City of Pompano Beach CDBG/HOME RFP Scoring Committee Member FY 2017-2018 Conflict of Interest Acknowledgement

The City of Pompano Beach is an entitlement community administered by the City's Office of Housing and Urban Improvement that administers HUD Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds. HUD regulations and the City of Pompano Beach do not allow persons who participate in the decision-making process or gain inside information regarding these programs to obtain a financial interest or benefit from them. Therefore, it is necessary for you to acknowledge the following:

Conflicts Prohibited. I will not obtain a financial interest or benefit from the City of Pompano Beach CDBG or HOME Programs in any contract, subcontract, or agreement with respect to the Programs, or with respect to any proceeds of the Programs, either for myself or those with who I have business or immediate family ties during my tenure on the City of Pompano Beach FY 16-17 RFP Scoring Committee or for one year thereafter.

Persons Covered. I understand that this conflict of interest restriction also applies to me as an employee, agent, consultant, officer, or elected official or appointed official of a City of Pompano Beach CDBG or HOME Program recipient, or of any designated public agencies, or of persons receiving such funds.

Exceptions. Upon the written request of the recipient of a prohibited financial interest or benefit as described above, HUD may grant an exception on a case-by-case basis when it has satisfactorily met the threshold requirements below, taking into account their cumulative effects. HUD will consider an exception only after the recipient has provided the following documentation:

- a) A disclosure of the nature of the conflict, accompanied by an assurance that has been public disclosure of the conflict and a description of how the public disclosure was made; and
- b) An opinion of the recipient's attorney that the interest for which the exception is sought would not violate State or local law.

In determining whether to grant a requested CDBG conflict exception, HUD will take into account the cumulative effect of the following factors, as applicable:

- a) Whether the exception would provide a significant cost benefit or an essential degree of expertise to the program or project that would otherwise not be available;
- b) Whether an opportunity was provided for open competitive bidding or negotiation;
- c) Whether the person affected is a member of a group or class of low- or moderate-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted activity, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;
- d) Whether the affected person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision-making process with respect to the specific assisted activity in question;
- e) Whether the interest or benefit was present before the affected person was in a position as described in the first paragraph of this acknowledgement.
- f) Whether undue hardship will result either to the recipient or the person affected when weighed against the public interest served by avoiding the prohibited conflict; and
- g) Any other relevant considerations.

Similar and additional factors apply in considering factors for exceptions in the case of HOME conflicts:

- (1) Whether the exception would provide a significant cost benefit or an essential degree of expertise to the program or project which would otherwise not be available;
- (2) Whether the person affected is a member of a group or class of low-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted activity, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;

- (3) Whether the affected person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision making process with respect to the specific assisted activity in question;
- (4) Whether the interest or benefit was present before the affected person was in a position as described in paragraph (c) of this section;
- (5) Whether undue hardship will result either to the participating jurisdiction or the person affected when weighed against the public interest served by avoiding the prohibited conflict.
- (6) Any other relevant considerations.
- (f) Owners and Developers. (1) No owner, developer or sponsor of a project assisted with HOME funds (or officer, employee, agent, elected or appointed official or consultant of the owner, developer or sponsor) whether private, for profit or non-profit (including a community housing development organization (CHDO) when acting as an owner, developer or sponsor) may occupy a HOME-assisted affordable housing unit in a project. This provision does not apply to an individual who receives HOME funds to acquire or rehabilitate his or her principal residence or to an employee or agent of the owner or developer of a rental housing project who occupies a housing unit as the project manager or maintenance worker.
- (2) Exceptions. Upon written request of a housing owner or developer, the participating jurisdiction (or State recipient, if authorized by the State participating jurisdiction) may grant an exception to the provisions of paragraph (f)(1) of this section on a case-by-case basis when it determines that the exception will serve to further the purposes of the HOME program and the effective and efficient administration of the owner's or developer's HOME-assisted project. In determining whether to grant a requested exception, the participating jurisdiction shall consider the following factors:
- (i) Whether the person receiving the benefit is a member of a group or class of low-income persons intended to be the beneficiaries of the assisted housing, and the exception will permit such person to receive generally the same interests or benefits as are being made available or provided to the group or class;
- (ii) Whether the person has withdrawn from his or her functions or responsibilities, or the decision making process with respect to the specific assisted housing in question;
- (iii) Whether the tenant protection requirements of § 92.253 are being observed;
- (iv) Whether the affirmative marketing requirements of § 92.351 are being observed and followed; and
- (v) Any other factor relevant to the participating jurisdiction's determination, including the timing of the requested exception.

