

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: CAVINO GIORIDANO + ASSC.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>10</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>9</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>8</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>15</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u> 44
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>44</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Lacking in underground work.

---



---



---



---



---



---



---

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: FRIDMAN ANTHONY

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>6</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>15</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>48</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Lacking underground experience

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: FERREIRA POWER GROUP

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>9</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>7</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>5</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>10</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>33</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Poor proposal. Lacking detail and  
experience

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: HAKS ENGINEERS

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>12</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>7</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>10</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>41</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Lacking underground experience

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: KEITH AND SCHWARTZ

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>20</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>12</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>22</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>64</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Experienced - proposal is good  
would be a good choice

Signature of Evaluator Hal Beard Date 11/28/17 Printed Name HAL BEARD

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Kimley-Horn and Assoc.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>28</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>28</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>84</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Great presentation, experience. Would be a  
good choice - First selection.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hal Beard  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

HAL BEARD  
Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: TEAMWORK NET, INC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>15</u>
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
d. Previous projects performed for the City		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>8</u>
a. Organizational chart for project		
b. Number of technical staff		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>5</u>
a. Location		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>15</u>
a. Level of effort		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>

**Total** 0-100 43

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Not much information on underground work.  
Proposal was limited.

Hal Beard  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

HAL BEARD  
 Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: CALVIN, GIORDANO & ASSOCIATES

## Criteria

**Point  
Range** **Score**

- |   |             |                  |
|---|-------------|------------------|
| <p><b>1</b> Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Number of similar projects</li> <li>b. Complexity of similar projects</li> <li>c. References from past projects performed by the firm</li> <li>d. Previous projects performed for the City</li> <li>e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance</li> </ul> | <p>0-30</p> | <p><u>24</u></p> |
| <p><b>2</b> Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Organizational chart for project</li> <li>b. Number of technical staff</li> <li>c. Qualifications of technical staff: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Number of licensed staff</li> <li>(2) Education of staff</li> <li>(3) Experience of staff on similar projects</li> </ul> </li> </ul>      | <p>0-20</p> | <p><u>18</u></p> |
| <p><b>3</b> Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Location</li> <li>b. Number of staff at the nearest office</li> </ul>  | <p>0-10</p> | <p><u>10</u></p> |
| <p><b>4</b> Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Level of effort</li> <li>b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control</li> </ul>  | <p>0-30</p> | <p><u>27</u></p> |
| <p><b>5</b> Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)</p>  | <p>0-10</p> | <p><u>2</u></p>  |

## Total

0-100 81

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

PROPOSER ASSEMBLED A WELL-TEASED TEAM AND PUT TOGETHER  
A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO MANAGE PROJECTS EFFICIENTLY.  
STAFF HAS GOOD CREDENTIALS AND GENERALLY BRINGING GOOD  
EXPERIENCE WITH UTILITIES. HOWEVER, THIS SOLICITATION IS MORE  
DEMANDING AND MOST OF THE WORK SEEMED TO FOCUS ON  
WATER, SEWER, DRAINAGE, ROADWAYS MORE SO THAN UTILITIES  
CONVERSION.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

11/28/17

Hensley Dancovich

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: EDMAN ANTHONY

Criteria	Point Range	Score
<b>1</b> Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Number of similar projects</li> <li>b. Complexity of similar projects</li> <li>c. References from past projects performed by the firm</li> <li>d. Previous projects performed for the City</li> <li>e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>25</u>
<b>2</b> Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Organizational chart for project</li> <li>b. Number of technical staff</li> <li>c. Qualifications of technical staff:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Number of licensed staff</li> <li>(2) Education of staff</li> <li>(3) Experience of staff on similar projects</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0-20	<u>17</u>
<b>3</b> Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Location</li> <li>b. Number of staff at the nearest office</li> </ul>	0-10	<u>8</u>
<b>4</b> Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Level of effort</li> <li>b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>22</u>
<b>5</b> Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>74</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

The firm's subs have good experience, particularly OLT/Hunt & Hunt, having done similar work along Howard's early corridor. Team is well organized and some members are familiar with Howard's work. Technical approach touched on all phases but lacked details. Schedules are not very realistic. Recent FPL/AT&T/Comcast Orders have taken over 18 months to be completed.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

