RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater - Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VEN	NDOR NAME: American		
	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	25
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subjections of the subjection of the s	ect	
	<ul><li>area of personnel assigned.</li><li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other</li></ul>	\r	
	technical or legal issues related to the project.	;1	
2	References	0-10	5
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar project</li> </ul>	S.	
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
2	December and Made deleme	0-20	15
3	<ul><li>Resources and Methodology</li><li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the proje</li></ul>		
	<ul> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided</li> </ul>		
	and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.	<del></del>	
	Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-35	30
•	<ul> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost</li> </ul>		
	breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	75
	Total	0-100	
Add	litional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combin	ned scoring tot	als of each company.
	MMENTS		
-	Istal as Prime but no experience		
-	cost was good		
_	no ald-altroste!		
	bulged Dr prime was vegue		-
n		M. VA	Bendren
	1-51-17 Y	1914	- John Weren
Sig	nature of Evaluator Date	Printed Nam	ne
~	austions on financhials - FS Date		

EVALUATION CRITERIA RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage				
	$\Omega \subset C_{0}$	sating Covi	erage	
VEN	DOR NAME: 1915 //47			
	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range		
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	33	
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>			
2	References	0-10	9	
_	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>			
3	Resources and Methodology	0-20	18	
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> </ul>			
	Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to mosting goals and deadlines.			
	<ul><li>and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li><li>Financial resources.</li></ul>			
			21	
4	Cost	0-35	38	
	<ul> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>			
	Total	0-100	95	
	tional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined s		* 51	
CO	Several Florides installitions, municiple expension		6 × ±	
-	Several Florides installitions, municiple exp	Wisne!	,	
-	Cine			
	11 11 17			
	add stemete?			
_ a	9 necks			
M	la 4 Been 1-31-19 Mar	KA.	Beardin	

Date

**Printed Name** 

Signature of Evaluator

RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

		1	1
VENDOR NAME:	AMETICAN (	Contrac	1055

Point Range 0-35 2_3
0-10
0-20
0-35 <u>30</u>

COMMENTS 47 - LA	10e/-5	icenses:
-Drovided 1/0	information a	on Mines
- did Jecieve	Wascs	
- 805+ t	,	loistical
- Provided No	Atternatives	1550es (-)
	1/31/19	Brian Donovan
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name

REP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VENDOR NAME:	250	Spar	
The state of the s			

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	0 -
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	30
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		5000 M
2	References	0-10	9
	<ul><li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li><li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li></ul>		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-20	17
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>		
4	Cost	0-35	27
	<ul> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>		10
	Total	0-100	73

Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	
	1/3//17	Brian Donol	an
More History			
29 Weeks	EXP	ul Similar PJO	ects
fits more w/ Sc	082,	7	
Kingnials - A	veitel S	Latement 7 Stro	15 AG
COMMENTS COST is	MOJZ, SU	t better schede	

RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VENDORNAME: American Contractors

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		
2	References	0-10	
	<ul><li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li><li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li></ul>		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-20	5
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>		
	Financial resources.		
4	Cost	0-35	30
	• Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	<u>35</u>

COMMENTS Australia M	aterials? - carci	en Fard time	
47 week Timeline			
American Contractors D	ravided no infor	nation as to what exp	enince they
American Contractors p have in accomplishing	construction su	oxects ( by thenselves or	others)
blid not see any ref	envels.	9	
Robert BMc Canala	1/3/19	Robert A Mc	.Caughan
Signature of Evaluator (	Date	Printed Name	$\searrow$

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VEN	IDORNAME: Big Span Structures LLC				
	"CRYSAL RAEY " Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	25		
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	33		
	• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.				
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>				
2	References	0-10	10		
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>				
3	Resources and Methodology	0-20	15		
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>				
		0.05	10		
4	<ul> <li>Cost</li> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost</li> </ul>	0-35	10		
	breakdowns. \$3,497.9 K				
	Total	0-100	70		
	itional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined	scoring tota	als of each	compar	ıy.
	MMENTS	A A A A			
	0-year history, 500,000K SF shuthes a	Jolalle	; No	riely a	7
15	tuitues	0		<u> </u>	
3	Referes provided	Design	Calc	2 hor	les
l	Birg Recreational Design & Construction Sub	,			
Ro		t A M	ic Cauc	han	

Signature of Evaluator

Date

# EVALUATION CRITERIA RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VENDOR NAME: AMERICAN CONTRAGORS

Signature of Evaluator

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	<u>\$</u>
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		
2	References	0-10	4
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-20	ф_
	<ul> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>		
4	Cost	0-35	25
	<ul> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>		
	Total	0-100	25
Add	itional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined s	scoring tota	als of each company.
COI	MMENTS PROPOSAL APPEARS TO BE NOT PROPERLY	COMP	OSED; EXPERIENCE
01	I THIS PROJECT IS NOT PROPERLY DEFINED. LICENS	ING IS	NOT PROPERLY
11	DENTIFIED POR THE VENDOR STRUCTURED. TIME	WE 1	S OVER THE
P	WENDERS OF THIS TYPE OF PROJECTS.		
	mlutumm 1.31.19 Mic	WEL N	lunez

Date

RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VENDOR NAME: BIG SPAN STRUCTURES, UC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	35
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		
2	References	0-10	10
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>		
3	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-20	15
4	<ul> <li>Cost</li> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-35	15
	Total	0-100	75

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS STRUCTURED PROJECT PROPOSAL W/ STATE OF FLORIDA AND TYPE OF WORK EXPERIENCE; MATERIALS AND STORAGE WITHIN THE US AND PROVIDED PREUMINARY INFO TO SUSTANTIATE THEIR PROPOSAL, CONSTRAINTS: TSHIS PROPOSAL PRICE IS MORE THAN OTHER VENDOR.

mlytimm 1.31.19

MIGUEL NUNEZ

Signature of Evaluator

Date

RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage

VENDOR NAME: American Contractor

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	Experience and Expertise	0-35	20
	<ul> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>		
2	References	0-10	7
	<ul> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>		
3	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> </ul>	0-20	15
4	<ul> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul> Cost	0-35	30
	<ul> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>		
	Total	0-100	72

COMMENTS			
American Contracto	w is not a t	existered or licensed	
	w trabt his	is for Surefix Handyman	
soll IIK lest ye	thing out a	i vitali di la	
Estimate downt inc		wirk	
A	1/3)/8	Fred Stacer	
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

RFP P-04-19 Amphitheater – Custom Roof Addition for Seating Coverage			
VENDOR NAME: Big Span			
	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	
1	<ul> <li>Experience and Expertise</li> <li>Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.</li> <li>Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.</li> </ul>	0-35	30
2	<ul> <li>References</li> <li>History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.</li> <li>References and recommendations from previous clients.</li> </ul>	0-10	9
3	<ul> <li>Resources and Methodology</li> <li>Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the project.</li> <li>Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.</li> <li>Financial resources.</li> </ul>	0-20	<b>2</b> 070
4	<ul> <li>Cost</li> <li>Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.</li> </ul>	0-35	28
	Total	0-100	89
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.			
COMMENTS TREE Specific has a standards to house			

Signature of Evaluator

Date