City of Pompano Beach CDBG/HOME RFP Scoring Committee Member FY 2017-2018 Conflict of Interest Acknowledgement

The City of Pompano Beach is an entitlement community administered by the City's Office of Housing and Urban Improvement that administers HUD Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds. HUD regulations and the City of Pompano Beach do not allow persons who participate in the decision-making process or gain inside information regarding these programs to obtain a financial interest or benefit from them. Therefore, it is necessary for you to acknowledge the following:

Conflicts Prohibited. I will not obtain a financial interest or benefit from the City of Pompano Beach CDBG or HOME Programs in any contract, subcontract, or agreement with respect to the Programs, or with respect to any proceeds of the Programs, either for myself or those with who I have business or immediate family ties during my tenure on the City of Pompano Beach FY 16-17 RFP Scoring Committee or for one year thereafter.

Persons Covered. I understand that this conflict of interest restriction also applies to me as an employee, agent, consultant, officer, or elected official or appointed official of a City of Pompano Beach CDBG or HOME Program recipient, or of any designated public agencies, or of persons receiving such funds.

Exceptions. Upon the written request of the recipient of a prohibited financial interest or benefit as described above, HUD may grant an exception on a case-by-case basis when it has satisfactorily met the threshold requirements below, taking into account their cumulative effects. HUD will consider an exception only after the recipient has provided the following documentation:

- a) A disclosure of the nature of the conflict, accompanied by an assurance that has been public disclosure of the conflict and a description of how the public disclosure was made; and
- b) An opinion of the recipient's attorney that the interest for which the exception is sought would not violate State or local law.

In determining whether to grant a requested CDBG conflict exception, HUD will take into account the cumulative effect of the following factors, as applicable:

- a) Whether the exception would provide a significant cost benefit or an essential degree of expertise to the program or project that would otherwise not be available;
- b) Whether an opportunity was provided for open competitive bidding or negotiation;
- c) Whether the person affected is a member of a group or class of low- or moderate-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted activity, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;
- d) Whether the affected person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision-making process with respect to the specific assisted activity in question;
- e) Whether the interest or benefit was present before the affected person was in a position as described in the first paragraph of this acknowledgement.
- f) Whether undue hardship will result either to the recipient or the person affected when weighed against the public interest served by avoiding the prohibited conflict; and
- g) Any other relevant considerations.

Similar and additional factors apply in considering factors for exceptions in the case of HOME conflicts:

- (1) Whether the exception would provide a significant cost benefit or an essential degree of expertise to the program or project which would otherwise not be available;
- (2) Whether the person affected is a member of a group or class of low-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted activity, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;

- (3) Whether the affected person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision making process with respect to the specific assisted activity in question;
- (4) Whether the interest or benefit was present before the affected person was in a position as described in paragraph (c) of this section;
- (5) Whether undue hardship will result either to the participating jurisdiction or the person affected when weighed against the public interest served by avoiding the prohibited conflict.
- (6) Any other relevant considerations.
- (f) Owners and Developers. (1) No owner, developer or sponsor of a project assisted with HOME funds (or officer, employee, agent, elected or appointed official or consultant of the owner, developer or sponsor) whether private, for profit or non-profit (including a community housing development organization (CHDO) when acting as an owner, developer or sponsor) may occupy a HOME-assisted affordable housing unit in a project. This provision does not apply to an individual who receives HOME funds to acquire or rehabilitate his or her principal residence or to an employee or agent of the owner or developer of a rental housing project who occupies a housing unit as the project manager or maintenance worker.
- (2) Exceptions. Upon written request of a housing owner or developer, the participating jurisdiction (or State recipient, if authorized by the State participating jurisdiction) may grant an exception to the provisions of paragraph (f)(1) of this section on a case-by-case basis when it determines that the exception will serve to further the purposes of the HOME program and the effective and efficient administration of the owner's or developer's HOME-assisted project. In determining whether to grant a requested exception, the participating jurisdiction shall consider the following factors:
- (i) Whether the person receiving the benefit is a member of a group or class of low-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted housing, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;
- (ii) Whether the person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision making process with respect to the specific assisted housing in question;
- (iii) Whether the tenant protection requirements of § 92.253 are being observed;
- (iv) Whether the affirmative marketing requirements of § 92.351 are being observed and followed; and

(v) Any other factor relevant to the participating jurisdiction's determination, including the timing of the requested exception.

Acknowledged

Committee Member

Date

Witness

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name	of Organization: Broward Children's (enter
	nt of Funding Requested: \$\\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ \\\ \\ \\ \\ \\\ \\\\	e e
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 p	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
892	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% — 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% — 49% - 15 points 25% or less — 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
6	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum SP
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project? TOTA	
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
	(5)

Signature of Evaluator Date Sozanne R. Figs

Print Name

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name	of Organization: Center for Indepor	wood Livin
Amou	nt of Funding Requested:	
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 p	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
762	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	15
,207	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points	
	25% or less – 30 points Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
50	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30 Tollits Maximum
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points Bonus Points Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project? TOTAL Definits Maximum TOTAL TOTAL

Signature of Evaluator

4/10/17 Date

Suzanne K. te

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Na	me of Organization: COPB Senior Pr	opam
	nount of Funding Requested: \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	÷.
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	*
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of criteria:	110 points and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
/ attal	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
11,500 11,500	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
med heel	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30
6	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points

Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points

Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points

Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points

First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points

Bonus Points

Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?

