RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	Point Range 0-25	14
2.	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	
3.	References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	25
4	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30	24 83

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Loc	al Business will be calculated on co	ombined scoring totals of each com	pany.
COMMENTS JAM KAN	ows pour land, Experige	KE / PUMAN'LY IN	Unliny
WORK. LITTLE 7	TO NOTHING ON SMEET	Erral C. Applesally 7	6
MANDEINE PROTE	cr ups hell la	ONE. COSTS A BI	it hich.
	6/14/2/		es fronch
Signature of Evaluator	/ Date	Printed Name	

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

VENDOR NAME:	0	1 190860	(MW)	

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear	Point Range 0-25	25
2.	understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	0-20	20
	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3.	Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	<u>CS</u>
4	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30	<u>17</u> 87

COMMENTS GAN THE	VERY PERT Know	left of Similar in	VC
IN longton. Cy	CE (S EXTREM	Ely High NOT RECO	Mrs Ent El
RELEVERICS AND	acclon.		
	6/14/21	HOAACSO JAWOV	ich
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: FLORINA ENGINEERING & DEV.

	<u>Crite</u>	eria.		Point Range)
1.	Experience and Expertise	2		0-25	20
	Previous related work				
	qualifications in the su	ibject area of			
	personnel assigned.				
	Demonstrates a clear	C 1			
	understanding of scop				
	and other technical or issues related to the pr	_			_
2.	•	ojeci.		0-20	6
	References			0 20	
	History and performa	ance of firm/project	team on similar		
	projects.				
	References and recor	mmendations from	previous clients.		10
3.	Resources and Methodolo	OGW		0-25	10
	Overall approach to				
	Consideration of ser				
	and approach to sche	•			
	and public outreach.				
	•				
4	Cost				
-	Including the overall p	project-task budget			
	and itemized cost brea				
	schedule of values inc	_			20
	project-task budget an breakdowns, as per the			0-30	27
	values	e schedule of			
				0	21
			TOTAL	9	_0(
Additional 0 5%	Tior1/Tior2 Local Pusiness wi	Il bo calculated on	nombined seering	totals of each as	mpony
Additional 0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business wi	ii be calculated on	/ sconng	totals of each co	трапу.
	111-166	-Acale -1	INF	10 11	
COMMENTS_	MOST RECKET E	XI VIIWCE		Mole	y A
fAVING	Joh. Older Pat	EUS Show	ed fine	CAN P	The
will.	The overall	AVNOACH	11 verg	1460(W)	14 He
120016	Schole le 10	(suffehe	ns/42. /	Pic C WAY	6001.
,	A				
	11		1/	Λ	
	6/19	1/1/	- HORAC	10) ANOVIC	4
Signature of	valuator /	Date	Printed	Name	
_	X				

VENDOR NAME: MBA CON (TAVETION

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work	Point Range 0-25	18
2.	and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References	0-20	Ze
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0.05	75
3.	Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	
4	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values	0-30	25
	TOTAL	8	8

COMMENTS / CGNTY QF	Expersice	AFED KADALOGE OF MATTING. MOST WORK / CAR VIGAG A GIT LUC I WAS VERY DETRILED.	
toul Aus Brach los	CSSS AND PG	rappine. Moss work	W
PARKS, GUT LOT.	SOF PAREETS	CAR VIIGH A bir Gue	3481
Allanch WAS	10/518/178m	I was very DETAILED.	
			_
	6/19/4	HORACIO MONCH	
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

VENDOR NAME: (AGANIT 1	(a) (of	
VENDOR NAME: /	MOMINI	0/00	

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal	Point Range 0-25	<u>19</u>
2.	issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	14
3.	Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	6_
4	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30	30 69

COMMENTS RELEASE	5 DATE to 2018	or older. Klusach
15 USNY VAGOC, 1	ACKING BETAILS.	find on sully of
Somile Plan Ca	OUTARCH). TIMM	FIR DID SULLY A HAT EXTENSUCC IN VERY OLD. NOTAING
THE GELD BUT	rateur ME	VERY OLD. NOTING
lecent m		\cap
	6/14/21	- HORAGO VANOVICA
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name

VENDOR NAME: NEEKLY ASPHALT

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project.	Point Range 0-25	25
2.	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	
3.	Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30	30 75

COMMENTS FILM HA	E P(SNTU 06 8	EXPERIENCE, PROMOTILY IN	
I AUNG ELGATT 6	ut Has DONS	full Snewscare. fina Dis	0
		Chirana Item 3. THERE	
1/0 DISCUSCION CA	THE BACKUL	REGARING ARROACH TO	
PROTECTA VAGUE	DETAILS,		
	6/19/21	HORAGO DANDUICH	
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: DBF Construction

