VENDOR NAME: \_\_Tetra Tech\_\_\_\_\_

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	_33
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	21
4	Cost	0-25	_22
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	81

COMMENTS\_\_Have experience with disaster services. Strong focus on debris removal. Addressed the questions.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree Disagree Brian Donovan

Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_\_ Date

VEND	OR NAME: _Thompson Consulting		
1	<u>Criteria</u> Qualifications and Experience	<u>Point</u> <u>Range</u> 0-40	<u>Score</u> _35
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	_24
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-25	25
	Total	0-100	89

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_\_Experience with different diasters. The methodology is focused on debris, but there are other costs. FEMA has other categories.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

Х	Agree	Disagree	Bria
	-	-	Distant

Brian Donovan\_\_\_\_\_ Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_\_ Date

VENDOR NAME: \_\_\_True North \_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	20_
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_4
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	_20
4	Cost	0-25	_20
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	64

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_Smaller firm compared to the other respondents. Questions were addressed but some information was questionable.

#### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree	Disagree
---------	----------

Brian Donovan\_\_\_\_\_ Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_ Date

VENDOR NAME: Witt O'Brien Point Criteria Score Range 0-40 1 **Qualifications and Experience** 38 Firm & Staff gualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein. 2 0-5 References \_5\_\_\_\_ History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3 0-30 **Technical Approach** 30 Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc. 4 0-25 Cost 18 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 91 **COMMENTS** experience with Pompano Beach. Work well with staff. Addressed questions.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

<u> </u>	Disagree
----------	----------

Brian Donovan\_\_\_\_\_ Printed Name

\_\_\_\_\_\_7/1/20\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date

# **VENDOR NAME:** Tetrat Tech

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	37
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	27
4	Cost	0-25	22
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	91

**COMMENTS** Their staff experience matrix was very impressive as it illustrates the depth of operations and number of municipalities they have provided services to. Has successfully applied for an impressive amount of grant funding.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree	Disagree	Andrew Jean-Pierre	
7-1-2020		Printed Name	
Date			

# **VENDOR NAME:** Thompson Consulting Services, LLC

	Criteria	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	35
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	24
4	Cost	0-25	25
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	89

**COMMENTS** Their staff experience matrix was very impressive as it illustrates the depth of operations and number of municipalities they have provided services to. Overall response to RFP was solid.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

Х	Agree	Disagree	Andrew Jean-Pierre	
7-1-2020	3	Printed Name		
Date				

# **VENDOR NAME:** True North Emergency Management LLC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	30
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	20
4	Cost	0-25	20
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	75

**COMMENTS** Need more detail in terms of how much they have managed in terms of reimbursement for their clients. Their recent debris monitoring experience was less compared to the other 3 respondents.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

Х	Agree	Disagree	Andrew Jean-Pierre	
7-1-2020	3	Printed Name		
Date				

VENDOR NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Witt O'Brien's LLC

1	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> <u>Range</u> 0-40	<u>Score</u> 40
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	30
4	Cost	0-25	18
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	93

**COMMENTS** Their recent experience in Florida was impressive as they coordinated multiple clients in Florida for Hurricane Irma & Michael. They provided their services to more than 30 Florida and Georgia municipalities which gives a strong indication of their experience.

For past disasters they have been very responsive to the City's operating and technical resource needs.

### IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree Disagre	e Andrew Jean-Pierre
7-1-2020	Printed Name
Date	

VENDOR NAME: Tetra Tech Point Criteria Score Range 0-40 1 **Qualifications and Experience** 34 Firm & Staff gualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein. 2 0-5 References 2\_\_\_ History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3 0-30 **Technical Approach** 18 Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc. 4 0-25 Cost 22 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 76

**COMMENTS** Appear very impressive in general. But their analysis of risk factors in the Financial statement need updating. Too much concentration on life before COVID.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

<u>x</u> Agree	Disagree
----------------	----------

Jean Lafalaise Printed Name

\_07/01/20\_\_ Date

VEND	OR NAME:Thompson		
1	<u>Criteria</u> Qualifications and Experience	Point Range 0-40	<u>Score</u> 30
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	3
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	22
4	Cost	0-25	25
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	80_
СОМ	MENTS Sufficient experience, expertise and capability, comparatively spe	aking.	