1/18/17 Homero Gonzalez



# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: FERREIRA POWER GROUP

Criteria	Point Range	Score
<b>1</b> Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Number of similar projects</li> <li>b. Complexity of similar projects</li> <li>c. References from past projects performed by the firm</li> <li>d. Previous projects performed for the City</li> <li>e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>18</u>
<b>2</b> Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Organizational chart for project</li> <li>b. Number of technical staff</li> <li>c. Qualifications of technical staff:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Number of licensed staff</li> <li>(2) Education of staff</li> <li>(3) Experience of staff on similar projects</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0-20	<u>17</u>
<b>3</b> Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Location</li> <li>b. Number of staff at the nearest office</li> </ul>	0-10	<u>8</u>
<b>4</b> Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Level of effort</li> <li>b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>10</u>
<b>5</b> Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>55</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

STAFF ASSIGNED TO MANAGE/DESIGN APPEARS TO HAVE GREAT CREDENTIALS, BUT THE SUBMITTAL LACKED SUFFICIENT DETAILS - THE TECHNICAL APPROACH WAS VERY LACKING AND DIDN'T PROVIDE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO DEMONSTRATE PROJECT MANAGEMENT SKILLS.

  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Hernando J. Jaramila  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: HKS ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS & LAND SURVEYORS

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>17</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>28</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>70</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EXCELLENT TECHNICAL APPROACH. VERY DETAILED. INCLUDED  
ATTENTION TO ITEMS ABOVE AND BEYOND SCOPE. NO BASE  
SCHEDULE BUT FIRM STATED IT CAN "MEET THE NEEDS OF  
ANY SCHEDULE." PROJECT EXPERIENCE REFLECTED MASTER  
PLANNING SKILLS AND COMMUNICATION WITH LITTLE OR NO  
ELECTRIC OVERHEAD CONVERSION WORK.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: KEITH A SCHWARTZ

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>27</u>
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
d. Previous projects performed for the City		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>18</u>
a. Organizational chart for project		
b. Number of technical staff		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>10</u>
a. Location		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>30</u>
a. Level of effort		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>87</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GOOD TEAM AND GOOD EXPERIENCE ON SIMILAR PROJECTS.  
STAFF ASSIGNED APPEARS TO HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE  
WITH PROJECT OF SIMILAR SIZE AND SCOPE. TECHNICAL  
APPROACH INCLUDED EXCELLENT DETAILS AND CLEAR  
UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT'S EXPECTED FOR THIS ASSIGNMENT.

  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

KRISTO DIMITROVICH  
 Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Kimley-Horn & Associates

## Criteria

Point  
Range

Score

1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:

0-30

30

- a. Number of similar projects
- b. Complexity of similar projects
- c. References from past projects performed by the firm
- d. Previous projects performed for the City
- e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance

2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:

0-20

20

- a. Organizational chart for project
- b. Number of technical staff
- c. Qualifications of technical staff:
  - (1) Number of licensed staff
  - (2) Education of staff
  - (3) Experience of staff on similar projects

3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:

0-10

9

- a. Location
- b. Number of staff at the nearest office

4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:

0-30

30

- a. Level of effort
- b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control

5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)

0-10

2

## Total

0-100

91

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Excellent technical approach presented by local  
representation and great knowledge of the City, very  
detailed and well thought out. Realistic timeline  
for last phase of a typical project (8 months  
including time to energize). Excellent team with  
firm experience with similar projects of similar  
complexity (Palm Beach, Moultrie, Miami, etc).

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

*[Signature]*

11/28/17

Horacio Davonchi



# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: TEAMWORKNET, INC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
<b>1</b> Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Number of similar projects</li> <li>b. Complexity of similar projects</li> <li>c. References from past projects performed by the firm</li> <li>d. Previous projects performed for the City</li> <li>e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>26</u>
<b>2</b> Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Organizational chart for project</li> <li>b. Number of technical staff</li> <li>c. Qualifications of technical staff:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Number of licensed staff</li> <li>(2) Education of staff</li> <li>(3) Experience of staff on similar projects</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0-20	<u>20</u>
<b>3</b> Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Location</li> <li>b. Number of staff at the nearest office</li> </ul>	0-10	<u>6</u>
<b>4</b> Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Level of effort</li> <li>b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control</li> </ul>	0-30	<u>19</u>
<b>5</b> Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
<b>Total</b>	0-100	<u>71</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

TECHNICAL APPROACH OK, BUT LACKING DETAILS. TEAM HAS VERY GOOD PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL CREDENTIALS. FIRM/TEAM HAS VERY GOOD EXPERIENCE WITH PROJECTS OF SIMILAR NATURE. NOT MUCH LOCAL, THOUGH. TEAM BASED OUT OF LAKEVIEW HAS PRESENCE IN NORTH D. FLORIDA.