	<u>Criteria</u>	1	Point Range	10
1.	Experience and Expertise		0-25	18
	Previous related work experience and			
	qualifications in the subject area of			
	personnel assigned.			
	Demonstrates a clear			
	understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal			
	issues related to the project.			10
2.	• -		0-20	18_
	References	' '1		
	History and performance of firm/project tear	n on similar		
	projects.	iona alianta		
	References and recommendations from prev	ious chems.	0.05	73
3.	Resources and Methodology		0-25	L
	Overall approach to project.			
	Consideration of services provided			
	and approach to scheduling phasing			
	and public outreach.			
4	Cost			
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the			
	schedule of values including the			
	project-task budget and itemized cost		0-30	20
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of			
	values		0	10
		TOTAL	0	19
				' /
Additional 0-5	% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on comb	oined scoring to	otals of each co	mpany.
	0 .	1		1
COMMENTS	- Pondago firm	100	2 Litis	ation
- Mos	IIX XXX II WELLE			
10109	+17 EXP W Vtilities			
- 19:5	Gine tinancial into			
0-	The state of the s			
- 10	Officer			
//	1 ColuMai	(b):	10000	
1)/	7 -4/17/4 -6	101194	JOHOVA	
Signature of	Evaluator Date	Printed Na	ame	

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

VENDOR NAME: DP Development, WC

1.	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range 0-25	23
١.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	<u></u>
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0.00	21
۷.	References	0-20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3.	A	0-25	20
J.	Resources and Methodology	0-23	
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost	100000	18
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	
	values		
	TOTAL	0	87
	TOTAL		0

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COM	MENTS	Son	re li	Ligar	tion		
						Stotectscale	EXP.
_	Been	a	505	01	a #	Streetscape of projects	7
						0.000	

Signature of Evaluator

Date

VENDOR NAME: FL Eng & Development COSP

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	2-0
	Previous related work experience and	0 20	
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		18
	issues related to the project.		2
2.	References	0-20	20
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3.		0-25	19
	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cont		
7	Cost Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	25
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of values		
	values	0	<u> </u>
	TOTAL	<u>U</u>	86

Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company.

COMMENTS			
* DEV PSOJEC-	13 are cal	2	
* Could use	MOJE Pos	satire on proj	
& No litisate	01		
AT The second	6/14/21	_ Stian Donova	1

Signature of Evaluator

Date

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

1.	Experience and Expertise		
	Experience and Expertise	0-25	11
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
_	issues related to the project.		15
2.	References	0-20	10
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
2	recipronous and recommendations from previous enems.	0.05	71
3.	Resources and Methodology	0-25	2
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		00
	project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	23
	values		
	,	0	41
	TOTAL	<u> </u>	07
itional 0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	a totals of oach co	mnany
illorial o o 70	The Whole Ecoal Business will be calculated off combined scoring	, lotals of each co	πηρατιγ.
MMENTS			

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Printed Name

onovar

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

VENDOR NAME:	acatis	COTP	_
	9000		

Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work	Point Range 0-25	15
and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar	0-20	15
References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing	0-25	15
Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30 <u>O</u>	26 71
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values

COMMENTS - Schede	le	
- Teferences	art dated	
- not a well	OFS TESPON	190
- Missing int	Domation	
) <i>III</i>	6/14/21	Ustian Denovan
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name

VENDOR NAME:	Week	1X	Atop	halt	Paving
	V		1		

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	2
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0-20	1 7
	References	0-20	1 0
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3.	references and recommendations from previous enems.	0-25	16
0.	Resources and Methodology	0-20	14
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	3
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of values	0-30	
	values	0	4
	TOTAL	0	0
tional 0-5	% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	mnany
	75 THE THE EGGS EAGINGS WIN SO GUIDING OF COMBINED SCOTTING	totals of each of	mpany.

COMMENTS	checul	e & app Joa	c47	
-No Lit	icatio	r appsoa		
90,00	- Mau	icials		
- EXP. L	1 5	t Jectscapz		
		1		
(1)		6/14/21	Brian	Donovas

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: DBF Construction

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	25
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0-20	20
	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0.20	
3.	References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology	0-25	25
	Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.		
4	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	15
	values TOTAL	85	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Additional 0-	5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	ompany.
COMMENTS	Pricing was the second highest for this RFP. Has past experience with sim	ilar City projects ir	ncluding
the outreach	programs. Schedule is approx. 70 days with construction only 39 days, shorte	est schedule for th	is RFP.
Provided reco	ommendations from past clients and demonstrated ample construction equipm	nent to handle the	job.
Firm is a tier 1	and local firm.		
Mecta 7	June 14, 2021 Hector R. Gandia		