aren L. Santer Date 4/11/17

ART SALARIES LONSIDERED ADMIN COSTS 20% MAXIMUM

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: BROWARD CHILDREN'S	CENTER
Amount of Funding Requested:	\$8,000 ACTIVITIES & SUPPLIE
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	10,842 SALAKE 1
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of criteria:	110 points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u>15</u>
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	28
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	<u></u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience

- Expended 100% of funds awarded 20 points
- Expended 75% of funds awarded 15 points
- Expended funds in a timely manner 10 points
- Did not spend funds in time awarded 0 points
- First Time Applicant No project in last fiscal year 5 points

20 9

20 Points Maximum

Bonus Points

Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?

10 Points Maximum

тотаL <u>93</u>93

List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)

TNCREASE PROGRAM BY 4 VEEKS

NO MORE THAN 20% FOR ADMIN. COSTS (?)

OTHER SOURCE OF INCOME - 100% TNKIND

NO L.O. SUPPORT

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Print Name

(?)
PRIOR EXPEND?

RANKING / EVALUATION FORM

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: C ENTER	FOR	IND.	LIVING	BROWARD
Amount of Funding Requested:				
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES				***
The City will score applications based criteria:	l on a we	eighted sca	le of 110 point	s and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:			3	0 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other ago private funds or infusion of the organizat own funds evidenced.		rants,	_	15
Percentage of budget funded with CDBC 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% – 49% - 15 points 25% or less – 30 points	3:·			
Quality/Cost Effectiveness	* **	servep	3	0 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program that do not duplicate an existing service/Activities that provide a new or quantifiatin a general service program, transportational substance abuse services, employing youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/modelientele benefit)	m or enhar program/ ble increation servinent train	ncements activity. ase ces, ing,		<u> 30 7</u> 8
Experience/Community Support			2	0 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; a consider are staff capabilities, other avaiorganizations years of experience, leade management history and support letters community.	ilable reso ership,	ources,	_	<u>20</u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum 5
Bonus Points Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	10 Points Maximum
	тотаL 3 73
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	

Koren L. Santa 4/6/17
Signature of Evaluator Date

HAREN SANTEN
Print Name

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOIME PE	many
Name of Organization: <u>COPB</u> <u>SEA</u>	HOR
Amount of Funding Requested: #109,600	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of criteria:	110 points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness (05 5€RVED	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	<u> </u>
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to	<u>+5</u> 20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

community.

consider are staff capabilities, other available resources,

organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from

Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	<u> </u>
TOTAL	
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
	 -
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	REN SANTEN

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: <u>CDPB - Summe</u>	ER PROG
Name of Organization: $CDPB - SumB$ Amount of Funding Requested: $\$50,000$	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scal criteria:	e of 110 points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 15% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% – 49% - 15 points 25% or less – 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness 500 SERVEP	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience	20 Points Maximum
• Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points	20
 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points 	
Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points	
 First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	<i>K</i> -
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
available to the community sittliar to this project?	_
TOTA	. \$37.5
ТОТА	L
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	

1/	
Kney C. Sartis 4/6/17 KA	REN SANTEN
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	



Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organ	ization: <u>EMDRA</u> .	CING TEAM. IN	10.
Amount of Fund	ding Requested:	\$148,197	
	BG ACTIVITIES	-	
The City criteria:		ed on a weighted scale of 110 po	oints and the following
Local S	upport/Leveraging:		30 Points Maximum
matchin private l	o leverage CDBG funds throug g funding sources from other a junds or infusion of the organiz ds evidenced.	agencies, grants,	1()
100% - 1 7 5% - 9 50% - 7 26% - 4	age of budget funded with CDI 0 points 1 9% - 5 points 4% - 10 points 19% - 15 points less – 30 points	BG:	
Quality	/Cost Effectiveness	50 SERVEN	30 Points Maximum
benefit f that do r Activitie in a gen and sub youth pr	of the program provides maxing or clients to be served. Program of duplicate an existing service that provide a new or quantifieral service program, transports stance abuse services, employograms, the elderly, etc. (low/sepanse)	am or enhancements ce/program/activity. iable increase rtation services, yment training.	<u> 30 ·</u>
Experie	nce/Community Support		20 Points Maximum
conside organiza	o manage the project if funded r are staff capabilities, other avaitons years of experience, lea ment history and support lette hity.	vailable resources, dership,	_ \$ 20

