or certified personnel identified in the application. The law requires minimum insurance requirements for such personnel, but I understand that I may require more insurance to protect my interests. By executing this form, I acknowledge that I have made inquiry regarding the competence of the licensed or certified personnel and the level of their insurance and am satisfied that my interests are adequately protected. I agree to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the local government, the local building official, and their building code enforcement personnel from any and all claims arising from my use of these licensed or certified personnel to perform building code inspection services with respect to the building or structure that is the subject of the enclosed permit application.

If the fee owner or the fee owner's contractor makes any changes to the listed private providers or the services to be provided by those private providers, the fee owner or the fee owner's contractor shall, within 1 business day after any change, update the notice to reflect such changes. A change of a duly

authorized representative named in the permit application does not require a revision of the permit, and the building code enforcement agency shall not charge a fee for making the change. In addition, the fee owner or the fee owner’s contractor shall post at the project site, ~~before prior to~~ the commencement of construction and updated within 1 business day after any change, on a form to be adopted by the commission, the name, firm, address, telephone number, and facsimile number of each private provider who is performing or will perform building code inspection services, the type of service being performed, and similar information for the primary contact of the private provider on the project.

(5) After construction has commenced and if the local building official is unable to provide inspection services in a timely manner, the fee owner or the fee owner’s contractor may elect to use a private provider to provide inspection services by notifying the local building official of the owner’s or contractor’s intention to do so by 2 p.m. local time, 2 no less than 7 business days ~~before prior to~~ the next scheduled inspection using the notice provided for in paragraphs (4)(a)-(c).

(6) A private provider performing plans review under this section shall review ~~the construction~~ plans to determine compliance with the applicable codes. Upon determining that the plans reviewed comply with the applicable codes, the private provider shall prepare an affidavit or affidavits on a form reasonably acceptable to ~~adopted by~~ the commission certifying, under oath, that the following is true and correct to the best of the private provider’s knowledge and belief:

(a) The plans were reviewed by the affiant, who is duly authorized to perform plans review pursuant to this section and holds the appropriate license or certificate.

(b) The plans comply with the applicable codes.

(7)(a) No more than 20 30 business days after receipt of a permit application and the affidavit from the private provider required pursuant to subsection (6), the local building official shall issue the requested permit or provide a written notice to the permit applicant identifying the specific plan features that do not comply with the applicable codes, as well as the specific code chapters and sections. If the local building official does not provide a written notice of the plan deficiencies within the prescribed 20-day 30-day period, the permit application shall be deemed approved as a matter of law, and the permit shall be issued by the local building official on the next business day.

(b) If the local building official provides a written notice of plan deficiencies to the permit applicant within the prescribed 20-day 30-day period, the 20-day 30-day period shall be tolled pending resolution of the matter. To resolve the plan deficiencies, the permit applicant may elect to dispute the deficiencies pursuant to subsection (13) or to submit revisions to correct the deficiencies.

(c) If the permit applicant submits revisions, the local building official has the remainder of the tolled 20-day 30-day period plus 5 business days from the date of resubmittal to issue the requested permit or to provide a second written notice to the permit applicant stating which of the previously identified plan features remain in noncompliance with the applicable codes, with specific reference to the relevant code chapters and sections. Any subsequent review by the local building official is limited to the deficiencies cited in the written notice. If the local building official does not provide the second written notice within the prescribed time period, the permit shall be deemed approved as a matter of law, and issued by the local building official must issue the permit on the next business day.

(d) If the local building official provides a second written notice of plan deficiencies to the permit applicant within the prescribed time period, the permit applicant may elect to dispute the deficiencies pursuant to subsection (13) or to submit additional revisions to correct the deficiencies. For all revisions submitted after the first revision, the local building official has an additional 5 business days from the date of resubmittal to issue the requested permit or to provide a written notice to the permit applicant stating which of the previously identified plan features remain in noncompliance with the applicable codes, with specific reference to the relevant code chapters and sections.

(18) Each local building code enforcement agency may audit the performance of building code inspection services by private providers operating within the local jurisdiction. However, the same private provider may not be audited more than four times in a calendar year unless the local building official determines a condition of a building constitutes an immediate threat to public safety and welfare. Work on a building or structure may proceed after inspection and approval by a private provider if the provider has given notice of the inspection pursuant to subsection (9) and, subsequent to such inspection and approval, the work shall not be delayed for completion of an inspection audit by the local building code enforcement agency.

