

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Florida Blue (BCBS)

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>10</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>14</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>5</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>98</u>

- current provider

- excellent customer service for City.

- monthly on-site customer ser. rep.

- wellness funding of \$100,000

- reduced premium for City.

comply with ACA and Health care reform.

E. Beecher

Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18

Date

Eddie C. Beecher

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Deer Oak (EAP)

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>5</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>5</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>10</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>8</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>2</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>50</u>

- Concern for telephonic consults.
only vs current personal
consults -

- not acquainted with Florida Blue /
BCBS as a provider.

E Beecher

Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18

Date

Eddie C. Beecher

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Florida Inc

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>10</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>10 15</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>5</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>99</u>

Current provider - saving of over 3% from
current plan to new proposal.



Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18

Date

Allison Feurtado

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Deer Oaks EAP Services LLC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>7</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>15 5</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>0</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>8</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>0</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>45</u>

Not compatible with our
current medical providers - only providing
EAP services not medical or dental
services



8/1/18

Allison Faurtado

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Florida Blue - BCB

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>10</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>15</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>13</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>14</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>5</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>96</u>

Current provider proposal with same
level of benefits with a 3% rate reduction.

C. Lawrence

Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18

Date

Cindy Lawrence

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Deer Oaks

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>5</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>7</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>9</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>9</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>5</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>4</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>0</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>39</u>

Counseling services are not strictly one-on-one
and in person. Deer Oaks is not a provider under
the current health care provider.

C. Lawrence

Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18

Date

Cindy Lawrence

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Blue Cross Blue Shield

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>10</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>15</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>8</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>5</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>7</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>92</u>

City's incumbent carrier

good proposal

3% Reduction w/ same benefits currently provided

Robert McCaughan
Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18
Date

Robert McCaughan
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP E-37-18 – Group Health Benefits Coverage

VENDOR NAME: Deer Oaks EAP Services, LLC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience / listed reference level of satisfaction	0-10	<u>8</u>
2	Benefits equal to or better than current plan	0-15	<u>0</u>
3	Provider network	0-15	<u>10</u>
4	Qualifications of personnel	0-15	<u>15</u>
5	Availability of personnel	0-15	<u>10</u>
6	System for paying claims	0-10	<u>5</u>
7	Referral system	0-5	<u>5</u>
8	Premium cost PPO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
9	Premium cost HMO (Employee / Dependent combined)	0-7.5	<u>0</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>53</u>

Limited Response to EAP only. I would not give this proposal consideration to be city's carrier since it prefers to only provide EAP service

EAP ONLY

not option as carrier

Robert McCaughan
Signature of Evaluator

8/1/18
Date

Robert McCaughan
Printed Name