

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: _____

Catyan and Associates

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>25</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>7</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>26</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>6</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>82</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*Myanmar City Hall excellent project w/ plan and
photo, excellent professionals; good designs*

[Signature]

Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17

Date

Christopher J Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS


VENDOR NAME:

Gallo Herbert Architects

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>21</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>23</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total	0-100	<u>69</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

somewhat lacking in cityhalls but excellent
firm, particularly design



Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17

Date

Christopher Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: _____

Saltz Michelson Architects

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>15</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>12</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>6</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>15</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total	0-100	<u>49</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

little city hall listed but excellent experience

[Signature]

Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17

Date

CHRISTOPHER BROWN

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS


VENDOR NAME:

Song + Associates Inc

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>29</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>19</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>5</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>28</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total	0-100	<u>86</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

WFB City Hall, Coral Springs City Hall
Indian River Admin Complex, fire staff,
interior design, post construction,



Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17

Date

CHRISTOPHER J BROWN

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME:

Synalovskiy Romanuk Saye

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>29</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>19</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>0</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>27</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total	0-100	<u>81</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation, (justify the rating/scoring):

*Western City Hall, Landers Hill Munc. Complex
Pembroke Pines Civic Center
good submission,*

[Signature]

Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17

Date

CHRISTOPHER BROWN

Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: CARTAYA

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>28</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>28</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>6</u>
Total		0-100	<u>89</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY CAPABLE TEAM. LOTS OF EXPERIENCE CONDUCTING SIMILAR WORK.
STAFF ASSIGNED POSSESSES EXCELLENT CREDENTIALS AND BRINGS A
WEALTH OF EXPERIENCE TO THE TABLE. LOCAL PARTICIPATION IS
THE HIGHEST AMONG ALL PROPOSERS (38%). VERY DETAILED
TECHNICAL APPROACH.

	<u>2/8/17</u>	<u>HORACIO LAMOUICH</u>
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: Gallo Herbert

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>17</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>20</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>24</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total		0-100	<u>71</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

PROJECT PROVIDED AND DESCRIBED IN SUBMITTAL PACKAGE SHOWS FIRM
CAN DO THE WORK, BUT THERE WAS NOTHING RECENT; AND INDICATES
NOTHING AS SIGNIFICANT EXCEPT PEOPLE'S TRUST PROJECT.
STATE AND TEAM ASSIGNED POSSESS PROPER CREDENTIALS.
THOROUGH APPROACH TO DO THE WORK.

Signature of Evaluator 

2/8/17
Date

Horacio Durovich
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SALTZ MICHELSON

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>24</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>16</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>27</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total		0-100	<u>76</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EXCELLENT TECHNICAL APPROACH. VERY DETAILED AND CLEARLY PRESENTED.
INTERESTING PROJECT SAMPLES BUT NO DATA TO DESCRIBE COSTS,
YEAR COMPLETED, ETC. SOME PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN COMPANY.
STAFF ASSIGNED POSSESS GOOD CAPABILITIES.


Signature of Evaluator

2/8/17
Date

HONGIO DARRACH
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: JONG + Assoc.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>30</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>7</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>30</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total	0-100	<u>92</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

THE FIRM POSSESSES SUPERB EXPERIENCE DEALING WITH IDENTICAL PROJECTS
FOR PUBLIC SECTOR CLIENTS. TECHNICAL APPROACH IS EXCELLENT,
VERY DETAILED AND TO THE POINT. RECENT PROJECTS' SCOPE
HAVE EXACTLY THE TYPE OF ELEMENTS THE CITY WILL WANT TO
ADDRESS. STAFF ASSIGNED HAS EXCELLENT CREDENTIALS.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

2/8/17

HORACE DOMOVICH

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SYNALUSAR ROMANIK

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>30</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>20</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>30</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total	0-100	<u>88</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY WELL PREPARED TECHNICAL APPROACH. STAFF ASSIGNED
AND TEAM HAVE EXCELLENT CREDENTIALS AND EXPERIENCE IN
THEIR RESPECTIVE FIELDS. BACKLOG INCLUDED VERY INTERESTING
PROJECTS, SOME RECENTLY DONE AND OTHERS SOON TO BE
DONE. ALL VERY FRESH.

Signature of Evaluator [Signature] Date 2/8/17 Printed Name MARGO PANOVICH

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: CARTAYA & ASSOCIATES

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>30</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>19</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>28</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>6</u>
Total	0-100	<u>93</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

CARTAYA'S RESIDENT/SENIOR ARCHITECT ROGER
LEBIDA WILL BE AN ASSET TO HAVE ON
THIS PROJECT SHOULD CARTAYA BE
CHOSEN FOR THEIR SERVICES.


Signature of Evaluator

2-9-17
Date

CHRIS SCHLAGETER
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: GALLO HERBERT ARCHITECTS

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>10</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>12</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>8</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total	0-100	<u>41</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

I DON'T FEEL THAT GALLO HERBERT
IS EXPERIENCED ENOUGH ON INTERIOR
RENOVATIONS WITH THE LOGISTICS THAT
ARE SPECIFIC TO THE CITY HALL RENOVATIONS.

Chris Schuageter
Signature of Evaluator

2-9-17
Date

CHRIS SCHUAGETER
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SALTZ MICHELSON ARCHITECTS

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>15</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>14</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>12</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total	0-100	<u>52</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

I would like to have seen more firm
experience with municipal projects &
existing renovations, subconsultants
information could be elaborated.


