RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Proposer_Bermello Ajamil

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	
	TOTAL		_69_

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
location ftl-Miami; No percentage of time assigned for no. 4; BA and subs have 30 years exper in parks
design and public spaces for munis and CRAs largest privately owned architectural firm in sofla; tech approach
concise and methodical; more than 50 public sector agencies, excellent project examples
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
≤ 00
10/5/2020

Propos	serCalvin		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	_13
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13_
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		_66

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
370 employees, founded in 1937; serving 60+ municipalities; 2 projects in Pompano; large comp but
but not for landscape architectural services; extensive knowledge and exper in Pompano Beach;
no percentages given for current and projected workflow
~
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
Date Printed Name

Propos	ser Chen Moore		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	12
2	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff	0-15	12
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:(1) Number of licensed staff(2) Education of staff(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	-0-
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	_13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_2_
	TOTAL		63

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
more of a civil engineering firm; five offices in s florida-founded in 1986; local subs Pompano
Beach w more than 15% of the work; tech approach thorough, scheduling detail realistic
well planned team and org charg
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
10/5/2020

Propos	serCraven		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,	0-15	_12_
2	describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	. 12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	-12-
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	
	TOTAL		_59_

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
exper-70 miles of greenways in Broward; Oakland Park beautification; Powerline median enhancemen
Miramar Parkway, no notices to loca businesses, engineering firm w minor projects in landscape,
failed to include percentage of current and projected workload
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
≤ 00
10/5/2020

Propos	serEDSA		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13_
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		_68_

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
overall excellent presentation and qualifications; founded in 1960 work on six continents
GainesvilleCRA and FAT Village, excellent global projects; tech approach clear and concise, mentions having staff
doveted full time to preject become did not list the persentage for a great and prejected worlded
devoted full time to project however did not list the percentage for current and projected workload.
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
≤ 00
10/5/2020

ProposerIBI				
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score	
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15		
2	describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14	
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	14	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0	
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15	
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12	
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_1_	
	TOTAL		70	

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:	
several city projects; pubi	ic art master plan;ultimate sports park; good tech approach and
scheduling presentation; mention	ons litigation but does not specify; did not include percentages of current and
projected workload.	
	e Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By his information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for below.
10/5/2020	- Eufar
Date	Printed Name

Propos	serKCI		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,	0-15	15_
2	describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	_14
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Locationb. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		72

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:	
hundreds of continuing service contracts; extensive knowledge of Pompano Beach;	
active community engagement; philanthropic efforts;	
excellent references; well rounded firm can provide all services we would need.	
failed to provide current and projected workload percentages	
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.	

	<u>Keith</u>		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	15_
2	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff	0-15	_14
	 c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15_
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	_0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14_
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_0
	TOTAL		72

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
hundreds of continuing service contracts; extensive knowledge of Pompano Beach;
active community engagement; philanthropic efforts;
excellent references; well rounded firm can provide all services we would need.
failed to provide current and projected workload percentages
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
Date Printed Name

Propos	serKimley Horn		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	_12
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13_
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_0
	TOTAL		64

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:		
	two local subs listed-Compass p	oint and H2R; city exper w irrigation design along MLK, landscape
ir	mprovements; Clematis Streetsca	ape Improvements; City of SunriseCity Hall
0	verall decent proposal and techni	ical approach; more civil engineering not landscape; active litigation
IMPORTANT NOT		tion Critoria atatad in the DU and author to the
Typing my name	below, I certify that this infor	tion Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By mation is correct and will serve as my "signature" for
purposes of confi	irming my evaluation below.	
	40/5/0000	900
	10/5/2020	Carta ?
	Date	Printed Name

Propos	serMiller		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,	0-15	8_
2	describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	_13
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Locationb. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	_12_
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	_12_
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		72

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
proposal/tech approach needed more detail; good examples of tight construction schedules and proces
exs of under budget projects; great references on completion and budget
several lawsuits alleging design defects and breach of contract
provided percentages of current and projected workload
IMPORTANT NOTE
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for
purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
902
10/5/2020
Date Printed Name

Propos	serStantec		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	12
	c. References from past projects performed by the firmd. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	_8
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13_
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_0
	TOTAL		70_

