

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Admiral Security Consultants

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>75</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

4 years of experience, addressed personnel, body cameras but not uniforms.

Bill rate of \$19/hour. Previous experience dealing with the City of Pompano.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

 Kate Belcher 11.23.20 Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AJ Squared

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>21</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>18</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>22</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>79</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Company since 2003, Licensed in 6 states. 4 week transition plan.

Bill rate of \$18.04/hourly. Previous experience mostly with residential areas.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Alert Patrol

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>21</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>0</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>25</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>71</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Company since 2015. 4 week transition plan. No references listed.

Bill rate of \$17/hour. Lack of detail about previous experience and approach with personnel and equipment.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Almighty Protection Services

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>16</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>13</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>15</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>62</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Established in 2012. Detailed listing of uniforms. Special Event experience.

Bill rate of \$30/hour.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher *KB*

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer American Guard Services

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>22</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>15</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>77</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:


Established in 1997. Experience in both commercial and municipal settings.

Didn't list exact list of equipment and reporting detail.

Hourly Rate \$18.74/hour

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Eagle Security

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>15</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>0</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>18</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>53</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Experience in residential and businesses. Details are not given about

personnel, equipment reporting, etc.

Hourly Rate \$17/hour

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Maverick Security Services

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>60</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Experience in residential and businesses. Details were given on how

they trained staff but not on approach for personnel, uniforms, etc.

Hourly Rate \$14/personnel and \$2/vehicle

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Professional Security Consultants
Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>24</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>19</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>24</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>27</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>94</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Experience working with multiple municipalities. Details were given on how

they trained staff with refresher courses and approach for personnel, uniforms, etc.

Hourly Rate \$16.73/hour

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kate Belcher 

11.23.20

Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Regions

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>23</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>19</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>23</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>26</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>91</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Experience working with multiple municipalities. Details were given on how

they trained staff and approach for personnel, uniforms, reporting etc.

Hourly Rate \$17.17/hour

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Kate Belcher

Signature of Evaluator

11.23.20

Date

Kate Belcher

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Admiral Security Consultants, Inc.
Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>15</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>55</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Proposal has 420 NW 8th Ave. Pompano (location of Antioch Missionary Baptist Church) as primary office for contract. BTR is not for this address.

Billing rate was almost \$3 per hour higher than the lowest billing rate of another qualified proposer.

Only provided 4, not 5, references and 3 of the reference letters were unsigned.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AJ Squared Security, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>5</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>12</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>42</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Proposal/references does not provide any detail on relevant experience or length of experience.

Only provided 3 references for Condo Assoc., Marriot & realtor, not 5 with no dates and min. details.

Only equip in proposal Elect.Guard Patrol System with hand-held checkpoint reader & patrol vehicle.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AlertPatrol.com

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>0</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>5</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>10</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>25</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>40</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

No references provided. In business since 2015 but no detail for contracts they have worked on.

No detail on uniforms or mention of phone or radio devices in proposal. Detailed screening & training

program. Financials show adequate operating capital.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Almighty Protection Services

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>7</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>7</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>5</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>29</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

3 references were for swap shop, mobile home park & HOA. Does not have relevant experience.

Detail on training, # of patrol hours and staffing level not specified. Bill rate of \$30 per hr. was highest.

Major cost in financials was leased employees. Who are they leasing employees from?

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer American Guard Services, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>16</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>10</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>19</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>65</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

5 references included two cities in CA & 2 cruise lines. Has good amount relevant experience.

Did not specify what reports they would provide or if the guard would have radio or cell phone.

Proposal did not detail how they would complete services required in scope of RFP.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Eagle Security Services, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>12</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>5</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>52</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Uniforms, equipment and required reports not specified in proposal. No detail on training.

Relevant exp. for client Dixie Plaza contract was from Oct. 2019-Feb 2020. Why did contract end?

Proposal missing information needed to determine if company has resources to provide req. svcs.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Maverick Security Services LLC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>0</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>7</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>47</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Open since Jan. 2018 does not have req. minimum 3 years experience. Clients on 3 references

are bank, developer construction sites and victim protection svcs. not relevant to CRA scope.

No information provided on uniforms or equipment. Lowest cost of all proposers.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Professional Security Concepts, Inc.
Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>25</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>25</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>29</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
	Total	0-100	<u>99</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Several clients with identical scope of work to ECRA requirements dating back to 2013.

Professional uniforms. Equipment includes body cameras, radios and cell phones. Training specific to

RFP scope. Weekly visits with tenants to address their concerns. Lowest cost of qualified proposers.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Regions Security Services, Inc.
Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>83</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Formed in 2010. 2 of the local municipal clients on references clients since 2015 and 2016. Prof. uniforms.

Only equip. specified cell phone. Detailed reporting system and good training program.

Good proposal but not quite as much exp. as and cost a little higher than my top ranked proposer.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Cassandra LeMasurier

11/23/2020

Cassandra LeMasurier

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer ADMIRAL SECURITY

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>25</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>22</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>85</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

OWNER HAS PB EXP WITH RSC UNDERSTANDS THE SCOPE

KNOWS THE PLAYERS FINANCES SEEM SUSPECT WITH LITTLE CASH ON

NEW COMPANY ONLY 9 REFERENCES

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

11-23-20

JACK ROGERSON

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AJ SQUARED

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>18</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>20</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>17</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>22</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>77</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:


EXP SEEMS MOSTLY HOTEL + CONDO SECURITY.