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X_Agree	Disagree
---------	----------

Jean Lafalaise Printed Name

\_07/01/20\_\_\_\_\_ Date

VENDOR NAME: True North Point Criteria Score Range 0-40 1 **Qualifications and Experience** 30 Firm & Staff gualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein. 2 0-5 References 2 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3 0-30 **Technical Approach** 24 Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc. 4 0-25 Cost 20 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 76\_\_\_

**COMMENTS** Though relatively competitive in terms of cost, True North's history in the subject area lags behind the top competitors.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

<u>x</u> Agree	Disagree	Jean Lafalaise	
		Printed Name	
_07/01/20			
Date			

VENDOR NAME: Witt Obrien Point Criteria Score Range 0-40 1 **Qualifications and Experience** 38 Firm & Staff gualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein. 2 0-5 \_5\_\_\_ References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3 0-30 **Technical Approach** 24 Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc. 4 0-25 Cost 18 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 85

**COMMENTS**\_ Known quantity with proven track record. I would be interested to see their supply-chain strategy for life after COVID.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

x Agree	Disagree	Jean Lafalaise
_07/01/20 Date		Printed Name

VENDOR NAME: Tetra Tech Point Criteria Score Range 0-40 1 **Qualifications and Experience** 35 Firm & Staff gualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein. 2 0-5 References 4 History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3 0-30 **Technical Approach** 25 Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc. 4 0-25 Cost 22 Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns. Total 0-100 86

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_\_The company illustrated a quality submission and could accomplish the needs of the City. There was, however, a strong focus on debris.

# **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree	Disagree
---------	----------

Kimberly Spill-Cristiano Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_ Date

VENDOR NAME: \_\_\_\_Thompson\_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	_30
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_3
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	_23
4	Cost	0-25	25
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	81

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_The application was quality and answered all of the questions. However, I did not see the depth of services that I was hoping for. Strong focus on debris.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

<u>X</u>	Agree		Disagree
----------	-------	--	----------

Kimberly Spill-Cristiano Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_

Date

VENDOR NAME: \_\_\_\_True North\_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	_10
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_2
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	10
4	Cost	0-25	_20
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	42

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_\_From what I was able to see from this application, I wasn't certain the applicant had the experience we were looking for. Financials were lacking with regard to depth of employees and limited in the scope of services.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

Χ	Agree	Disagree
---	-------	----------

Kimberly Spill-Cristiano Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_ Date

VENDOR NAME: \_\_\_\_Witt\_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point</u> Range	<u>Score</u>
1	Qualifications and Experience	0-40	_40
	Firm & Staff qualifications and previous related work experience in the subject area. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. History of any litigation within the past five (5) years arising out of your firm's performance as it relates to the scope of services being solicited herein.		
2	<b>References</b> History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-5	_5
3	<b>Technical Approach</b> Firm's technical approach to perform scope of services requested to include procedures, methodologies, resources, systems etc.	0-30	_30
4	Cost	0-25	_18
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns.		
	Total	0-100	93

**COMMENTS**\_\_\_\_Strong application that exceeded expectations. Scope of services illustrated depth including hazard mitigation, insurance considerations, legal expertise with the appeal process and considerations for 'all-hazard' reimbursement considerations – not strictly hurricane/debris focus. Makes mention of pandemic situations which is helping us today. We have direct experience and when all facets of supply and demand were stretched for Hurricane Irma, Witt was able to continue to meet the needs of the City.

### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below to either move forward with a City Commission recommendation, or disagree and not to move forward with a City Commission recommendation for this firm.

X Agree	Disagree
---------	----------

Kimberly Spill-Cristiano Printed Name

7/1/20\_\_\_\_ Date