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMINIENTS:
DeerfieldBeach; strong focus on scheduling and controls; lots of civil experience;
numerous Pompano Beach projects inc. MM Aquatic Center; Community Park Aquatics, Alsdorf, etc.
provided percentages of workload but not completd
Sawgrass Expwy; Golden Glades interchange; Hillsboro lane reduction
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for
purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
10/5/2020
10/0/2020
Date Printed Name

Propos	serToole Design		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	12
2	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	12
	 a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		54

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
lacked intimate exper in this area; more transportation experience; Orlando closest office
tech approach included extensiveCovid response; Young Circle, Miami Dade; St. Paul bike way;
failed to provide percentages of current and projected workload
MPORTANT NOTE:
have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By
Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for
ourposes of confirming my evaluation below.
902
10/5/2020
Date Printed Name

Propos	serWGI		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	13
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	13_
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12_
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	15
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13_
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	_1_
	TOTAL		82

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
offices in FTL and WPB; 70 current continuing contracts; Delray; Abacoa; WPB; Pompano Pier;
workload-staff capacity exceeds current committed hours and availability; provided detailed breakdown of
current and projected workload and staff assignments.
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.
10/5/2020

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.

riopos	er. Bernieno Ajanni & Farthers, inc.		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe	0-15	14
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to	0-15	14
6	achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points. Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task	0-15	15
7	costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		74

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Highly skilled staff that has extensive experience performing landscape architecture services for several municipalities
COMMENTS-2: very skill staff
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Extensive experience performing landscape architecture, previous projects performed for Pompano
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

Printed Name

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Calvin, Giordano & Associates (CGA)

Topos	er. Calvin, Giordano & Associates (CGA)	Point	
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.) TOTAL	0-10	73

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.



COMMENTS-1: Highly skilled staff that has extensive experience performing landscape architecture services for several municipalities
COMMENTS-2: first hand experience working on Pompano projects and working with cty staff
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Established relationships with city staff, knowledagable of Pompano infrastructure and policies
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

Printed Name

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Chen Moore and Associates

Propos	er: Chen Woore and Associates	22 0 00	
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.) TOTAL	0-10	² 76

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Several staff listed as RLA's, established relationships with city staff
COMMENTS-2: first hand experience working on Pompano projects and working with cty staff
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Established relatioships with city staff, knoweldagable of Pompano infrastructure and policies
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Tammy Good

Printed Name

10/1/2020

Date

COMMENTS:

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. (CTA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	13
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	15
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	15
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned.	0-15	0
	Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	
	TOTAL		70

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Listed minor landscape projects, not necessarily similar to scope we might require, moreso civil firm as primary service
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Performed a few projects for Pompano several years ago
COMMENTS-6:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Notes:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

Printed Name

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: EDSA, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects	0-15	15
	c. References from past projects performed by the firmd. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe		
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	15
	a. Organizational chart for projectb. Number of technical staffc. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff(2) Education of staff		
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	15
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	15
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	15
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		75

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: first hand experience working on Pompano projects and working with cty staff
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Mentioned workload but did not give percentages, etc.
COMMENTS-5: Established relatioships with city staff, knowledgeable of Pompano infrastructure and policies
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Tammy Good

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

10/1/2020

RLIT-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: IBI Group (Florida), Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1 1
	TOTAL		75

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: first hand experience working on Pompano projects and working with cty staff
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Established relationships with city staff, knowledgeable of Pompano infrastructure and policies, worked extensively with CRA & Horacio
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Tammy Good

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

10/1/2020

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: KCI Technologies, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	13
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		70

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Only five projects listed as Team Experience, moreso civil firm as primary service
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Overall good technical approach with emphasis on budget/time
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of

Tammy Good

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Keith & Associates, Inc.

riopos	er. Neith & Associates, inc.		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15
6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		74

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: first hand experience working on Pompano projects and working with cty staff but did not hire soley to provide landscape services
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Did not include in proposal
COMMENTS-5: Established relationships with city staff, knowledgeable of Pompano infrastructure and policies
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe	0-15	15
2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		71