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience	20 Points Maximum
Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points	7 ^
 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points 	\lambda O
 Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points 	
Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points Sign Time Amalianata Na amainst in last 5	
 First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 	points
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs	
available to the community similar to this project?	
	0-
	TOTAL SS
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
INKIND \$ 106,000	
F.R. 2,000	
COBG 148,197	
TaTA 111 (27(2)	
TOTAL 146,697(?)	
·	
•	
	1/
Janen L. Sarty 46/17	KAREN SANTEN
	t Name

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: LEARNIN	6 FOR	SULLE	SS KAPOW
Amount of Funding Requested:	, 000	Suece	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES			
The City will score applications based or criteria:	<u>a weighted s</u>	cale of 110 point	s and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:		3	0 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agenc private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.		_	30_
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% – 49% - 15 points 25% or less – 30 points			
Quality/Cost Effectiveness	90 SERVE	, 3	0 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or that do not duplicate an existing service/program activities that provide a new or quantifiable in a general service program, transportation and substance abuse services, employment youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or clientele benefit)	enhancements gram/activity. increase services, : training,	_	<u> 3028</u>
Experience/Community Support		2	0 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; area consider are staff capabilities, other available organizations years of experience, leadersh management history and support letters from community.	e resources, ip,	_	<u> 20</u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience	20 Points Maximum
 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	<u> </u>
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	5
ТОТА	103
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
	···········
Karen L. Santa 4/6/17 Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	REN SANTEN

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: LUZ DEL MUNDO Amount of Funding Requested: \$18,055	<u>-</u>
Amount of Funding Requested: #18,055	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of a criteria:	110 points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u> 30</u>
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	30 Points Maximum
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	15

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	16
TOTAL	#\$ 107
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
GOOD COLLABORATIONS	
NO SUPPORT LETTER	
GOOD GOALS + OUTCOMES	
Kae L. Sartis 4/6/17 KARE. Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	N SANTEN

7 SPENDING

RANKING / EVALUATION FORM

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Name of Organization: OASIS OF HOPE	
Amount of Funding Requested: #10,006 (\$15 K M	(NIMOM?)
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 po criteria:	ints and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	15
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% - 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less - 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness 170 SERVED	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

community.

Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to

organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from

consider are staff capabilities, other available resources,

 Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
ТОТА	L
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
GOOD OUTLOMES & EVALUA	TION
NO SUPPORT LETTERS	
DIBNT MEET MINIMUM X	REQUEST)
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	EN SANTEN

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: $RUSSEL\ LIFE\ SKILLS$ Amount of Funding Requested: $B28.850$	
Amount of Funding Requested: #28 850	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 criteria:	points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u> </u>
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% = 49% - 15 points 25% or less – 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness 15 SERVED	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	<u> 28</u> .
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	<u> </u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	
TOTAL	103
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	V
Kanan L. Santo 4/6/17 Signature of Evaluator Date HARE Print Name	N SANTEN

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization:	SELOND	CHANCE	SOCIETY
Amount of Funding Requested:	\$	15,000	SOCIETY
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES		,	
The City will score applications or the City will score applications.	cations based on a v	veighted scale of 110 po	pints and the following
Local Support/Leveragin	ng:		30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG in matching funding sources private funds or infusion own funds evidenced.	from other agencies,	grants,	_30_
Percentage of budget fund 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% – 49% - 15 points 25% of less – 30 points	ded with CDBG:		
Quality/Cost Effectivene	ess 75 sei	(VED)	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program probenefit for clients to be set that do not duplicate an exactivities that provide a nein a general service programd substance abuse service programs, the elder clientele benefit)	ovides maximum rved. Program or enh visting service/program ew or guantifiable incre am, transportation services, employment trai	ancements //activity ease vices, ning	_30_
Experience/Community	Support		20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the projection consider are staff capability organizations years of expension management history and scommunity.	ties, <u>other available re</u> perience, leadership,	sources,	<u> 20</u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Filor Spending Experience	ZU POINTS MAXIMUM
 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points 	\mathcal{I}
 Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points 	20
 Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points 	
Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points	
First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points	
- The time replicant The project in last listed year — 5 points	
Bonus Points	10 Points Maximum
	, , , ,
Uniqueness of project – are there other programs	16
available to the community similar to this project?	
	11
TO	TAL 195
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring)	
EVIDENCE OF INCREASED NE	EED
SUPPORT FROM OTHER SOURCE	SUPPORT
V 1 c 1 4/1 1	/
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	AREN SANTEN
Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	: :