ONLY PROPOSED 105 HRS/WK MOST USED 112 FOR PRICING

NO MENTION OF BODY CAMERAS

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20

Date

JACK ROGERSON

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer ALERT PATROL

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>17</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>72</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:


EXP SEEMS LIGHT NO REFERENCES

COSTS BASED ON 105 HRS/WK OR 112

NO MENTION OF BODY CAMERAS OR SUPV.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20

Date

JACK ROGERSON

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer ALMIGHTY PROTECTION

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>17</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>15</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>62</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

EXP SEEMED TO BE FOR ONE-TIME EVENTS

REFERENCES WERE GOVERNMENT CITATIONS 3 ACT REF.

MOST EXPENSIVE OPTION \$30/HR

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

11-23-20

JACK ROGERSON

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AMERICAN GUARD

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>20</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>22</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>21</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>83</u>


*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

LIGHT ON REFERENCES H/R RATE 18.38 ON THE HIGH
SIDE

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20
Date

JACK ROGERSON
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer EAGLE SECURITY

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. • Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History and performance of firm for similar services. • References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. • Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. • Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>65</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

EXP SEEMS LIGHT

REFERENCES WERE SUPPLIED W/O COMMENT

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20
Date

JACK ROGERSON
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer MAVENICK SECURITY

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>17</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>30</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>85</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

NO MENTION OF EQUIP. EXCEPT PATROL VEHICLE

LEAST EXPENSIVE

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.


Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20
Date

JACK ROGERSON
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer PSC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. • Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>25</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History and performance of firm for similar services. • References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>20</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. • Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. • Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>22</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>92</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:


CURRENTLY WORKING IN THE NWCRD

THEY UNDERSTAND PB & THEY KNOW THE PLAYERS

PERFORMED WELL WHEN THEY WERE IN THE ECRA.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20

Date

JACK ROGERSON

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer REGIONS SECURITY

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 		
2	References	0-20	<u>20</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 		
3	Resources and Methodology	0-25	<u>21</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 		
4	Cost	0-30	<u>25</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 		
Total		0-100	<u>86</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.


COMMENTS:

GOOD EXPERIENCE STRONG SOUTH FL EXPOSURE

GOOD REFERENCES

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.



Signature of Evaluator

11-23-20

Date

JACK ROGERSON

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Admiral Security Consultants
Proposer _____

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>25</u>
2 References	<ul style="list-style-type: none">History and performance of firm for similar services.References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3 Resources and Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4 Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
Total		0-100	<u>83</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Although over the budget this company had a true understanding of the requirements of patrolling an urban setting.

They are familiar with the area and the methodology approach to accomplish

what is needed for the area.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/23/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AJ Squared Security, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>28</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>73</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/19/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer AlertPatrol.com

<u>Criteria</u>		<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1 Experience and Expertise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP. 	0-25	<u>15</u>
2 References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and performance of firm for similar services. References and recommendations from previous clients. 	0-20	<u>0</u>
3 Resources and Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required. Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines. Financial resources. 	0-25	<u>10</u>
4 Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns. 	0-30	<u>25</u>
Total		0-100	<u>50</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Did not see any references or other work like experience although the training seemed adequate,

Pricing was within budget for the area.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/19/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Almighty Protection Service 2, LLC

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>18</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>53</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

This was over budget and unsure the amount of hours being provided. Experience included on site type security services.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RFI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer American Guard Services, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>15</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>23</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>20</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>76</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Although over the budget they provided a sound proposal, methodology and resources.

Experience in other municipalities in CA only.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Proposer Eagle Security Services, Inc.

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>10</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>40</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Lacking information on their proposal. References provided were on site property management security type services.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/22/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Maverick Security Services LLC

Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>10</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>10</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>10</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>50</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Experience in on site security and property management type services.

Lacking experience in Urban/retail settings.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/22/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Regions Security Services, Inc.
Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>20</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>15</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>25</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>80</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Good methodology and training. Did not see body cameras as part of equipment.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/22/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA

RFP P-40-20 ECRA Unarmed Roving Security Guard Services

Professional Security Concepts, Inc.

Proposer _____

	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Point Range</u>	<u>Score</u>
1	Experience and Expertise <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.• Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the RFP.	0-25	<u>25</u>
2	References <ul style="list-style-type: none">• History and performance of firm for similar services.• References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	<u>18</u>
3	Resources and Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adequacy of amount of quality resources assigned to the services required.• Overall approach to services required. Consideration of services provided and approach to meeting goals and deadlines.• Financial resources.	0-25	<u>20</u>
4	Cost <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Including the overall budget and itemized cost breakdowns.	0-30	<u>28</u>
	Total	0-100	<u>91</u>

*0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS:

Did not see body cameras listed as part of the equipment required under the RFP.

Strong understanding of the services required under this scope of services.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

I have reviewed the Proposal using the Evaluation Criteria stated in the RLI and outlined above. By Typing my name below, I certify that this information is correct and will serve as my "signature" for purposes of confirming my evaluation below.

Kimberly Vazquez

11/22/2020

Kimberly Vazquez

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name