

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: Maverick United

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-20	<u>19</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
References			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	<u>16</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• References and recommendations from previous clients.		
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>28</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>29</u>
Total		0-100	<u>92</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

They proposed what we asked for.
A working freight elevator with a new
jack assembly

Alessandra Delfico
Signature of Evaluator

6-30-16
Date

Alessandra Delfico
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: Mowery Elevator

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
References			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	<u>16</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• References and recommendations from previous clients.		
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>20</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
Total		0-100	<u>74</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

They wanted to change the elevator
to a passenger elevator with a smaller
door opening and no a/c not included.
The service agreement was also more than the
other.

Alexandra Duf
Signature of Evaluator

6-30-16
Date

Alessandra Delico
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: MAVERICK UNITEEL ELEVATOR

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
References			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• References and recommendations from previous clients.		
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>25</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
Total		0-100	<u>86</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Includes freight doors, new cylinder/truck
AC included. All questions ~~are~~ raised by
Selection Committee were answered satisfactorily


Signature of Evaluator

06/30/16
Date

DONOVAN EVANS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: Mowery Elevator

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-20	<u>15</u>
References			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>20</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>16</u>
Total		0-100	<u>69</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Does not include New Cylinders, AC Solution
Not known, Proposal is for Passenger / Freight
doors. New Cylinders would cost over
\$22,000.

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

Date

JOHNNAN EVANS
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: MAVERICK UNITED

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
References			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• References and recommendations from previous clients.		
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>30</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>30</u>
Total		0-100	<u>98</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY GOOD EXPERIENCE & REFERENCES
GREAT EXPLANATION OF WHAT QUESTIONS WE HAD AND WHAT WAS INCLUDED,
COST INCLUDED ALL - INCLUDING NEW RAM - COMPLETE
TURN KEY - WARRANTY.
SERVICE CONTRACT WAS LESS PER MONTH


Signature of Evaluator

6/30/16
Date

PHIL HUSE
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-22-16 CHEMICAL BUILDING ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION

VENDOR NAME: MOWERY ELEVATOR

<u>Item</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
Experience and Expertise			
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
References			
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">References and recommendations from previous clients.		
Technical Approach			
3	Explanation and drawings if needed of the proposed materials and installation.	0-30	<u>25</u>
Cost			
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
Total		0-100	<u>85</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GREAT EXPERIENCE / REFERENCES.
VERY GOOD EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR DIFFERENT APPROACH
OF CONVERTING TO PASSENGER / FREIGHT ELEVATOR.
COST OF EXTRAS AND UNKNOWN OF A/C COST NOT INCLUDED
AND SERVICE CONTRACT PER MONTH WAS MORE.

CPA
Signature of Evaluator

6/30/16
Date

PHIL HYER
Printed Name