  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Hemmo Javouch  
Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: CLGA

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm ✓ d. Previous projects performed for the City + e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>22</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ✓ b. Number of technical staff ✓ c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff 11PE+ (2) Education of staff ✓+ (3) Experience of staff on similar projects ?	0-20	<u>15</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location + b. Number of staff at the nearest office +	0-10	<u>8</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort ✓ b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control ✓	0-30	<u>22</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	0-100	<u>69</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Undergrounding EXP is limited

- Surfside was water as well  
focus on Roadways & drainage  
- Standard approach

[Signature]  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

Brian Donovan  
 Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Edman A.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>25</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects <u>+</u>		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City <u>✓</u>		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance <u>+</u>		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>15</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project <u>✓</u>		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff <u>(7)</u>		
	(2) Education of staff <u>✓</u>		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects <u>✓</u>		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>8</u>
	a. Location <u>✓</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>✓+</u>		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>24</u>
	a. Level of effort <u>✓</u>		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control <u>✓</u>		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>74</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Turtle lighting
- Sub has a lot of Exp.
- Tech. approach needs more explanation
- NY/NJ work

  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Brian Donovan  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Ferreira Power

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>18</u>
	a. Number of similar projects ?		
	b. Complexity of similar projects ?		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm —		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City 0		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance ?		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>10</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project —		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>4</u>
	a. Location —		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office ✓		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>16</u>
	a. Level of effort —		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control —		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>50</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Very limited info  
- Experience ?  
- More info needed

[Signature]  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/25/17  
 Date

Brian Donovan  
 Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Hays

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>19</u>
a. Number of similar projects - ✓		
b. Complexity of similar projects ✓		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm ✓		
d. Previous projects performed for the City ?		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance +		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>10</u>
a. Organizational chart for project ✓		
b. Number of technical staff ✓		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff 3		
(2) Education of staff ✓		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects -		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>4</u>
a. Location ✓		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office ?		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>17</u>
a. Level of effort ✓		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control ✓		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u><b>52</b></u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Number of staff at nearest office?  
- did not see undergrounding projects

  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/25/17  
 Date

Brian Donovan  
 Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: K+S

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm ✓ d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance ✓	0-30	<u>29</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ? b. Number of technical staff ✓ 4 c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff 13 (2) Education of staff ✓ (3) Experience of staff on similar projects ✓	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location + b. Number of staff at the nearest office ✓ 4	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort ✓ b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control ✓	0-30	<u>27</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>85</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

- Very good description
- understand the process
- lots of relevant exp.

  
Signature of Evaluator

11/25/17  
Date

Brian Donovan  
Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: K.H.

Criteria		Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>30</u>
	a. Number of similar projects <u>5</u>		
	b. Complexity of similar projects <u>✓</u>		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm <u>✓</u>		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City <u>✓</u>		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: <u>19</u>	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project <u>✓</u>		
	b. Number of technical staff <u>✓</u>		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff <u>16</u>		
	(2) Education of staff <u>+</u>		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>9</u>
	a. Location <u>✓</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>?</u>		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>28</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control <u>✓</u>		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total		0-100	<u>89</u>
List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):			

MOT

Firm & sub have lots of Exp.

\*Subs have Exp

- Proposal stood out

- Exp w/ FPL

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

11/28/17

Brian Donovan



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Teamwork

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects <u>0</u> b. Complexity of similar projects <u>✓</u> c. References from past projects performed by the firm <u>✓</u> d. Previous projects performed for the City <u>0</u> e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance <u>0 +</u>	0-30	<u>8</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project <u>✓</u> b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff <u>3</u> (2) Education of staff <u>✓</u> (3) Experience of staff on similar projects <u>✓</u>	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>—</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>✓</u>	0-10	<u>3</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort <u>—</u> b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control <u>—</u>	0-30	<u>15</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
<b>Total</b>		<b>0-100</b>	<b><u>46</u></b>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Could not see similar projects  
Tech staff less than others  
0 for minority business

[Signature]  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

Brian Donovan  
 Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Calvin Giordano & Assoc

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>15</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance ✓		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>15</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project ✓		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>8</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>Ft Lauderdale</u> <u>1800 Ellen Ave</u>		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>20</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total <u>82 page</u>		0-100	<u>60</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Utility Rehab Project - Sunside (water)  
- NO U/G Conversion Projects?