Section 15. Paragraph (1) of subsection (2) of section 718.112, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

718.112 Bylaws.—

(2) REQUIRED PROVISIONS.—The bylaws shall provide for the following and, if they do not do so, shall be deemed to include the following:

(1) *Firesafety*.—An association must ensure compliance with the Florida Fire Prevention Code. As to a residential condominium building that is a high-rise building as defined under the Florida Fire Prevention Code, the association must retrofit either a fire sprinkler system or an engineered life safety system as specified in the Florida Fire Prevention Code Certificate of compliance.~~—A provision that a certificate of compliance from a licensed electrical contractor or electrician may be accepted by the association's~~

~~board as evidence of compliance of the condominium units with the applicable fire and life safety code must be included. Notwithstanding chapter 633 or of any other code, statute, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation, or any interpretation of the foregoing, an association, residential condominium, or unit owner is not obligated to retrofit the common elements, association property, or units of a residential condominium with a fire sprinkler system in a building that has been certified for occupancy by the applicable governmental entity if the unit owners have voted to forego such retrofitting by the affirmative vote of a majority of all voting interests in the affected condominium. The local authority having jurisdiction may not require completion of retrofitting with a fire sprinkler system or an engineered life safety system before January 1, 2024 2020. By December 31, 2016, a residential condominium association that is not in compliance with the requirements for a fire sprinkler system and has not voted to forego retrofitting of such a system must initiate an application for a building permit for the required installation with the local government having jurisdiction demonstrating that the association will become compliant by December 31, 2019.~~

1. A vote to forego retrofitting may be obtained by limited proxy or by a ballot personally cast at a duly called membership meeting, or by execution of a written consent by the member, and is effective upon recording a certificate attesting to such vote in the public records of the county where the condominium is located. The association shall mail or hand deliver to each unit owner written notice at least 14 days before the membership meeting in which the vote to forego retrofitting of the required fire sprinkler system is to take place. Within 30 days after the association's opt-out vote, notice of the results of the opt-out vote must be mailed or hand delivered to all unit owners. Evidence of compliance with this notice requirement must be made by affidavit executed by the person providing the notice and filed among the official records of the association. After notice is provided to each owner, a copy must be provided by the current owner to a new owner before closing and by a unit owner to a renter before signing a lease.

2. If there has been a previous vote to forego retrofitting, a vote to require retrofitting may be obtained at a special meeting of the unit owners called by a petition of at least 10 percent of the voting interests. Such a vote may only be called once every 3 years. Notice shall be provided as required for any regularly called meeting of the unit owners, and must state the purpose of the meeting. Electronic transmission may not be used to provide notice of a meeting called in whole or in part for this purpose.

3. As part of the information collected annually from condominiums, the division shall require condominium associations to report the membership vote and recording of a certificate under this subsection and, if retrofitting has been undertaken, the per-unit cost of such work. The division shall annually report to the Division of State Fire Marshal of the Department of Financial Services the number of condominiums that have elected to forego retrofitting.

4. Notwithstanding s. 553.509, a residential association may not be obligated to, and may forego the retrofitting of, any improvements required by s. 553.509(2) upon an affirmative vote of a majority of the voting interests in the affected condominium.

5. This paragraph does not apply to timeshare condominium associations, which shall be governed by s. 721.24.

Section 16. Section 718.1085, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

718.1085 Certain regulations not to be retroactively applied.—Notwithstanding the provisions of chapter 633 or of any other code, statute, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation, or any interpretation thereof, an association, condominium, or unit owner is not obligated to retrofit the common elements or units of a residential condominium that meets the definition of “housing for older persons” in s. 760.29(4)(b)3. to comply with requirements relating to handrails and guardrails if the unit owners have voted to forego such retrofitting by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of all voting interests in the affected condominium. However, a condominium association may not vote to forego the retrofitting in common areas in a high-rise building. For the purposes of this section, the term “high-rise building” means a building that is greater than 75 feet in height where the building height is measured from the lowest level of fire department access to the floor of the highest occupiable level. For the purposes of this section, the term “common areas” means stairwells and exposed, outdoor walkways and corridors, but does not include individual balconies. In no event shall the local authority having jurisdiction require retrofitting of common areas with handrails and guardrails before the end of 2024 2014.

(1) A vote to forego retrofitting may not be obtained by general proxy or limited proxy, but shall be obtained by a vote personally cast at a duly called membership meeting, or by execution of a written consent by the member, and shall be effective upon the recording of a certificate attesting to such vote in the public records of the county where the condominium is located. The association shall provide each unit owner written notice of the vote to forego retrofitting of the required handrails or guardrails, or both, in at least 16-point bold type, by certified mail, within 20 days after the association’s vote. After such notice is provided to each owner, a copy of such notice shall be provided by the current owner to a new owner prior to closing and shall be provided by a unit owner to a renter prior to signing a lease.

(2) As part of the information collected annually from condominiums, the division shall require condominium associations to report the membership vote and recording of a certificate under this subsection and, if retrofitting has been undertaken, the per-unit cost of such work. The division shall annually report to the Division of State Fire Marshal of the Department of Financial Services the number of condominiums that have elected to forego retrofitting.

Section 17. By July 1, 2019, the State Fire Marshal shall issue a data call to all local fire officials to collect data regarding high-rise condominiums greater than 75 feet in height which have not retrofitted with a fire sprinkler system or an engineered life safety system in accordance with ss. 633.208(5) and 718.112(2)(1), Florida Statutes. Local fire officials shall submit such data to the State Fire Marshal and shall include, for each individual building, the address, the number of units, and the number of stories. By July 1, 2020, all data must be received and compiled into a report by city and county. By September 1, 2020, the report must be sent to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Section 18. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Approved by the Governor June 28, 2019.

Filed in Office Secretary of State June 28, 2019.