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: 420MEN W DISTRES	2
Amount of Funding Requested: #30,000	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 110 p	oints and the following
<u>criteria:</u>	
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u> 30</u>
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% – 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points 26% - 49% - 15 points 25% or less – 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness 99, 200 (?)	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity. Activities that provide a new or quantifiable increase in a general service program, transportation services, and substance abuse services, employment training, youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited clientele benefit)	<u>28.</u>
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from community.	<u> 120</u>

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

Prior Spending Experience Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant. No project is less force force.	20 Points Maximum
 First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 po Bonus Points Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project? 	ints 10 Points Maximum 5
	TOTAL 73 107
List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring) AO LETTERS OF SO	PPORT (?)
Kanan L. Sate 4/7/17 k Signature of Evaluator Date Print N	AREN SANTEN

Office of Housing and Urban Improvement Request for Proposals for CDBG & HOME Funding

Name of Organization: BOYS & GIRL CLUBS	OF BROW GOUNT
Amount of Funding Requested:	
FOR CDBG ACTIVITIES	
The City will score applications based on a weighted scale of 1 criteria:	10 points and the following
Local Support/Leveraging:	30 Points Maximum
Ability to leverage CDBG funds through matching funding sources from other agencies, grants, private funds or infusion of the organizations own funds evidenced.	<u>10 · </u>
Percentage of budget funded with CDBG: 100% - 0 points 75% = 99% - 5 points 50% - 74% - 10 points	
50% - 74% - 10 points 74% 26% - 49% - 15 points	
25% or less – 30 points	
Quality/Cost Effectiveness & G&G SERVED	30 Points Maximum
Design of the program provides maximum	_ 30 28 .
benefit for clients to be served. Program or enhancements	
that do not duplicate an existing service/program/activity.	
Activities that <u>provide a new or quantifiable increase</u> in a general service program, transportation services,	
and substance abuse services, employment training,	
youth programs, the elderly, etc. (low/mod or limited	
clientele benefit)	
Experience/Community Support	20 Points Maximum
Ability to manage the project if funded; areas to consider are staff capabilities, other available resources, organizations years of experience, leadership, management history and support letters from	<u>1820</u>
community.	

City of Pompano Beach-OHUI

 Expended 100% of funds awarded – 20 points Expended 75% of funds awarded – 15 points Expended funds in a timely manner – 10 points Did not spend funds in time awarded – 0 points First Time Applicant – No project in last fiscal year – 5 points 	20 Points Maximum
Bonus Points Uniqueness of project – are there other programs available to the community similar to this project?	10 Points Maximum
TOT. List the reason for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring) NO LETTERS OF SUPPORT (?)	AL 78 83
Karen L. Santus 4/4/17 KAR Signature of Evaluator Date Print Name	REN SANTEN

