

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ARMSTRONG MARINE, INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li><li>• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li></ul>	0-20	<u>15</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li><li>• References and recommendations from previous clients.</li></ul>	0-20	<u>10</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li><li>• Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li><li>• Financial resources.</li></ul>	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li></ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>55</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Good submitted.  
Have never built this type of boat.  
Large manufacturer.

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

6/5/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: DOLL MARINE METAL FABRICATION

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>35</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

More built this boat.  
Basket is not removable.  
Just a sketch for the boat.

Hal Beards 6/5/17 HAL BEARDS  
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ELASTEC, INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>30</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>18</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>86</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Have built at least 4 of the boats in  
yes.

Referenced Austin TX, Christensen IL, Tongue  
Bay, as agencies that have purchased.

Hal Beard

Signature of Evaluator

6/5/17

Date

HAL BEARD

Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: WEEDOO GREENBOAT, INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li><li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li></ul>	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li><li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li></ul>	0-20	<u>10</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li><li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li><li>Financial resources.</li></ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li></ul>	0-30	<u>15</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>40</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Met the type of boat we are looking for  
Met the spec.

Hal Beard

Signature of Evaluator

6/5/17

Date

HAL BEARD

Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ARMSTRONG MARINE INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>18</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>10</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>48</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Never Built Specified Boat

Cost too High

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Forrest Hall  
Signature of Evaluator

6/5/17  
Date

Forrest HALL  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: DOLL MARINE METAL FABRICATION

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>0</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>0</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>0</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Never Built Boat

No References

RFP Requirements Are Optimal

Does Not meet RFP Specs

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

James Hall 6/5/17 FORREST HALL

Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ELASTIC INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>25</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>25</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>90</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Built Boat, used By 4 Citys  
Build time Line 65 Days  
meets RFP Specs

[Signature] 4/1/00 6/5/17 Forrest Hall  
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: WEEDOO GREEN BOAT INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>0</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>0</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>0</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

TO SMALL

Does Not meet Spec's

Fiberglass haul

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Tamir Haddad  
Signature of Evaluator

6/5/17  
Date

Forrest Hall  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ARMSTRONG MARINE

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>15</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>30</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>85</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- NEVER PRODUCED TYPE OF BOAT.
- USING MORE OF A HIGHER STRENGTH, BUT MORE BRITTLE STEEL.
- 120 DAYS TO MANUFACTURE.
- GOOD REPLY TO RFP

R. H.  
Signature of Evaluator

5 JUNE 2017  
Date

RUSSELL KETCHUM  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: DOLL MARINE METAL FABRICATION

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>5</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>25</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Did Not Provide Company History  
- EVERYTHING IS QUESTION  
- BASKET IS NON-REMOVABLE, ALL MATERIALS HAND REMOVED  
- ONLY A PHOTO, QUESTION IF EVER BUILT BOAT  
- NO REFERENCES  
- 150 DAYS TO PRODUCE

[Signature]  
Signature of Evaluator

5 June 2017  
Date

RUSSELL KETCHUM  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: ELASTEC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>30</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>30</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>100</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Boat in Production  
 - Very Large & Diverse Company  
 - Boat Being Use in Four Cities  
 (At Least)  
 - That Has Space?

  
 Signature of Evaluator

5 June 2017  
 Date

  
 Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-02-17 – CANAL CLEANING BOAT

VENDOR NAME: WEE DOO GREEN BOAT

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
	<b>Experience and Expertise</b>		
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>15</u>
	<b>References</b>		
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-20	<u>15</u>
	<b>Resources and Methodology</b>		
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>15</u>
	<b>Cost</b>		
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>5</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>50</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Too Small
- Not to RFP Specs.
- ~~Boat~~ Just Not Boat Needed for Operation

  
Signature of Evaluator

5 June 2017  
Date

  
Printed Name