

into its functions. He provided a presentation that described the creation of this Sustainability Strategy, beginning with the data gathering process. He showed how the City has demonstrated a lot of work in this area as compared to Fort Lauderdale, Coral Gables, and Miami Beach. He gave an overview of the strategic analysis conducted, which was centered around a SWOT analysis. He described the strategy's vision statement, listed its focus areas, and described the work plan to implement these factors.

Mr. Stacer asked staff, and Mr. Wemyss in particular, if they are comfortable with this plan since they will be responsible for its implementation.

Max Wemyss responded that they are and that he's excited to work on it.

Ms. Aycock commented that she did not see anything concerning historic preservation or the preservation of existing buildings.

Mr. Moore agreed that preserving existing buildings is a very energy-efficient way to provide for existing demand and stated that there could be an explicit element added regarding historic preservation if the City wanted to take this approach.

Ms. Dolan stated that historic preservation is mentioned in the Comp Plan, but noted that this can be difficult in cases where existing finished floor elevations are too low and not able to be raised. She noted that there is a Historic Preservation Board and that they have recently done some exciting things.

Ms. Aycock reiterated that preserving existing buildings is a way to save energy, and noted challenging examples where existing buildings are unable to be renovated because they are in a flood zone.

Ms. Dolan responded that the City cannot ignore or waive the substantial improvement regulations, because this could potentially have negative impacts on the CRS discount.

MOTION was made by Joan Kovac and seconded by Darlene Smith that the Board adopt the proposed Sustainability Strategy per alternative motion 1 in the staff report. All voted in favor of the motion, with the exception of Mr. Miller who was not present.

4. Sea Level Rise Projection Update

Ms. Dolan shared with the Board the updated sea level rise projections from the Southeast Florida Climate Change Compact. She stated that the projections for 2030 have increased 2" since the last time these projections were adopted in 2015. She put the projections for 2070 into perspective by explaining that they would mean that streets in Garden Isles would be underwater during high tides. She described the differences between high and low adaptability features, explaining that different projections would use different curve projections. Staff recommends approval of this updated projection.

MOTION was made by Joan Kovac and seconded by Darlene Smith that the Board adopt the 2019-2020 update to the Unified Sea Level Rise Projections for planning purposes per the staff report. All voted in favor of the motion, with the exception of Mr. Miller who was not present.

G. OTHER BUSINESS

5. Youth Sports Complex – Memorandum

Mr. Stacer stated that he would like to take item #5 next since it should be very brief.

MOTION was made by Joan Kovac and seconded by Darlene Smith to hear agenda item #5 out of order.

Ms. Jae Eun Kim, Planner, presented herself to the Board to provide an update of this project to the Board, explaining that the scope of work has been reduced and that this item is no longer classified as a Major Site Plan. She stated that a detailed letter from the Keith team has been uploaded to the Board's backup which provides more information about the changes and addresses some of the concerns that the Board expressed when this item was previously presented to them.

Mr. Stacer stated that he could not find the memo and asked if the issues the Board had about the southern edge of the site have been addressed.

Ms. Kim responded that the plan revisions include an 8' chain link fence along the southern property line that will be heavily landscaped with hedges, palms, and canopy trees. There is also a landscape design from the Keith team to address any concerns regarding this.

Mr. Stacer stated that he wants to make sure that these site issues were addressed because the reduction in building size is unrelated. He asked what the threshold is between Major and Minor Site Plan.

Ms. Kim confirmed that it is 5,0000 sq. feet.

Ms. Smith reminded everyone that one of the issues the Board has was with lighting potentially impacting the homes to the south.

Ms. Kim referred to Mr. Horatio Danovich and his team.

Mr. Horatio Danovich, Capital Improvement Program Manager, presented himself to the Board and noted that the electrical consultant is available. He stated that they have both prepared a photometric plan and will be using a lighting technology that will not flood over the property line, and noted that the City will be using this kind of lighting at other City parks as well. He stated that they have taken efforts to design the project so that it will not impact the residents directly adjacent. He added that the reason for reducing the