TOTAL

TOTAL

Some for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)

Suzance R. Feyge

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Print Name

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: COPB - Youth Program		
	nt of Funding Requested:	0
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	,
	The City will score applications based on a weighted so criteria:	ale of 110 points and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
460	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	
City of	Pompano Beach-OHUI	2017-2018 CDBG RFP Ranking Shee

 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 	30 Summer Pros.)
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
	TOTAL 40 805F
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
	Scranne R. Fejer

Prior Spending Experience

20 Points Maximum

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name	of Organization: Embracing Team, I	-nc.
Amou	unt of Funding Requested: \$148,197	
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 p	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
#15 do	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	1 10 8
· concente	Percentage of hunder tunded with Curkia.	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
50	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points 	20 Points Maximum
 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	<u> 20</u>
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	10
TOTAL	60 70 st
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
*	
Showseth 4/19/19 Evzar	ine K. Feje
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	15

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: Learning for Soccess		
Amour	nt of Funding Requested:	ž.
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	*
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 po	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	_30
000	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
)	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	20
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

\$ 6000	 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum
	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
	ТОТА	L 185 100 SF
	List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
1		
	Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	une R. Fejes

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Nam	e of Organization: Loz Del Mundo	
	unt of Funding Requested: \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	¥.
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 1 criteria:	110 points and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
3055	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	_30
3446	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
250	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nk
9000 :750	Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	20 Points Maximum 84
	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
	TOTAL	45 400 110
	List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
1		

Date Sozanne R. Fejes
Print Name

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Amount of Funding Requested:

Did net minument

FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES

The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 points and the following criteria:

Local Support/Leveraging:

Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.

Percentage of budget funded with CDBG:

100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points

50% - 74% - 10 points

26% - 49% - 15 points

25% or less - 30 points

Quality/Cost Effectiveness

Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)

Experience/Community Support

Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.

30 Points Maximum

30 Points Maximum

20 Points Maximum

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

	 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points 	20 Points Maximum
	 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points 	
o oud	 Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points 	
xf	First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	
	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum 5
	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	_/o
	available to the community similar to this project?	18
	TOTA	L_\$ 0
	List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
Y		
>	Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	nne K. Fejes
6	Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name	of Organization: Russell Life Skills	Reading
	nt of Funding Requested: 828 850	
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 po	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
0	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u>30</u>
6	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
115	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	15

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

	Prior Spending Experience	20 Points Maximum
,	 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points 	~ 207
	 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points 	0
/	 Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points 	
0	 Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points 	
	 First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 per 	oints
	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	7.7.	15
	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs	_/_
	available to the community similar to this project?	and the same of th
		1 8
		TOTAL 85 /05 50
	List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
	0	
	X hot whole	WZanne K. Egs
_	CHOTHE 11.5 9/10/17 3	UZANNE N. LES
		7
	Signature of Evaluator Date Print N	lame
/	Signature of Evaluator Date Print N	lame

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name	of Organization: Second Chonce So.	ciety
	nt of Funding Requested:	
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 p	oints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
000	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	_30
18/1/29	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
15	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	26
	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	15

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

000	 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum
	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
	TOTA	L 85 95 8
	List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
,		
	Signature of Evaluator Date Sozi	mork. Fejes

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

	Name of Organization:	loner in Pis	fress
	Amount of Funding Requested:	\$ 30,000	afg a
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES		
	The City will score applications criteria:	based on a weighted scale of 1	10 points and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:		30 Points Maximum
20 600	Ability to leverage CDBG funds the matching funding sources from ot private funds or infusion of the orgown funds evidenced.	her agencies, grants,	30
30,000	Percentage of budget funded with 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% – 49% - 15 points	CDBG:	
	25% or less – 30 points		
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness		30 Points Maximum
	Design of the program provides mention benefit for clients to be served. Per that do not duplicate an existing such a general service program, transport and substance abuse services, en youth programs, the elderly, etc. (clientele benefit)	rogram or enhancements ervice/program/activity. entifiable increase esportation services, enployment training,	30
	Experience/Community Support	t	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if fur consider are staff capabilities, oth organizations years of experience management history and support community.	er available resources, e, leadership,	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

6528	 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	
102010	Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
V	Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	/0
	т	OTAL 160 11

List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)

Prior Spending Experience

Signature of Evaluator Date Sozannok. Fejes
Print Name

20 Points Maximum

20 8

- 110 SF

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name o	of Organization: Boys + Girls Club	
Amoun	t of Funding Requested: # 72 000	
	FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
	The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 poriteria:	pints and the following
	Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
	Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
7427	Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
	Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
Blog is service	Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	<u>30</u>
9-	Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
	Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
TOTA	96 968
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
0	

