

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: Carollo Engineers Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Coral Springs and West Palm</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>12</u>	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
	Total		<u>92</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Carollo provides excellent work, interacts with staff well, National company with numerous resources if needed. They have designed many projects and all have been beneficial to serving the customers of the utility. They recently finished the Drinking Water Master Plan and the Water Supply Plan.

National Vendor had had nine cases since in the past five years.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020
Date

A. Randolph Brown
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: Eckler Engineering, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>35</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Coral Springs</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u> </u>	0-10	<u>5</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total			<u>72</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:


Eckler Engineering designed the reuse treatment plant and has excellent knowledge of the facility. Knowledge of InforWater software that our water model is based. Kimley Horn is a sub-consultant on this team which expands the abilities of this firm.

Use old logo, used pictures that violated OSHA standards.

Over 650 utility projects and has never had litigation in the company's history.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020
Date

A. Randolph Brown
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: Globaltech, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Boca Raton</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>47</u>	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total			<u>85</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

Globaltech's projects have been on or under budget. They have provide excellent work on our projects.

Vendor has no litigation in the past 5 years or any pending

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020

Date

A. Randolph Brown

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: McCafferty Brinson Consulting

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>40</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Ft. Lauderdale</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>5</u>	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>7</u>
	Total		<u>92</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

MBC has done numerous projects with the Utilities Department. Each have been conducted with professionalism and solid design work. They have assisted us with our SRF funding with the State. All of projects have come in at or under budget.

Vendor has never had litigation.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020
Date

A. Randolph Brown
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>40</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>30</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Deerfield, Sunrise</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>10</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total			<u>81</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

Stantec worked with us to provide emergency engineering services to drill our new Deepwell. They have excellent relationships with Department of Environmental Protection (DEP).

Litigation – International firm has 4 pages of cases in cases currently in litigation.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020
Date

A. Randolph Brown
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer: Tetra Tech

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location <u>Hollywood</u> b. Number of staff at the nearest office <u>10</u>	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total			<u>89</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

Tetra Tech has worked well with our staff. They provide excellent customer. The work is conducted in a professional manner. National company that has many resources if needed.

National Vendor has 10 cases in the past 5 years.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

September 15, 2020
Date

A. Randolph Brown
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer Carollo Engineers

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10- <u>9</u>	
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total			<u>91</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Innovative and capable of generating new ideas to solve old problems. Staff is highly qualified and have been closely working on city utility projects since 2012. Recognition of grant funding options for unfunded projects. Intimate knowledge of city's reuse system and infrastructure. Cognizant of operations and maintenance costs when evaluating design considerations. Very detailed technical approach to include, planning, scheduling and cost to deliver project on time and meeting the immediate and long term needs of the city. Quality sub-designer(s) listed.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Tammy Good

Signature of Evaluator

9/15/2020

Date

Tammy Good, PMP, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer ECKLER ENGINEERING

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>38</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
Total			<u>84</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Overall good technical approach, , somewhat generic. Did not discuss innovative ideas to consider short and long term needs of city, i.e., cost, performance, maintenance. (2) previous projects with the city, 1990 & 2003.

Recent experience with other local municipalities

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer GLOBAL TECH

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	45__
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
Total			<u>89</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Provide contracting services as well. Local key sub-designers, Variety of experience for local municipalities,
~~Provided general technical approach. Discussed minimally project specific issues/solutions at Pompano.~~

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

9/15/2020

Date

Tammy Good, PMP, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer McAFFERTY BRINSON

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>7</u>
	Total		<u>97</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Extensive intimately knowledgable of POMpano infrastructure. Previous experience working on Pompano Beach projects. Performs within schedule, very responsive,

Technical approach very specific to Pompano, thus suggestive of working knowledge and needs of Pompano.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



9/15/2020

Tammy Good, PMP, CIP Manager

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
	Total		<u>90</u>

COMMENTS:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer TETRA TECH

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
	Total		<u>90</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Another consultant with heavy experience and intimate knowledge of Pompano Beach infrastructure.
Cognizant of immediate and long term needs,

Technical approach very specific to Pompano. Currently working on Pompano projects and performing excellent.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