Date

VENDOR NAME: DP Development

-	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	23
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
2.	issues related to the project.	0-20	17
۷.	References	0 20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		00
3.		0-25	22
••	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		5
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	5
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of		
	values	0.7	,
	TOTAL	67	
ditional 0-5	5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	ompany.
	5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring Pricing was the highest for this RFP among all candidates. Firm has past ex		
OMMENTS		perience with City	y projects.
OMMENTS_chedule is ap	Pricing was the highest for this RFP among all candidates. Firm has past ex	perience with City	y projects.
OMMENTS_chedule is ap	Pricing was the highest for this RFP among all candidates. Firm has past exproximately 209 days with 134 days of construction, which is 2nd longest of	perience with City	y projects.
OMMENTS_chedule is ap	Pricing was the highest for this RFP among all candidates. Firm has past exproximately 209 days with 134 days of construction, which is 2nd longest of	perience with City	y projects.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: Florida Engineering & Development

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	10
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		10
2.	References	0-20	10
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		15
3.	Resources and Methodology	0-25	15
	Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	20
	values	55	
Additional	0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	mpany.
COMMEN	TS Pricing was the third highest of all candidates. Has been in business for 18	years. Showed ref	erences
and experi	ence but work is relatively old. Some comments on performance showed averag	ge	
on respons	se times. Project schedule shows 150 days with 118 of construction. Did not se	e any information o	on public
outreach.	Presentation lacked substance and explanation in the overall approach, scope o	f work and method	dology
category.	Firm is a tier 1/2.		
Becta	2. Yamlia June 14, 2021 Hector R. Gandia	1	_

Date

VENDOR NAME: MBR Construction

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	25
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0-20	20
۷.	References	0-20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		00
3.		0-25	20
- .	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
•	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		0.4
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	21
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of values		
	values	86	;
	TOTAL		,
dditional 0-5	% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	ompany.
OMMENTS_	Pricing was in the middle of all candidates. Demonstrated past experience w	vith similar project	s and has
City experience	e. Presentation was clear, concise and organized. Schedule shows 223 da	ys with 132 of con	struction.
,,			
	y information on public outreach programs. Not a tier 1 or 2 firm.		
	y information on public outreach programs. Not a tier 1 or 2 firm.		
	y information on public outreach programs. Not a tier 1 or 2 firm.		

Signature of Evaluator

Date

Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: Sagaris Corporation

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	10
	Previous related work experience and	0 20	
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
0	issues related to the project.	0.00	15
2.	References	0-20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		_
3.		0-25	5
	Resources and Methodology	-	
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0.00	20
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	
	values		
	TOTAL	50)
	10111		
Additional 0-5	% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	ompany.
	Duising was according to the control of the control		·
COMMENTS	Pricing was second lowest among all candidates. Demonstrated experience	e and snowed rele	vant
work in other c	sities (Weston, Ft. Lauderdale), none with the City of Pompano. Project scop	oe was brief, lacke	d
substance and	l in depth descriptions. Did not see a project schedule or information on pub	olic outreach progra	am, just a
simple flier. Fir	rm is a tier 2 vendor		
21 2	. Mamfisa June 14, 2021 Hector R. Gandia		

Date

VENDOR NAME: Weekley Asphalt Paving

Signature of Evaluator

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	10
••		0 20	
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
	issues related to the project.		4.0
2.	• •	0-20	10
	References	0 20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		40
3.		0-25	10
	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		20
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	30
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of		
	values	0.0	
	TOTAL	60	
Additional 0-5	5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	mpany.
COMMENTS	Pricing was the lowest among all candidates. Demonstrated a vast array of	references with cit	y, state,
,	entsl but a lot of FDOT work. Schedule showed 180 days with 160 of constri	uction.	
			uo info
Snowed previo	ous work done in Pompano. Did not see any information on public outreach.	Provided extensive	ve inio.
on lots of road	way paving, not a lot of park work. Firm is tier 2.		
Meeta F	June 14, 2021 Hector R. Gandia	a	
//fecta +	- Gamera		_

Date

VENDOR NAME: DBF

Signature of Evaluator

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	25
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal issues related to the project.		
2	issues telated to the project.	0-20	20
2.	References	0-20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		24
3.		0-25	24
J.	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing John Spropoulos		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost		29
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	
	values		
	TOTAL	98	}
	TOTAL		
dditional 0-5°	% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	totals of each co	ompany.
Γ	Excellent submittal, previous work completed in City, P	omnano hase	ed firm
CIVINIEN 13		•	
ricing is betwee	en the lowest and the highest, full time public information officer, in-house certifi	ed by FDOT for traf	fic control,
ess than	4-month construction schedule, no litigation and	d great refer	ences