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:				
COMMENTS-1: 0	nly listed 4-5 project	s		
COMMENTS-2: e	xtensive resume list			
COMMENTS-3:				
COMMENTS-4: D	id not include in prop	oosal		
COMMENTS-5: E policies, only 4-5	stablished relationsl projects listed	hips with city staff, knowledç	geable of Pompano infrastructur	e and
COMMENTS-6:				
Notes:				
IMPORTANT NOTE I have reviewed th my name below, I confirming my eva	e Proposal using the certify that this infor	Evaluation Criteria stated in mation is correct and will se	n the RLI and outlined above. By rve as my "signature" for purpos	Typing ses of
	10/1/2020	Tammy Good		

Printed Name

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Miller Legg & Associates, Inc.

Line	er: Miller Legg & Associates, Inc. Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects	0-15	12
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	11
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	15
	a. Location		
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	13
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	13
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		79

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Extensive project list consisting of many municipalities but none for Pompano Beach
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Listed project workload and staff availablity or percentage
COMMENTS-5: Several projects listed with other local minicipalities
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc

•	ороо	or. Stantes Consulting Services, me		
	Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
	1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe)	0-15	13
	2	outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
	3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
	4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	14
	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
	6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	15
	7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0

TOTAL

85

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Only listed a few municipal projects, listed projects for Pompano but not sure they were prime, many projects performed on west coast
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3:
COMMENTS-4: Listed workload via percentage for each employee
COMMENTS-5: Overall good technical approach covering budget/time
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: Toole Design Group

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	14
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	13
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		62

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
COMMENTS-1: Experience in Miami only, more planning services, listed few projects in comparison to other proposers
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list
COMMENTS-3: closest office location in Orlando
COMMENTS-4: Provided experience matrix but not workload
COMMENTS-5: Relavent technical approach as they mentioned COVID recognition
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Landscape Architectural Services for Various City Projects

Proposer: WGI, Inc.

Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 2 Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	10
	15
 b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects 	
Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	15
4 Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	15
Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	15
Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	15
Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- contractors should also be included with the response.) TOTAL	1 6

TOTAL

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Extensive project list, including some for Pompano Beach	
COMMENTS-2: extensive resume list	
COMMENTS-3:	
COMMENTS-4: Listed workload via percentage for each employee	
COMMENTS-5: Good technical approach, detailed budget/time emphasis	
COMMENTS-6:	
Notes:	
IMPORTANT NOTE	

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/1/2020

Tammy Good

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	13
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

TOTAL

54

COMMENTS-1: COPB Project list provided, no detail as to the services proviced or role as prime or sub
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials
COMMENTS-3: Miami
COMMENTS-4: One line note, workload requirement was not provided.
COMMENTS-5: Gantt, general comments, no approach
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

10/5/2020

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Calvin, Giordano & Associates (CGA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	7
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Mills Pond, 2nd St Streetscape
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials, 370 employees
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: One line note, workload requirement was not provided.
COMMENTS-5: P:13 Maintaining Schedule, Specific section on approach.
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Bronesal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RI I and outlined above. By Typic

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

COMMENTS:

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Chen Moore and Associates (CMA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	11
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Old Pompano. Streetscape, parks, park masterplan. Some smaller projects
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: Workload requirement was not provided.
COMMENTS-5: General comments in the technical approach
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

10/5/2020

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Craven Thompson & Associates, Inc. (CTA)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	9
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		48

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:
COMMENTS-1: Drainage projects in COPB. Streetscape, Parks, Bike ped.
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials, subs for arborist, irrigation, and Lighting.
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: Workload requirement was not provided.
COMMENTS-5: Technical Approach address schedule

COMMENTS-6:

Notes: Engineering

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: EDSA,Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	8
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		52

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Street scapes, CRA, Plaza & Dune
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: Workload noted but the workload requirement was not provided.
COMMENTS-5: Schedule addressed in the schedule page.
COMMENTS-6:
Notes: International

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: IBI Group (Florida) Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	7
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		53

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Good Local experience detail, MLK, Briny Streetscape
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials. Subs for arborist and lighting.
COMMENTS-3: Pompano Beach
COMMENTS-4: Does not meet requirement
COMMENTS-5: Phase schedule and discriptions, no approach identified
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:

COMMENTS:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: KCI Technologies, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	12
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		52

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Prior projects with COPB were listed without detail of services provided, Schnarrs.	Mitchel
Moore, Amphitheater	

COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials

COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale

COMMENTS-4: Noted, not by employee

COMMENTS-5: Gantt, general comments, no approach

COMMENTS-6:

Notes: Strong Civil services

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Keith and Associates, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	15
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	15
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		63

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: CRA streetscape, MLK COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials COMMENTS-3: Pompano Beach COMMENTS-4: Noted, not by employee COMMENTS-5: Approach, example, and Gantt provided COMMENTS-6: Notes: **IMPORTANT NOTE:** I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing

my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	10
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	5
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	15
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		46

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Streetscape, boardwalk COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials COMMENTS-3: Orlando + COMMENTS-4: Noted, not by employee COMMENTS-5: Approach, example, and Gantt provided

IMPORTANT NOTE:

COMMENTS-6:

Notes: Strong civil services

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Miller Legg (Miller Legg & Associates, Inc.)

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	7
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	7
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	15
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	9
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	2
	TOTAL		58

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: Good Budget, cost, CO data. Streetscape, parks, Plaza
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials
COMMENTS-3: Fort Lauderdale
COMMENTS-4: Workload by Staff in org chart
COMMENTS-5: Schedule addressed in approach / Schedule, Gantt example
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

10/5/2020

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
2	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15 0-15	6
	 a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff 	0-13	0
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projectsProximity of the nearest office to the project location:a. Locationb. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	12
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	12
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	12
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		62

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: Project types dog park, landscape, water/pool

COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials

COMMENTS-3: Deerfield Beach

COMMENTS-4: Workload by Staff

COMMENTS-5: Approach, example, and Gantt provided

COMMENTS-6:

Notes: Municipal projects

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: Toole Design Group

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	8
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	7
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	5
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	6
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		34

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

COMMENTS-1: P:29 Good Experience Matrix, streetscape, ped, bike, parks. No COPB

COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials

COMMENTS-3: Orlando and Silver Spring MD

COMMENTS-4: Noted, not by employee

COMMENTS-5: Schedule addressed in approach, Gantt example

COMMENTS-6:

Notes: COVID-19 Response, Transportation

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Matthew Kudrna

Date

T-25-20 Continuing Contracts for Landscape Architectural Services

Proposer: WGI, Inc.

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	10
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	4
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	5
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	15
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	10
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget	0-15	10
	Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	1
	TOTAL		55

^{*0-5%} Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS-1: COPB Pier. Streetscape, boardwalks, city center, parks.
COMMENTS-2: Staff with LA qualifications and credentials
COMMENTS-3: West Palm Beach
COMMENTS-4: Provided workload Graph and workload by employee
COMMENTS-5: Approach and example provided
COMMENTS-6:
Notes:
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of

Matthew Kudrna

Printed Name

COMMENTS:

confirming my evaluation below.

10/5/2020

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	er Bermeno Atamin		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	14
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	45
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	13
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	14
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	14
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	
	TOTAL		(4)
*0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each of	ompany.	

COMMENTS:
Very Ouslified firm with numerous complex
projects as well as pompouro Beach projects.
BA has put together a comprehensive tean
of subscontractors to fraudle most sojects to
of subsontactors to fraudle most sojects to be assigned. Could not find workland or availability
of Staff.
MPORTANT NOTE: have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for ourposes of confirming my evaluation below.
10/5/2020 Mguyen Tran
Date Printed Name

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	ser Calvin Giordano : Assec	Daint	
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	0-15	8
	Number of similar projects Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		Ø
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	8
	a. Organizational chart for project		
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	13
Ü	a. Location		12
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		1
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		100
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		15
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	
	TOTAL		(50)
	and the second s	rompany	

COMMENTS:
Large multidisciplinary from known more for lugineering
Services: Had some experience with landscape
Architectural Service but not as much compared to
other firms. Distrot find workloadon Staff
availabitity.
IMPORTANT NOTE: I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Printed Name