9/15/2020

Date

Tammy Good, PMP, CIP Manager

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer CAROLLO ENGINEERS, INC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
	Total		<u>90</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

LARGE NATIONWIDE FIRM - MANY RESOURCES TO DRAW FROM. HAS PERFORMED WELL IN DESIGNS, PERMITTING, AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR COPIB IN THE PAST. THEY LOOK FOR INNOVATIVE TREATMENT TECHNIQUES AND EFFICIENCIES.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

PHIL HYER

Signature of Evaluator

9/15/20

Date

PHIL HYER

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer ECKLER ENGINEERS, INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>43</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>32</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>8</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>2</u>
	Total		<u>85</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

SMALL COMPANY WITH CITY OF POMPANO EXPERIENCE - WTP & REUSE.
SUBS INCLUDE KIMLEY-HORN - WHO HAS MUCH MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY
EXPERIENCE. NO LITIGATION SINCE COMPANY CONCEPTION.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

CHyer

Signature of Evaluator

9/15/20

Date

PHIL HYER

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer GLOBALTECH, INC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>0</u>
	Total		<u>89</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

GOOD TECHNICAL APPROACH, EXPERIENCED STAFF, EXPERIENCE W/ PROJECTS
OF SIMILAR TYPES AND COMPLEXITIES. HAS PERFORMED VERY WELL ON
SEVERAL CITY PROJECTS. RESPONSIVE, COMPLETED PROJECTS ON TIME & BUDGET.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

CHYER

Signature of Evaluator

9/15/20

Date

PHIL HYER

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer MCCAFFERTY BRINSON CONSULTING, LLC.

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>45</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>7</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>7</u>
Total			<u>94</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

MBC HAS AN EXCELLENT RECORD OF PERFORMANCE FOR CORP.
RESPONSIVE, EASY TO WORK WITH, COST EFFECTIVE APPROACHES AND
SOLUTIONS TO ISSUES. NO LITIGATION SINCE COMPANY INCEPTION IN 2006!

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

9/15/20

Date

PHIL HYER

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>42</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>9</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total			<u>87</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

LARGE FIRM - RESOURCES, EXPERIENCED STAFF, LARGE NUMBER
OF PROJECTS IN SIZE & COMPLEXITY. HAS EXPERIENCE WITH CQPB. NEIL
JOHNSON HAS AN EXCELLENT WORKING RELATIONSHIP/RAPPORT W/ DEP.
(REGULATORY AGENCY)

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

PAyer

9/15/20

PHIL HYER

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer TETRA TECH

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	<u>43</u>
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	<u>35</u>
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	<u>6</u>
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	<u>1</u>
Total			<u>85</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

LARGE FIRM- MANY RESOURCES, HIGHLY QUALIFIED STAFF. HAS CORP EXPERIENCE
COMPLETED SEVERAL HARDENING DESIGN AND BIO PACKAGES-READY FOR
SOLICITATION PENDING FUNDING, REUSE MASTER PLAN AND WORKING ON SEVERAL OTHERS

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


 Signature of Evaluator

9/15/20
 Date

PHIL HYER
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer **Carollo Engineers Inc.**

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___40___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___33___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___9___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___2___
Total			___84___

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent Company. Good relationship with City. Past projects have been positive.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

9/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer **Eckler Engineering Inc.**

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___42___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___34___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___9___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___2___
Total			___87___

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent Company. Good relationship with City. Positive past projects.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

09/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer **Globaltech Inc.**

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___42___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___33___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___9___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___0___
Total			___84___

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent company. Have had a great relationship in the past with the city.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

9/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer **McCafferty Brinson Consulting, Inc.**

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___42___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___35___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___9___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___7___
	Total		___93___

96
*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent Company, Great relationship with city for many years. Excellent sub-contractors.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

09/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer **Stantec Consulting Services**

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___45___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___35___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___9___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___1___
Total			___90___

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Excellent Company. Very Experienced. Great relationship with City for several years.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

09/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI E-23-20 Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Water and Reuse Treatment Plants

Proposer Tetra Tech

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-45	___42___
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-35	___30___
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-10	___7___
4	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub-contractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	___1___
Total			___80___

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Very good company with good relationship with city.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Randal Rennekamp

9/15/2020

Randal Rennekamp

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name