Works in Pompano primarily design

NO subs identified for expected specific components

Robertson  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Rob McCaughan  
Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Edman Anthony

Criteria

Point  
Range

Score

1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:

0-30

20

- a. Number of similar projects
- b. Complexity of similar projects
- c. References from past projects performed by the firm
- d. Previous projects performed for the City
- e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance

2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:

0-20

20

- a. Organizational chart for project ✓
- b. Number of technical staff
- c. Qualifications of technical staff:
  - (1) Number of licensed staff
  - (2) Education of staff
  - (3) Experience of staff on similar projects

3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:

0-10

6

- a. Location
- b. Number of staff at the nearest office

*West Palm Beach  
5405 Okeechobee Blvd*

4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:

0-30

27

- a. Level of effort
- b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control

5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)

0-10

2

Total

*70 page*

0-100

75

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

*6 subs identified for components*

*Tech approach / schedule customized to utility underground project.  
The EA and subs provided good list of projects w/ relevant U/G  
experience*

*Robert McCaughan*

Signature of Evaluator

*11/28/17*

Date

Rob McCaughan

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Ferreira Power Group

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>5</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project <u>✓ marginal</u> b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <u>Palm Beach Gardens</u> a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>6</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort <u>Marginal</u> b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>10</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total <u>21 Page</u>		0-100	<u>33</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Marginal Tech Approach - 1 paragraph  
Good Experience with FPL but appears limited to hardening projects  
for overhead lines and ~~not~~ converting to underground  
would not Recommend using this firm for conversion projects

Robert McCaughan  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

Rob McCaughan  
 Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Habs Engineers, Architects & Land Surveyors

## Criteria

	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>15</u>
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
d. Previous projects performed for the City		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>15</u>
a. Organizational chart for project		
b. Number of technical staff		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <u>Miami Lakes 14400</u>	0-10	<u>6</u>
a. Location		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>20</u>
a. Level of effort		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b> <u>85 page</u>	0-100	<u>58</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

identified 3 subcontractors for component work  
good Technical approach  
Did not see any project expense overhead to U/G Conversion

Robert McCaughan  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

Rob McCaughan  
 Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Keith and Schnauz

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	a. Number of similar projects	0-30	<u>28</u>
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance ✓		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	a. Organizational chart for project ✓	0-20	<u>20</u>
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
	a. Location <u>Ft Lauderdale,</u>	0-10	<u>8</u>
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>6500 N Andrews</u>		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	a. Level of effort	0-30	<u>30</u>
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)		0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b> <u>95 page</u>		<b>0-100</b>	<u>88</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Team up w/ E.C. Tennel PA (ECF)  
\*Member Published OH to UG Conversion published by FPL  
Tech approach good - customized for OH/UG Conversion projects  
Schedule identified Key tasks necessary for these projects  
Between their own experience and Sub (ECF) experience present  
good list of similar projects

Robert McCaughan  
 Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
 Date

Rob McCaughan  
 Printed Name



## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Kimley Horn and Associates Inc.

CriteriaPoint  
RangeScore

- |                              |  |              |           |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|
| 1                            | Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:   | 0-30         | <u>30</u> |
|                              | a. Number of similar projects  |              |           |
|                              | b. Complexity of similar projects  |              |           |
|                              | c. References from past projects performed by the firm   |              |           |
|                              | d. Previous projects performed for the City  |              |           |
|                              | e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance ✓  |              |           |
| 2                            | Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:   | 0-20         | <u>20</u> |
|                              | a. Organizational chart for project ✓  |              |           |
|                              | b. Number of technical staff ✓   |              |           |
|                              | c. Qualifications of technical staff:  |              |           |
|                              | (1) Number of licensed staff   |              |           |
|                              | (2) Education of staff   |              |           |
|                              | (3) Experience of staff on similar projects  |              |           |
| 3                            | Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:   | 0-10         | <u>8</u>  |
|                              | a. Location  |              |           |
|                              | b. Number of staff at the nearest office   |              |           |
| 4                            | Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:  | 0-30         | <u>30</u> |
|                              | a. Level of effort   |              |           |
|                              | b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control                                   |              |           |
| 5                            | Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants) | 0-10         | <u>2</u>  |
| <b>Total</b> <u>109 page</u> |  | <b>0-100</b> | <u>90</u> |

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Using 2 sub-contractor for components "Darry Branner"  
Good Tech approach with aspects geared to OH/UG conversion  
provided list of pertinent projects but may be limited to  
design services

Robert McCaughan  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Rob McCaughan  
Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: Teamwork Net Inc.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>5</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project ✓ b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: <u>Port St Lucie FL</u> a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>4</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>10</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total <u>33 page</u>		0-100	<u>29</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

limited Tech approach - Not customized to OH/UG conversions  
NO similar OH/UG project experience provided  
No list of subcontractors to accomplish component work provided  
Do not recommend this firm to accomplish the OH/UG conversion  
project management for city

Rob McCaughan  
Signature of Evaluator

11/28/17  
Date

Rob McCaughan  
Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: CALVIN, GIORDANO & ASSOC.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>22</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>14</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>FT. LAUD</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>22</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		0-100	<u>69</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NO RELEVANT / SIMILAR PROJECTS LISTED.  
TOWN OF SURFSIDE PROJECT LISTED ONLY INCLUDED  
MASTER PLANNING.

