VENDOR NAME: AE Engineering Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	<u>Score</u>	
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	45	
2.	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	7	
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	34	
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	2	
	Total	0-100	88	
List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
Good variety of work. Compact workgroup.				
Manufacture of Evaluator Date Alessandra Delfico Printed Name				

#### **VENDOR NAME: Calvin Giordano Associates**

	Criteria	Point Range	<u>Score</u>
ť.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	41
2.	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	7
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	30
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	1
	Total	0-100	79
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
Not	as much similar work as the other two.	7	
Sign	Mandulator 213-17  Alessandra D  Printed	<u>Pelfico</u> d Name	

VENDOR NAME: Wantman Group Inc.

	Criteria	Point Range	<u>Score</u>		
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	42		
2.	References  History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.  References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-10	7		
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	32		
	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	0		
	Total	0-100	81		
List	List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
There seem to be a lot of sub contractors. I did not see where the lansing melbourne groups expertise would be needed.					
Signature of Evaluator Date Alessandra Delfico Printed Name					

VENDOR NAME: AE Engineering

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score	
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other</li> </ul>	0-50	10	
2.	technical or legal issues related to the project.  References  History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.  References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-10	2	
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>	0-35	20	
4.	• Financial resources.  Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	2	
	Total	0-100	34	
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):			
<u>S</u>	Et. Some provided in indudual resure experise provided in resure's very linte Defences: 2 printed FDOT and City of Wor	-	previous e epeive	
		Mc Caugh		
Sigr	nature of Evaluator () Date Printe	d Name		

VE	NDOR NAME: CGA		
	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or local increase related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	<u>30</u>
2.	References  History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.  References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-10	
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>	0-35	30
4.	<ul> <li>Financial resources.</li> <li>Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)</li> </ul>	0-5	
	Total	0-100	69
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
را ن	ood tech approach and seen to have good understands	g of chal	lengs with
1	instruction par Excellent CEI expense but limited with pier reat references for mostly non-marine projects	cerstructur	r as "Prime
			÷
Sign	Robert A Printed	McCaugh	an

VENDOR NAME: Wantman Group

	Criteria	Point Range	Score		
	<u>Officia</u>	r omt Range	<u>50010</u>		
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-50	_50		
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>				
2.	References	0-10	10		
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>				
3.	Resources and Methodology	0-35	35		
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>				
	Financial resources.				
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	_O_		
	Total	0-100	95		
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
Wa	ntran is Bridge lesign associates who designed	the Pier			
1	are the Best Knowledge re: pier design				
n	en invellagmitted/construction admin to 12 Fl signs	Course	mionne )		
	Designed/pernithed/construction admin for 12 FL piers (excellent experience) plan to use LMC as sub-who is familiar w/development around pier.				
-	can to use the as site with it families we acre	ropmers are	and free.		
8-					
-					
6	Author 2/13/17 Robert A Pature of Evaluator Date Printe	McCaugh	a $\wedge$		
Sigr	nature of Evaluator Date Printe	d Name			

VENDOR NAME: A.E. ENGINTERING

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
	Citteria	r omt Range	Score
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	30
2.	References  History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.  References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-10	<u>S</u>
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>	0-35	20
4.	<ul> <li>Financial resources.</li> <li>Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)</li> </ul>	0-5	2
	Total	0-100	57
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	NOT ENOUGH EXPERIENCE IN  AREA OR ADEQUATE RESOURCES ASSI	SUBJEG	- PARTE C
	THE THE CONTRACT PRODUCTS 11351	3,000	71-51-9
Sign	Mature of Evaluator Date Printe	Allofou L	of_

VENDOR NAME: \_C. A. Criteria **Point Range** Score 0 - 50**Experience and Expertise** 1. Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. NEWPORT FISHING 10 0 - 10References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 4-5 No S. (W) Lakdas - bidges) **Resources and Methodology** Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project. Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 0-5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants) Total 0-100 List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring): POROSAL, LIMITED IN PIEL EXPERIENCE PRIME. SUBCONSULTANT (LAKDAS) POMPANO Signature of Evaluator

VEI	NDOR NAME: WGI		
	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score
1.	Experience and Expertise     Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-50	49
	• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.    F   F	Gr PIEA.	
2.	References  (MG(SVA) VIEW OF PARKUG GARAGE  History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.  References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-10	(10)
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology 5-5065 (4-PEs)</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	34
	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	0
	Total	0-100	93
List t	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
-	SERVED AS DESIGNER FOR PIEK.	SIGNIFICANT	EXPERIENT
	SUBCONSULTANT (LMB) USED ON PARKIN	JJ GAR	ASE
			<u> </u>
Min to the second control of the second cont			
Signa	ature of Evaluator Date Printer	<i>Ano Pou L</i> d Name	ο <b>S</b>

VENDOR NAME: AE Engineering Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score		
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	35		
2.	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	_7_		
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	_20_		
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	_2_		
	Total	0-100	64		
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
-	Of 3 proposal Submitted, this Can	ne un	3rd		
All	All three firms could perform the CFI Services				
	fell a little short when compared with				
Sign	ature of Byaluator Date Printed	GW TRA	tw		

VENDOR NAME: Calvin Giordano Assoc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	<u>Score</u>
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>	0-50	_40_
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		
2.	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	8
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	35
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	
	Total	0-100	84
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):		
	Second vanked frim with respect to	Jean	
	experience. Plenty of expertise for	-CFT	Services
	and top for Methodology but I	= felt -	he
	team make up is second only.	to WeiI	
Sigr	1 2/13/17 Date Printed	60 TRAN	

VENDOR NAME: Wantman GROMP THE.

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	Score		
1.	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-50	50		
2.	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	_10_		
3.	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-35	_30_		
4.	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	\$		
	Total	0-100	90		
List	the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):				
	Strongest team assembled to person	Job.	-		
	Second highest in Methodology but	Muty .	B		
	eliperience on team. Strangert affril	oute is 1	lie		
	Pier designen (Prideedesign) who design	red the	Pier		
	will certify the Pier.		V		
Sign	ature of Evaluator Date Date Printed	Name			