10/5/2020

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	er Chen Moore & Assoc.		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	_12
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	15
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	13
4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	12
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.) TOTAL	0-10	2
+0 =0/	Ti-4/Ti-0 I I During and I		

COMMENTS:	
lar	of engineering and landscape Architectured From
	a experience / well towined staff. not a lot of
lano	scape projects of extreme complexity but lots of
Stre	retscaye experience. Did not find workload or
	availabitity information.
' 0	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	er CRAVEN THOMEON		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	10_
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	13
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	4
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		0
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	<u>4</u>
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	(62)
	TOTAL		(00)
*O E0/ -	Figra / Tior 2 Local Dusings will be calculated as combined as size total of cash		

OMME	Company has pledy of pror esperience
	Company has please of pros experience with similar projects but not a lot of Pompano
	Hall posts. Dit not see work fond or staff availability information.
	stass askilability intormation.
nave r	TANT NOTE: eviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for

10|5|2020 Date Nguyen Tran
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Concertina RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Proposer FDSA

ropo	Sei_+VDFS		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)	0-15	15
2	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff	0-15	14
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	13
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	0
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	10
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	10
	s the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL	(62)
FO/ -			

COMMENTS:
FIRM Well Known for their landscape Design.
Mentyforgarience with PomparoBeach projects
and very gralified stapp. Dit not see workload
or staff ainibility cinformation.

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propo	ser LOL GROOP
Line	Criteria
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexis a. Number of similar projects
	h Commission of 1 11

Point Score Range

0-15

city: 0-15 b. Complexity of similar projects

c. References from past projects performed by the firm

d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)

e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list,

describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:

a. Organizational chart for project

b. Number of technical staff

c. Qualifications of technical staff:

(1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff

Proposer TRT GODING

(3) Experience of staff on similar projects

Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location

0-15

b. Number of staff at the nearest office

Current and Projected Workload

7

0-15

Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points

Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time

0-15

Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.

Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.

Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)

0-15

TOTAL

0-10

COMMENTS:	
THE Team has plunty of experience with pompour	3
Beach and Pompano Beach Ckt projects. Team's	_
well qualified and Stoff experienced - Did not	
Sond Workload of Staff Aurilability.	_

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propo	ser KC1 Technologies Inc		
Line	Criteria	Point	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity:	Range 0-15	0
	a. Number of similar projects	1 4.5	7
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-15	10
	Organizational chart for project		1
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
3	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		10
	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location	0-15	13
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Current and Projected Workload	0.45	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	8
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	8
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL		48)
*0.5% T	Figr1/Tigr2 good Business will be		

COMMENTS:	
KCI is an experienced from but appears to	
have less experience in kndsapre projects than	
- other firms but how stratscape experience. More	
of an expuering firm than landscape design	
firm. Did not find workland or staff auribilit	4
information.	+
IMPORTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

Dodie Hey

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects	0-15	14
	b. Complexity of similar projects		
	c. References from past projects performed by the firm		
	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description)		
	e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0.45	111
	a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	14
	b. Number of technical staff		
	c. Qualifications of technical staff:		
	(1) Number of licensed staff		
	(2) Education of staff		
	(3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location:	0-15	15
	a. Location	0-10	10
	b. Number of staff at the nearest office		
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
; ;	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
6 [F 6 6 6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0)	0-15	13
	s the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida mall and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any sub- ontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
	TOTAL	/	1100
	TOTAL		101

COMMENTS:	
Extremly experienced firm with numerous projects	??
Assirilar scale throughout Pompano. FIRM WIT	h
most local knowledge and qualified staff.	
Dit not sind workfood or stoff availability	
information.	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propo	ser Kimley-HORN		
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	13
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	13
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	12
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15	_0
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.	0-15	_ 2
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	12
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0
*O = 0/ 3	TOTAL	((62)

COMM	ENTS:
	Multi disciplinary Consulting from with some
	experience in Candscape Architecture but mostly
	Civil Engineering Projects, Did not find Workhad
	or Stuff availability information
	TANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

gryun Printed Name

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Proposer Miller 1965

		The state of the s		
	7-1732	Cimeria	Dange	Score
	1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects	Range 0-15	9
	2	b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm a. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	8
		c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
	3	a. Location		13
	4	b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	7
		Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned respondents which rail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
	5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	10
		Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to		—
		complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
		Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial penetiated task	0-15	10
		oudgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
		s the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1982. (Cortification of any architectures should also be included with the response.)	0.40	2
		TOTAL		(80)
*0)-5% Ti			39)
	0 /0 11	er1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each com	npany.	