 11/28/17 JOHN SFIROPOULOS  
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: ERDMAN ANTHONY

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-30	<u>24</u>
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>14</u>
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>W.P.B.</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-30	<u>24</u>
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	0-100	<u>72</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GOOD SUBMITAL OVERALL. SOME RELEVANT  
EXPERIENCE, PRIMARILY FROM SUBCONSULTANT  
"MEAD & HUNT"

[Signature] 11/28/17 JOAN SFIROPOULOS  
Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA


RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: FERREIRA POWER GROUP

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>15</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>10</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff	0-10	<u>7</u>
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>7</u>
	a. Location <u>Palm Beach Gardens</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>15</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total		0-100	<u>49</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY WEAK SUBMITTAL, LIMITED  
EXPERIENCE FOR SIMILAR PROJECTS LISTED,  
ALL EMPLOYEES JUST STARTED WITH COMPANY  
IN MAY 2017.



11/28/17

JOHN SFIROPOULOS

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: HAKS ENGINEERS

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>14</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>9</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>7</u>
	a. Location <u>MIAMI</u>		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>14</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>		0-100	<u>46</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NO RELEVANT/SIMILAR PROJECTS LISTED.

MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL. GENERIC TECHNICAL APPROACH.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

11/28/17

JOHN SFIROPOULOS



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: KEITA & SCHWAB

## Criteria

Point  
Range

Score

1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:

0-30

28

- a. Number of similar projects
- b. Complexity of similar projects
- c. References from past projects performed by the firm
- d. Previous projects performed for the City
- e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance

2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:

0-20

18

- a. Organizational chart for project
- b. Number of technical staff
- c. Qualifications of technical staff:
  - (1) Number of licensed staff
  - (2) Education of staff
  - (3) Experience of staff on similar projects

3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:

0-10

9

- a. Location FT. LAUD.
- b. Number of staff at the nearest office

4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:

0-30

28

- a. Level of effort
- b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control

5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)

0-10

2


**Total**

0-100

85

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EXCELLENT SUBMITTAL, EXTENSIVE UNDERGROUNDING  
EXPERIENCE AND STAFF HAVE REQUIRED CREDENTIALS  
AND EXPERIENCE. SEVERAL SIMILAR TYPE PROJECTS  
LISTED. VERY FAMILIAR WITH UNDERGROUNDING  
PROCESS.



11/28/17  
Date

JOHN S. MOPOPOUS  
Printed Name

## EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: KIMLEY-HORN

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>29</u>
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
d. Previous projects performed for the City		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>19</u>
a. Organizational chart for project		
b. Number of technical staff		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>9</u>
a. Location <u>Delray <del>Beach</del></u>		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>29</u>
a. Level of effort		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>2</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>88</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EXCELLENT SUBMITTAL. EXTENSIVE  
UNDERGROUNDING EXPERIENCE AND STAFF  
HAVE REQUIRED CREDENTIALS AND EXPERIENCE.  
SEVERAL SIMILAR TYPE PROJECTS LISTED &  
CITY HAVE WORKED WITH KIMLEY-HORN & THEIR  
SUBS IN THE PAST.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name



# EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-01-18 – Continuing Contract for Engineering and Project Management Consultant, Overhead Utilities Conversion to Underground

VENDOR NAME: TEAMWORK not INC.

Criteria	Point Range	Score
1 Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-30	<u>14</u>
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
d. Previous projects performed for the City		
e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>9</u>
a. Organizational chart for project		
b. Number of technical staff		
c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
(1) Number of licensed staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3 Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-10	<u>7</u>
a. Location <u>PORT ST. LUCIE</u>		
b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4 Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-30	<u>14</u>
a. Level of effort		
b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5 Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-10	<u>0</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>0-100</b>	<u>44</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NO RELEVANT / SIMILAR PROJECTS LISTED.  
MEDIOCRE SUBMITTAL. GENERIC TECHNICAL  
APPROACH

[Signature] 11/28/17  
 Signature of Evaluator Date

JOHN SPINAKOLOS  
 Printed Name