

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: DTM TECH, INC.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>25</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>16</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>7</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>53</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

FIRM INCLUDED A STAFF MEMBER WITH IMPRESSIVE CREDENTIALS. HOWEVER, COLLECTIVELY THE FIRM LACKED EXPERIENCE IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR. THE SAME INDIVIDUAL APPEARS TO BE RUNNING HIS OWN COMPANY AT THE SAME TIME HE IS LISTED WITH DTM. THIS MAY CAUSE A CONFLICT. THE TECHNICAL APPROACH WAS VIRTUALLY NON-EXISTENT. FIRM DIDN'T PROVIDE ANY DETAILS TO SHOW AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE BASIC APPROACH TO MANAGING A PROJECT IN THE PUBLIC REALM.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

3/8/18

Printed Name

HORACIO DANOVICH

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: FICE DESIGN

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>88</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

TEAM POSSESSES EXCELLENT CREDENTIALS, AMPLIFIED EXPERIENCE IN THE FIELD HAVING SERVED MULTIPLE SCHOOLS AND PUBLIC FACILITIES. THE TECHNICAL APPROACH LACKED A BIT IN THE DETAILS, THOUGH.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

3/8/18

HORACIO DANOVICH



## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: GARTER ENGINEERING CORP.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>42</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>24</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>80</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

TEAM INCLUDES TWO VERY WELL QUALIFIED PROFESSIONALS  
 SUPPORTED BY OTHER INDIVIDUALS WITH SOME EXPERIENCE  
 TECHNICAL APPROACH COULD HAVE HAD A LITTLE MORE  
 DETAILS AND EXPAND ON SOME ~~THAT~~ PRACTICES, BUT OVERALL OK.



3/8/18  
 Date

HORACIO DANOVICH  
 Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: GLE ASSOCIATES

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>50</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>30</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>90</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY DETAILED AND EASILY ORGANIZED APPROACH TO MANAGING PROJECTS. SOLID TEAM WITH EXCELLENT EXPERIENCE PROVIDING SIMILAR SERVICES TO MULTIPLE PUBLIC AGENCIES. THE FIRM PERFORMED SERVICES IN THE CITY (HOUSING AUTHORITY) AND HAS PARTICIPATED IN OTHER LOCAL PROJECTS. VERY WELL QUALIFIED FOR THIS CONTRACT.



Signature of Evaluator

3/8/18

Date

HORACIO DANOVICH

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: HILLER ELECTRICAL ENG.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>50</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>30</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>95</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GOOD TECHNICAL APPROACH. TABLE SHOWING SUCCESS STORIES OF PROJECTED COSTS VS. ACTUAL IS TELLING A GOOD PLAN AND UNDERSTANDING MARKET CONDITIONS. TEAM ASSEMBLED FOR THIS ASSIGNMENT IS STRONG. THE FIRM HAS PROVIDED EXCELLENT SERVICES TO THE CITY IN THE PAST AND HAS GREAT EXPERIENCE DEALING WITH PUBLIC GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.



3/8/18

Date

HORACIO DAWOVICH

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: LIMCO ENGINEERING

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>22</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>23</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>60</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

THE TECHNICAL APPROACH WAS EASY TO FOLLOW AND TO  
 THE POINT. VIRTUALLY NO PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS/NO  
 EXPERIENCE TO DEMONSTRATE FIRM'S ABILITY TO PERFORM  
 FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. FIRM SEEMS TO HAVE RECENT  
 CREDENTIALS, BUT LACKED DETAILS TO CONFIRM.



Signature of Evaluator

3/8/18

Date

HOMERIO DANOVICH

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: SGM ENGINEERING

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>50</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>27</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>9</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>91</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

TECHNICAL APPROACH WAS VERY WELL CONDUCTED WITH GREAT DETAILS AND CLARITY. ALTHOUGH MOST PROJECTS WERE NOT AROUND OUR CITY, THE FIRM HAS DONE WORK FOR LARGE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND UNIVERSITIES. STAFF AVAILABILITY IS A BIT LIMITED, BUT COLLECTIVELY THE DESIGN TEAM HAS IMPRESSIVE CREDENTIALS.



Signature of Evaluator

3/8/18

Date

HORACIO DANOVICH

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA


## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: VOLT AIR CONSULTING ENG.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>44</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>27</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>84</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

THE FIRM'S APPROACH DID NOT REALLY EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANY SUGGESTS PROJECTS AND PAYS ATTENTION TO DETAILS. NOT SURE ABOUT THE SUBS' ROLES. SAMPLE PROJECTS AND CLIENTS SHOWS FIRM IS QUITE CAPABLE.