Signature of Evaluator

2-9-17
Date

CHRIS SCHLAGETER
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SONG & ASSOCIATES INC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>20</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>14</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u> ^(CS)
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>14</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total	0-100	<u>62</u> ^(CS)

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

I LIKE THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE
A RESPECTFUL AMOUNT OF MUNICIPAL
EXPERIENCE. NOT A LOT OF INFORMATION
ON SUB CONSULTANTS.


Signature of Evaluator

2-9-17
Date

CHRIS SCHAEFER
Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SYNALOVSKI ROMANIKSAYE LLC

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>25</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>16</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>20</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total	0-100	<u>71</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

I LIKE THE FACT THAT THEY DISCUSSED
BIM CAPABILITIES.


Signature of Evaluator

2-9-17
Date

CHRIS SCHLAGETER
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: CARTAYA & ASSOC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: <div> a. Number of similar projects <u>38-yr exp.</u> b. Complexity of similar projects <u>Miramar City Hall Reno</u> c. References from past projects performed by the firm <u>- Ft Lauderdale City Hall EX. Space</u> d. Previous projects performed for the City <u>- PLANTATION EX SPACE</u> e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance <u>1</u> </div>	0-30	<u>26</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <div> a. Organizational chart for project <u>3- sub (2 in Rom).</u> b. Number of technical staff <u>3 assigned (BA, Arch & Master City Planning)</u> c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff <u>Roger Lebida 2010 IT security</u> (2) Education of staff <u>Programming, & conceptual design</u> (3) Experience of staff on similar projects <u>Joined Cartaya in 2015</u> </div>	0-20	<u>16</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <div> a. Location <u>FT LAUD.</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office </div>	0-10	<u>8</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: <div> a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control </div>	0-30	<u>26</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants) <div> <u>9-month 5 DES/PERM. & 12 months CONST. (Total 21)</u> </div>	0-10	<u>6</u>
Total	0-100	<u>87</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

38-yr exp., similar type RENO projects
(MIRAMAR CITY HALL, FT LAUD. CITY HALL, &
PLANTATION).

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

2/9/17
Date

JOHN STROPOPOULOS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: GALLO HERBERT

MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL (CONTENT, LAY-OUT, RESO)

	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>20</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>15</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>9</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>22</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total		0-100	<u>67</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL (CONTENT, LAY-OUT, & RESOLUTION), DIFFICULT TO READ, NOT ENOUGH EXPERIENCE IN RENOVATIONS OF EXISTING SPACES.

[Signature] 2/9/17 JOHN STANISLAWS
Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: JALTZ MICHAELSON

Criteria		Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>22</u>
	a. Number of similar projects <i>SEVERAL</i>		
	b. Complexity of similar projects <i>RENOVATIONS OF EX. SPACES.</i>		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm <i>- Variety of projects in Pomf. (R.C. CLIENT).</i>		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance <i>NONE</i>		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>16</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff <i>1- SUB</i>		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff: <i>3- ASSIGNED (2 BA./1 MA)</i>		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>8</u>
	a. Location <i>FT. LAUD.</i>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>23</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
	<i>8-months DESIGN/PERM. & 4-months CONST.</i>		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total		0-100	<u>70</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*Variety of projects in Pomfano
& R.C. AS THEIR CLIENT; SOME RENO OF
EXISTING SPACES.*

[Signature] *2/9/17*
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SINAPPOLOS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SONG & ASSOC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>27</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>17</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>6</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>25</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>5</u>
Total	0-100	<u>80</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

SIMILAR PROJECTS (JUPITER, P.B. GARDENS, ETC.),
5-Staff assigned, Interior Design EXPERIENCE,
"POST CONST & OCCUPANCY EVAL" 12 months later.

[Signature] 1/9/17
Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SAKOPOULOS
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RLI E-11-17 – PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR CITY HALL 2nd AND 4th FLOOR
RENOVATIONS

VENDOR NAME: SYNAROVSKI ROMANIK SAYE (SRS)

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:		0-30	<u>30</u>
a. Number of similar projects	<u>NUMEROUS RENO OF EX. SPACES</u>		
b. Complexity of similar projects	<u>SEE MUNI PROJECT LIST</u>		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm	<u>B.I.M. Interior Design</u>		
d. Previous projects performed for the City	<u>Charles Burrie</u>		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	<u>NONE</u>		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:		0-20	<u>20</u>
a. Organizational chart for project	<u>26-75 S</u>		
b. Number of technical staff	<u>3-sub S</u>		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:	<u>Assign 5 staff (2 DA./3 MA).</u>		
(1) Number of licensed staff			
(2) Education of staff	<u>THE LEAD MERRILL ROMANIK</u>		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects	<u>HAS EXTENSIVE CITY HALL (SEE LIST).</u>		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:		0-10	<u>8</u>
a. Location	<u>FE. LAND.</u>		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office			
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:		0-30	<u>30</u>
a. Level of effort	<u>Good Tech Approach</u>		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	<u>7.5 months Design/Permitting & 6 months const.</u>		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)		0-10	<u>0</u>
Total		0-100	<u>88</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

DEVELOP PLANS IN B.I.M., PRIOR EXPERIENCE WITH
CITY, THE LEAD P.M. HAS EXTENSIVE CITY HALL
RENO EXPERIENCE, EXTENSIVE MUNI PROJECT LIST,
IMPRESSIVE SUBMITTAL, & INTERIOR DESIGN EXPERIENCE

John S. Poulos 2/9/17 John S. Poulos
Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name