Date

VENDOR NAME: DP

1. Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule is 6-months.		<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	24
qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	1.	•	0-25	
personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		· ·		
Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		•		
understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		,		
and other technical or legal issues related to the project. 2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				
issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		- ,		
2. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values. TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				20
History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	2.	Deferences	0-20	20
Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				
References and recommendations from previous clients. 3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				
Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values will be calculated on the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	3		0-25	24
Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	3.		0 20	
and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach. 4 Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL 95 Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		•		
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	4	Including the overall project-task budget		
project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		·		
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule			0-30	27
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule			0-00	40.141
Additional 0-5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring totals of each company. COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		values		
COMMENTS Detailed proposal, prior experience with City, specific on narrative for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule		TOTAL	95	
for recommendations with MOT, land lease for staging materials, and sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule				
sequencing of construction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$1.517 M. Construction schedule	-			
	for recon	nmendations with MOT, land lease for stagin	g materials	s, and
is 6-months.	sequencing of co.	nstruction events. Pompano based firm with great references. Proposal is the highest at \$	1.517 M. Construction	n schedute
	is 6-mon	ths.		

Signature of Evaluator

John Sjirepoulos

Date

6/14/2021

Printed Name

John Sfiropoulos

Signature of Evaluator

VENDOR NAME: Florida Engineering and Development

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range 0-25	16
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
_	issues related to the project.	0.00	20
2.	References	0-20	
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous elients		
3.	Note the same to commend the most provided and	0-25	13
J.	Resources and Methodology	0 20	
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.		
	·		
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		20
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	30
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of values		
		79	1
	TOTAL		
ار المطالقة المطالعة	5% Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scorin	α totals of each co	ompany
OMMENTS	Cost is one of the lowest at \$1.083 M. Cons	struction sch	redule
at 6-months	. Good references and no litigation. Similar work experience is	in Doral and Hal	landale.
Decent propos	sal overall but under Resources and Methodology it's lacking narrative speci	fic to elements of th	is project.
John Sti	iapoulas 6/14/2021 John Sfir	opoulos	

Date

VENDOR NAME: MBR

4		<u>Criteria</u>		Point Range 0-25	18
1.	Previous qualifica personn	and Expertise s related work experience and ations in the subject area of el assigned. strates a clear		0-23	
		anding of scope of work er technical or legal			
2.		elated to the project.		0-20	20
	project	y and performance of firm/pross. s. nces and recommendations fr	-		
3.		nd Methodology	om previous enems.	0-25	18
	Overal Consid and ap	I approach to project. Reration of services provided proach to scheduling phasing blic outreach.			
4	Cost				
	and iter schedul project- breakdo	ng the overall project-task budge nized cost breakdowns, as per the le of values including the task budget and itemized cost owns, as per the schedule of		0-30	29
	values		TOTAL	85	
COMMENTS	Cost is in the midd	I Business will be calculated of le at \$1.219 M. Appears mo	ore experience related	d to park improv	vements,
		good proposal ov		- general III II	—————
	TOTOTOGS ATT	2 good proposar ov	OTAII.		
John Sfin	opoulos	6/14/2021	John Sfiro	poulos	
Signature of	Evaluator	Date	Printed N	lame	

vendor NAME: Sagaris

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	12
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
	issues related to the project.		20
2.	D. C	0-20	20
	References		
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		13
3.	N 124 (1 1 1	0-25	13
	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		30
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of		
	values	7:	5
	TOTAL		<i></i>
itional 0-5%	Tier1/Tier2 Local Business will be calculated on combined scoring	g totals of each o	company.
C	ost is one of the lowest. Construction time-frame	e listed as 6-	month
MENTS	Jac la Gile of the foreot. Conordation time mann		·

John Sfiropoulos

John Sfiropoulos

listed of similar experience in Ft. Laud. Proposal was a bit sloppy and difficult to follow.