Signature of Evaluator

3/8/18

Date

HORACIO DANOVICH

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: DTM

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>25</u>
25	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance <i>None.</i>		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project <i>- Listing vs chart</i>		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff: <i>(not clear on hierarchy of those assigned)</i>		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location <i>FTlaud</i>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <i>8</i>		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>60</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*limited experience provided most of which appeared to be international*

*Experience provided was by individual vice as a firm*

*Robert McCaughan*

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: FICE

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>25</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ✓ b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office <i>Del Ray</i>	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>60</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Did not provide experience as a firm

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

<u><i>Robert McCaughan</i></u>		Robert McCaughan
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: Gartek

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>40</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <u>NO claims</u>	0-30	<u>25</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project ✓		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location <u>Holly wood</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>5</u>		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>80</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Provided 17 different municipalities that firm has had  
experience with  
Good Range of various electrical design services

Robert McCaughan  
 Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: GLE

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>45</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
			<i>no litigation</i>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>25</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
			<i>4</i>
			<i>FT Lauderdale office</i>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>80</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*LOT claims performance w/ 150 public entities*

*thorough Technical approach*

*Good list with details of experience as a team*

*Robert McCaughan*

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: Hillars

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>50</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>95</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Significant electrical design in support of Utility Plants  
Good Municipal Experience  
Subcontractor to some other large A/E firms  
Broad Category of Electrical design Provided  
Good experience provide for CORB

Robert McCaughan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: LIMCO

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>35</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>70</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

working on 5 schools under Broward School Board  
contracts w Broward County and City of Lauderdale

Robert McCaughan  
Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: SGM

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub-consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ✓ b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>90</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

27 years experienceBroad electrical capabilities providedgood projects list w details provided (size, cost, location completion, scope description)Robert McCaughan

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: VoltAir

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>50</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub-consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ✓ b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>2</u> <i>Weston</i>	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>95</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*Currently working school Projects Pompano, Cooper City, and Parkland  
Works as Sub to Keith & Associates  
Provide list of 27 municipalities/schools with experience  
Very good list of projects*

*Robert McCaughan*

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Robert McCaughan

Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: DTM TECH

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>P.B. GARRENS</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>63</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

POOR SUBMITTAL.  
DID NOT DO A GOOD ENOUGH JOB HIGHLIGHTING  
RELEVANT PROJECTS.

 3/12/18  
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SFIRIDOULOS  
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: FILE DESIGN

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Delray</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>64</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NOT IMPRESSED WITH SUBMITTAL.  
DID NOT DO A GOOD ENOUGH JOB  
HIGHLIGHTING RELEVANT PROJECT OR  
EXPERIENCE.

 3/12/18 JOHN SPIROPOULOS  
Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: GARTEK

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>43</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>23</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>HOLLYWOOD</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>79</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- 17- CONTINUOUS CONTRACTS WITH OTHER CITIES,
- MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL
- DID NOT DO A GOOD ENOUGH JOB HIGHLIGHTING  
"PROJECT TEAM" EXPERIENCE.

[Signature] 3/12/18  
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SPILLOPOULOS  
Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: GLE ASSOCIATES

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>25</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>FT. LAUD.</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total		0-100	<u>79</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- FULL SERVICE (ENG., ARCHITECTURE, & ENVIRONMENTAL),
- MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL
- LISTED SOME GENERAL EXPERIENCE BUT DID NOT DO A GREAT JOB HIGHLIGHTING RELEVANT ELECTRICAL PROJECTS.

John Sprinkle 3/12/18  
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SFIRADOULOS  
Printed Name



EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: HILLERS ELECTRICAL

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>49</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>29</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>BOLT RATION</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>92</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

24-yr experience, prior history w/ City (M.M. PARK,  
Airpark, Several Neighborhood Lighting studies,  
Golf Course Parking lot lighting, BOLLARD LIGHTING,  
ETC.), IMPRESSIVE SUBMITTAL, MULTIPLE  
CONTINUING CONTRACTS WITH OTHER CITIES, PROFICIENT  
WITH INSTRUMENTATION & TELEMETRY / SCADA AS WELL.

John Spyrk 3/12/18  
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SFIROPOULOS  
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: LIMCO

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>30</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>LHP</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>65</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- MOSTLY WORK COMPLETED IN CARIBBEAN
- 2013 EXTENDED ITS SERVICES INTO FLORIDA.
- ONLY 2-STAFF ASSIGNED
- MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL
- NO PROJECTS COMPLETE YET IN FL (ONLY ACTIVE).



Signature of Evaluator

3/12/18

Date

JOHN SPIROPOULOS

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

## RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: SGM ENGINEERING

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-50	<u>49</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-30	<u>29</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>9</u>
	a. Location <u>FT. LAWA.</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>92</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

27 years experience, 12-MASTER AGREEMENTS  
FOR CONTINUOUS SERVICES, UTILIZE BOTH REVIT-BIM  
& CADD, IMPRESSIVE SUBMITTAL,  
SUBSTANTIAL M.E.P. EXPERIENCE.

John S. Siroopoulos 3/12/18  
 Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SIROPOULOS  
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA  
RLI E-11-18 – CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

VENDOR NAME: VOLT AIR CONSULTING

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-50	<u>46</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-30	<u>26</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>WESTON</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total		0-100	<u>85</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

IN BUSINESS SINCE 2006 WITH  
27 CLIENTS FOR CONTINUOUS SERVICES  
SIGNIFICANT MEP EXPERIENCE, GOOD  
SUBMITTAL, & DID A NICE JOB HIGHLIGHTING  
ID-RELEVANT PROJECTS.

 3/12/18  
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SPIROPOULOS  
Printed Name