

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Carollo

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>5</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>2</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time: Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-20	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>97</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS Carollo has provided design services for the City of Pompano and performed exceptionally well. They have highly experienced staff and display a level of commitment that is a level above many competitors.

- Historical knowledge of WTP.
- Provided conceptual phased plan.
- Recognize the necessity of innovation and new ideas to design an economical yet lasting system
- Recognize cost and schedule control due to sensitivity of plant operations
- Previous permitting experience (City and outside agencies)
- Grant & SRF funding experience
- Team: Mario Gamboa, DK Arch., Quest, Compass



7/7/2020

Tammy Good, PMP

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Electrical Design Assocaites

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant Engineering Disciplines performed by firm Design fee and construction cost of project b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant Engineering Disciplines performed by firm Prev. exp. In central FL only! Design fee and construction cost of project e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-20	<u>10</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-5	<u>5</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-20	<u>15</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>3</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>5</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time: Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget "no mention of budget"	0-20	<u>10</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>63</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

- Referenced RO plant?
- Provided suggestions for technical approach, referenced not agreeing with Fig. 6 of Master Plan
- Downplay prior working exp. of team (pg 1 tech. approach), wonder who was lead electrical engineer for project list submitted?
- Most exp. Is Orange Co., 1 proj. in Miramar but was instrumentation and HVAC
- Mention SRF funding experience, no grant procurement
- Team: Bailey, EDA, Keith, Mentioned Keith as structural and architecture service firm? See pg8, Keith does not provide arch. services



7/7/2020

Tammy Good, PMP

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Smith Engineering Consultants

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant Engineering Disciplines performed by firm Design fee and construction cost of project b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant Engineering Disciplines performed by firm Design fee and construction cost of project e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance	0-20	<u>15</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-5	<u>5</u>
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services: a. Level of effort b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control	0-20	<u>5</u>
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>0</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>5</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time: Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget "no mention of budget"	0-20	<u>10</u>
Total		0-100	<u>55</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS

- Currently working for Palm Beach County
- Mention economical design importance
- No Technical Approach provided
- Provided list of project exp. but no detail
- Provided 1-year Master Plan update & design Schedule? Includes permitting?
- No mention SRF funding experience, no grant procurement from SEC
- SEC is lead engineer, no subconsultant listed for electrical design
- Team: DK architects, Keith Team,



7/7/2020

Tammy Good, PMP

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: CAROLLO ENGINEERS

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>2</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>7</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time:	0-20	<u>18</u>
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget		
	Total	0-100	<u>91</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS DETAILED APPROACH, QUALIFICATIONS - DEEP
POOL OF RESOURCES, FAMILIARITY OF PLANT FROM
PAST PROJECTS - NO NEED FOR GETTING UP TO SPEED -
GOOD REFERENCES.

PHL Hyer

7/7/20

PHIL HYER

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: ELECTRICAL DESIGN ASSOCIATES

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>15</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>13</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>14</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>3</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>8</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time: Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-20	<u>17</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>74</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS SMALLER FIRM -

OFFERED SUGGESTIONS, CONCERNS OF MASTER PLAN - NOTED.

OTHER PROJECTS - MOST IN CENTRAL FEA - SOME AS SUB-QUESTION
HOW MUCH PRIME EXP THEY HAVE.

STAFF W/ ON GOING PROJECTS - IS THERE SUFFICIENT STAFF FOR

OUR PROJECT - REC'D GOOD REFERENCES - PALM COAST, ALTAMONT SPRINGS,
CITY OF ORLANDO



7/7/20

Phil Hyer

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: SMITH ENGINEERING CONSULTANT

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>10</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>12</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>6</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>0</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>10</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time:	0-20	<u>16</u>
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget		
	Total	0-100	<u>58</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS SMALL FIRM - CONCERNS w/ LIMITED RESOURCES

- PROJECTS OF SIMILAR SIZE & COMPLEXITY - LACKING

- APPROACH TO WORK - LACKING.

- AVAILABILITY - HIGH -

- REC'D GOOD REFERENCE AS SUB CONSULTANT IN JUPITER

CPAgen

7/7/20

PHIL HYER

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Carollo Engineers

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>20</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>2</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>7</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time:		
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-20	<u>18</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>91</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS



7-7-2020

Ronald RennaKamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Electrical Design Associates

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>16</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>13</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>14</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>3</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>8</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time:	0-20	<u>16</u>
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget		
	Total	0-100	<u>74</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS



7-7-2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
RFP E-04-20 Master Plan Update, Design, and Consulting Services for
Water Treatment Plant 5 kV Electrical System

VENDOR NAME: Smith Engineering Consultants Inc

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-20	<u>12</u>
	a. Number of similar projects		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City		
	• Roll of the firm, Prime or subconsultant		
	• Engineering Disciplines performed by firm		
	• Design fee and construction cost of project		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20	<u>12</u>
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-5	<u>4</u>
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Technical approach to perform the tasks described in the Scope of Services:	0-20	<u>6</u>
	a. Level of effort		
	b. Effectiveness of the technical approach to complete each phase of the project, maintain time schedules and cost control		
5	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (include sub-consultants)	0-5	<u>0</u>
6	Current and Projected Workload	0-10	<u>8</u>
7	Is the Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time:	0-20	<u>10</u>
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget		
	Total	0-100	<u>52</u>

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS



7-7-2020

Pamela Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name