Signature of Evaluator

Date

VENDOR NAME: Weekley

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	18
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal		
	issues related to the project.		20
2.	References	0-20	20
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		14
3.	Resources and Methodology	0-25	
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost	0-30	30
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of values		
	TOTAL	82	

COMMENTS Cost is one of the lowest at \$1.055 M. Construction
schedule at 6-months. References are good with no litigation.
Extensive FDOT experience. Proposal overall is mediocre as there
was no narrative on methodology and the const. schedule lacked some detail

John Sfiropoulou	6/14/2021	John Sfiropoulos	
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: DBF Construction

1.	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range 0-25	13
1.	Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and	V-25	
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
	issues related to the project.		10
2.	References	0-20	18
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
3.		0-25	_ 10
	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost		15
	breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	13
	values	,	
	TOTAL	0′	176)
	IOIAL	-	(4)
المحمل ال	0/ Tipel/Tipel/ and Durings will be relevated as appeliant and access	Antala of anala ac	

COMMENTS Experimen	& firm with Sove	ral Ponjano Elac	h
project experience	- Impusive Con	ripment lists	
Estimated cost: \$		La land	wh. Two
Pompono Peach Franch Fr	trade exprises	e but utiliti	es Domicus
Manyuse	- 6/14/2021	- Nguyen Tra	4
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: DP Development

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work	Point Range 0-25	23
2.	and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	_/5.
3.	References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	20
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values TOTAL	0-30	20 (18)

	0 Ecurent	900	
COMMENTS Experience	I firmal sub	contractor for u	hitim
Tunes on 2 City,	ICRA Constant	tion projects. C	ost is
highest of All pr			
Plan. 81,517	210.49 Cost 10	sposed. Detailes	/ fesonse
* Methodology	Project Sche		
Mymon	6/14/2021	NGUYEW TRAD	V
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

VENDOR NAME: FL Engineering & Development

1.	<u>Criteria</u> Experience and Expertise	Point Range 0-25	15
	Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned.	0-25	
	Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0-20	18
-	References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects. References and recommendations from previous clients.	0-20	_/&_
3.		0-25	20
	Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the		
	project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values	0-30	27
	TOTAL	0	(80)

COMMENTS Smaller of	the companies	who sub wifled who will
Derform 65% & soc	benetraet 35% of	the work. No literational
past 5403 and ver		were excellent.
One of the Don	un bids receive	red@ # 1.083.895
0.0		
Manymore	6/14/2021	Namen Tran
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name

VENDOR NAME: MBR Construction

	Criteria	Point Range	alt
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	24
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
2.	issues related to the project.	0.00	18
Z.	References	0-20	10_
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		21
3.	Description and Mathedaless	0-25	65
	Resources and Methodology		
	Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		01
	project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	20
	values		
		0	192
	TOTAL		(10)

COMMENTS	Nice Short propo	salfratis brie	I but to the sor	at
Detailed	Anomach & Matho	bolony Heat do	cribes por bys	evenced
the firm	is with Similar	and large &co	aled pageets.	Very egorgen
with Da	K emporphente of	of good refe	unces. Droposal C	are in
(a) to	10219, 324 whit	It is about o	nid-birt of o	flus.
Manyen			Newyow Texa	
Stanburk of Eve	luntor	Dete	But de l'Alle	

VENDOR NAME: SAGARIS GVD.

1.	Criteria Experience and Expertise Previous related work experience and qualifications in the subject area of personnel assigned. Demonstrates a clear understanding of scope of work	Point Range 0-25	15_
2.	and other technical or legal issues related to the project. References History and performance of firm/project team on similar projects.	0-20	_17_
3.	References and recommendations from previous clients. Resources and Methodology Overall approach to project. Consideration of services provided and approach to scheduling phasing and public outreach.	0-25	_10_
4	Cost Including the overall project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values including the project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of values	0-30	28
	TOTAL	0(70)

COMMENTS WWW	me of the Cowes	t bids @ \$1,053	852
Smaller of submit	in firms an	of not as mich	eggienco
when compared to	the firmans	baitfals. Referen	uses &
previous parectilis	tatalled but	all smaller mo	ects.
20-1	approach or me	Alublay for to	ieet.
Manymorn	6/14/2021	Novem To	RAN
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name	

RFP P-18-21 Construction Services for Pier Street West

	<u>Criteria</u>	Point Range	2
1.	Experience and Expertise	0-25	25
	Previous related work experience and		
	qualifications in the subject area of		
	personnel assigned.		
	Demonstrates a clear		
	understanding of scope of work		
	and other technical or legal		
	issues related to the project.	0.00	12
2.	References	0-20	/ 0
	History and performance of firm/project team on similar		
	projects.		
	References and recommendations from previous clients.		
	references and recommendations from provious orients.	0-25	10
3. R	Resources and Methodology	0-23	_ ,
	Overall approach to project.		
	Consideration of services provided		
	and approach to scheduling phasing		
	and public outreach.		
4	Cost		
	Including the overall project-task budget		
	and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the		
	schedule of values including the		20
	project-task budget and itemized cost breakdowns, as per the schedule of	0-30	29
	values		
	Yaras	0	(29)
	TOTAL	1.7	

Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name