COMMENTS:
FIRM with not a lot of similar projects compared
to other responders; however did display knowledge
and experienced stooff to take on Suture City/CRA
unk. Did show project workland but usuld
like to see Staff availability information.
Decent proposal submitted.
IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	ser STANTEC			
Line	Criteria	Point Range	Score	
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	0-15	9	
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project b. Number of technical staff c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects	0-15	-8-	
3	Proximity of the nearest office to the project location: a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	0-15	13	
4	Current and Projected Workload Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned, and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned. Respondents which fail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points	0-15		7
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13	
Carthol	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project schedules. Provide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.			
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should show a comparison between initial negotiated task costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.	0-15	13	
7	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (Certification of any subcontractors should also be included with the response.)	0-10	0	
	TOTAL	6		ח
*0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each co	mpany.	(12)	

COMMENTS:	
Project Years has limited experience in Landscape	
trehitatoure projects as compare with other firms.	
Very vice Technical approach and detailed description	
provided for Budget / Schedule & Cost Control. would like	
to have seen project workload and the quantity	
HA CONTROLLED TO THE PARTY OF T	

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propo	ser Teole Design Group		
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	7
	 d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome) 		0
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project	0-15	0
	c. Qualifications of technical staff: (1) Number of licensed staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	Drawimity of the persect office to the project leastion:	0 15	3
4	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office Current and Projected Workload	0-15	_0
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned respondents which rail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
5	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	8
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project scriedures. Frovide an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		04
6	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Examples provided should shoul	0-15	_8_
	costs and final completion costs. Respondents should explain in detail any budgetary overruns due to scope modifications. Respondents which fail to provide schedule and budget information as requested will receive zero (0) points.		
_	Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida		0
	contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		(34)
*A FO/	Time (Time) I and Division will be related as a section of the section of		

COMMENTS:
Submittal appears to be more planing and design
related and not alot of experience in complex or
Similar landscape Architecture related projects. Nice
overall popul but office location is not convenienter
close. Did not see project work load or staff aunitability
information.
The training of the same of th

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date

RLI T-25-20 Continuing Contract for Architectural Services

Propos	ser WEIL, Inc		
Line	Criteria	Range	Score
1	Prior experience of the firm with projects of similar size and complexity: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects performed by the firm	0-15	14
2	d. Previous projects performed for the City (provide description) e. Litigation within the past 5 years arising out of firm's performance (list, describe outcome)	524 - 8550	/\
2	Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Organizational chart for project c. Qualifications of technical staff:	0-15	14
	Number of licensed staff Education of staff Experience of staff on similar projects		
3	a. Location b. Number of staff at the nearest office	015	13
4	Current and Projected Workload	0-15	15
	Rating is to reflect the workload (both current and projected) of the firm, staff assigned and the percentage availability of the staff member assigned respondents which rail to note both existing and projected workload conditions and percentage of availability of staff assigned shall receive zero (0) points		
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Time	0-15	13
	Respondents will be evaluated on information provided regarding the firm's experience in the successful completion and steadfast conformance to similar project sometimes. From an example of successful approaches utilized to achieve a timely project completion. Respondents who demonstrate the ability to complete projects on time shall receive more points.		
	Demonstrated Prior Ability to Complete Project on Budget Proposers will be evaluated on their ability to adhere to initial design budgets. Evamples provided should shoul	0-15	13
-	s the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the Florida		1
(contractors should also be included with the response.)		
	TOTAL		83)
COL T	400-01-01-01-0		

COMMENTS:
Very lary Multidisciplinary from with nameous
projects of similar size and scale. Extremly qualified
Staff that can take on the most challenging of projects.
Did Sind project workload or Staff availability
intermetion; the only firm who provided this-
Very rice proposal that included everything asked.
IMPORTANT NOTE:
I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By

Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Date