T			Cl	TY OF POM	PANO BEACH										
		APPLICA'	TION SCORING	G SHEET - F	ANKING BY REVIEW C	OMMITTEE									
	Public Service Activities (Subj to 15% CAP)												Funding	Total	COMMENTS
App. No.	Agency Bright	Project Name	Amount Requested	Funded Last Year/ Amour	Total Prior Year Exp.	(1) Local Support Leveragin	(2) Quality /Cost Effectivene	(3) Experience/ Community Support		(5) Bonus Points	Total Points	Source Of Funds	Category	Units/People Served	
	Broward Childen's Center	Pompano Beach Champions Club	\$ 18,892.00	\$ 12,080.00	s .	15	28	20	20	10	93	CDBG	Public Service	Pressumed Benefit-14	
\perp	Center for Independent Living		\$ 17,762.00		\$	15	28		45	5	万英	CDBG	Public Service	50	
	City of Pompano Beach - Parks &	Senior Program	\$ 109,600.00		\$13,405.0	U	30	20	20	5	75	CDBG	Public Service	125	\$60,480 Meals on Wheels & \$49,120 Fitness Classes & Trips
	City of Pompano Beach - Parks & Rec	Youth Program	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 19,392.00	Summer Program	0	30	20	20	5	75	CDBG	Public Service	500	Activities in 4 Cente
	Embracing Team, Inc	Hip Hop Culture with Theatrical Collaboration Project	\$ 148,197.00	\$ 2,000.00			30	20	20	5	85	CDBG	Public Service	50	
	Learning for Success, Inc.	Kids and Power of Work (KAPOW)	\$ 15,000.00	\$6,000.0	0 \$6,000.0	30	28	20	20	5	103	CDBG	Public Service	390	
	Luz del Mundo - Light of the World Clinic, Inc	Light of the World Clinic	\$ 18,055.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$9,000.0	30	28	15	20	10	103	CDBG	Public Service	150	
	Oaisis of Hope CDC, Inc.	Transitional Independent Living Life Skills Program for at Risk Youth	. 40,000,00	\$ 2,000.0		_						CDBG	Public Service	75	
+	Russell Life Skills & Reading	Pompano Beach Russell Reading Rooms	\$ 10,000.00 \$ 28,850.00	\$ 13,500.00		30	28	20	20	5	103	CDBG	Public Service	60	
+	Second Chance Society, Inc	Hand Up Program		\$ 8,000.0	The second secon		30	20	20	10	110	CDBG	Public Service	75	
1	Women In Distress Broward County	Emergency Shelter and Supportive Services	\$ 15,000.00 \$ 30,000.00	\$ 10,500.0			28	20	20	5	103	CDBG	Public Service	54	
	COPB Blanche Ely Scholarship PUBLIC FACITILITIES & IMPROVEMENTS	Blanche Ely Scholarship Program	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 22,000.0								CDBG	Public Service	6	
2	Boys & Girls Clubs of Broward County HOUSING ACTIVITIES	Stephanis Boys & Girls Clubs Facilities	\$ 72,000.00	\$ 50,000.0	\$ 50,000.00	10	28	20	20	5	87	CDBG	Improvements	868	
	City of Pompano Beach	Housing Rehab	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 175,000 O				THE STATE OF	The second second	TO AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF	and the second	CDBG	Housing	10 Units	
+	City of Pompano Beach	Emergency Repair Program	\$ 200,000.00					1				CDBG	Housing	1.0.10.1323	
+	City of Pompano Beach	Section 108 Loan - Repayment	\$ -	\$	\$							CDBG	ED		
	CDBG ADMINISTRATION (20%	100													
	City of Pompano Beach	CDBG ADMINISTRATION (20%)	\$ 158,151.20	\$ 183,297.0	PORTE DESCRIPTION							CDBG	Adm	Administration	
1		TOTAL FUND REQUESTED	\$ 461,356.00	by distant											
8 8		2017-18 ALLOCATION	\$ 790,756.00												
100		ADM 20%	\$ 158,151.20												
1		PUBLIC SERVICES (15%)													
1		TOTAL FOR NON-PUBLIC SERVICES ACT.	\$ 513,991.40								17.50		41		

ı	CHDO 15% SET A SIDE	AFFORDABLE HOUSING	\$ 39,17	0.55	\$.	s				HOME			Available funds \$117,171 PY 2015; 2016 & 2017
2	City of Pompano Beach	First Time Homebuyers	\$ 227,73	6.00	\$ -					HOME	Homebuyer	5 Units	
	City of Pompano Beach	HOME ADMINISTRATION (10%)	\$ 26,11	4.00	\$ 30,365.00	la filling				HOME	Adm		
		TOTAL REQUEST	\$ 293,02	0.55					Maria A				
		2017-18 Allocation	\$ 261,13	7.00									
		CHDO 15%	\$ 39,17	0.55						.i.			
		ADM. 10%	\$ 26,11	3.70									
		EN TOTAL	\$ 227,73	6.00									

RFP EVALUATOR SIGNATURE:

Kaier Santes

DATE:

4/11/17