				CI	TY OF POME	ANO BEACH										
			APPLICA	TION SCORING	G SHEET - R	ANKING BY REVIEW CO	MMITTEE									
		Public Service Activities (Subj to 15% CAP)												Funding	Total	COMMENTS
App. No.	Ranking	Agency Project Name	Project Name	Amount Requested	Funded Last Year/ Amount	Total Prior Year Exp.	(1) Local Support Leveragin	(2) Quality /Cost Effectivene	(3) Experience/ Community Support		(5) Bonus Points	Total Points	Source Of Funds	Category	Units/People Served	
# W		Broward Childen's Center	Pompano Beach Champions Club	\$ 18,892.00	\$ 12,080.00	\$	15	30	20	20	10	95	CDBG	Public Service	Pressumed Benefit-14	
8		Center for Independent Living		\$ 17,762.00	\$.	\$	15	30	20	05	10	75	ODBG	Public Service	50	
3		City of Pompano Beach - Parks & Rec	Senior Program	\$ 109,600.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$13,405.00		30	20	20	10	80	CDBG	Public Service	81	\$60,480 Meals on Wheels & \$49,120 Fitness Classes & Trips
		City of Pompano Beach - Parks & Rec	Youth Program	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 19,392.00	Summer Program	0	30	20	20	10	80	CDBG	Public Service	500	Activities in 4 Cente
		Embracing Team, Inc	Hip Hop Culture with Theatrical Collaboration Project	\$ 148,197.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	10	15	15	20	10	70	CDBG	Public Service	50	
		Learning for Success, Inc.	Kids and Power of Work (KAPOW)	\$ 15,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00	30	20	20	20	10	100	CDBG	Public Service	390	
ξ.		Luz del Mundo - Light of the World Clinic, Inc	Light of the World Clinic	\$ 18,055.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$9,000.00	13/-14	30	20	20	10	110	CDBG	Public Service	150	
		Oalsis of Hope CDC, Inc.	Transitional Independent Living Life Skills Program for at Risk Youth	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	S me north speci							CDBG	Public Service	75	
8		Russell Life Skills & Reading	Pompano Beach Russell Reading Rooms	\$ 28,850.00	\$ 13,500.00	\$ 3,375.00	30	30	15	20	10	105	CDBG	Public Service	60	
0		Second Chance Society, Inc Women In Distress Broward	Hand Up Program	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	30	20	15	20	10	95	CDBG	Public Service	75	
1		County	Emergency Shelter and Supportive Services	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 10,500.00	\$ 6,528.00	30	30	20	20	10	110	AND THE STREET, AND AND A	Public Service	54	
		COPB Blanche Ely Scholarship PUBLIC FACITILITIES & IMPROVEMENTS	Blanche Ely Scholarship Program	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 22,000.00	\$ 22,000.00							CDBG	Public Service	6	
2		Boys & Girls Clubs of Broward County	Stephanis Boys & Girls Clubs Facilities	\$ 72,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	10	30	20	20	10	90	CDBG	Improvements	868	
		HOUSING ACTIVITIES		10 11 12 14												
		City of Pompano Beach	Housing Rehab	\$ 300,000.00		计算学性的对象							CDBG	Housing	10 Units	
			Emergency Repair Program	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 190,590.00	SIA SIA MENTAL TRA							CDBG	Housing		
		City of Pompano Beach CDBG ADMINISTRATION (20%	Section 108 Loan - Repayment	s -	s .	\$			186				CDBG	ED	Repayment	
ARA	COLUMN TO	CAP)	CDDC ADMINISTRATION (200/)	0. 450 454 55	£ 400 007 CC								0000		A design to the	表现是在1989年 世
+		City of Pompano Beach	CDBG ADMINISTRATION (20%) TOTAL FUND REQUESTED	\$ 158,151.20 \$ 461,356.00	\$ 183,297.00								CDBG	Adm	Administration	
+			2017-18 ALLOCATION													
+				\$ 158,151.20												
\forall			PUBLIC SERVICES (15%)													
\dashv			TOTAL FOR NON-PUBLIC SERVICES ACT.													
+			0.54 (200 0.7535 (0.75) 2.75 (0.75)	,					24 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12		-					

CHDO 15% SET A SIDE	AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$ 39,170.5	5 \$ -	s .			HOME			Available funds \$117,171 PY 2015 2016 & 2017
City of Pompano Beach	First Time Homebuyers	\$ 227,736.0					HOME	Homebuyer	5 Units	
City of Pompano Beach	HOME ADMINISTRATION (10%)	\$ 26,114.0	0 \$ 30,365.00				HOME	Adm		
	TOTAL REQUEST	\$ 293,020.5	5							
	2017-18 Allocation	\$ 261,137.0	0							
	CHDO 15%	\$ 39,170.5	5							
	ADM. 10%	\$ 26,113.7	0							
	EN TOTAL	\$ 227,736.0	0							

DATE: apr. 11, 2017